## HW4

2.23: First we show that it suffices to prove the result for connected graphs. If we know the result for connected graphs, then the result is true for any graph with a non-trivial connected component. If $G$ is non-trivial, but every component is trivial then $G$ has at least two isolated vertices and isolated vertices are not cut-vertices.

So let $G$ be a non-trival connected graph and let $u$ and $v$ be two vertices such that $d(u, v)=\operatorname{diam}(G)$. The claim is that $u$ (and hence $v$ ) is not a cut-vertex. If not, then $G-u$ is disconnected. Let $w$ be a vertex in a component of $G-u$ that does not contain $v$. Since $G$ is connected there are $w v$-paths, but every $w v$ path must use $u$. In particular, the shortest $w v$-path must use $u$. Thus the distance $d(w, v)>d(u, v)$, which contradicts the definition of $\operatorname{diam}(G)$. Therefore $u$ is a cut-vertex.
2.24: Let $G$ be disconnected and let $u$ and $v$ be vertices of $G$. If there is no edge $u v$ in $G$, then $u v$ is an edge and hence a $u v$-path in $\bar{G}$. If there $u v$ is an edge in $G$, then $u$ and $v$ are in the same component of $G$. Let $w$ be a vertex, not in the same component as $u$. The edges $w u$ and $w v$ are not in $G$, hence $u w v$ is a $u v$-path in $\bar{G}$. In either case, we have produced a $u v$-path, so $\bar{G}$ is connected.
2.26: Let $G$ be a critcial block. Thus for each vertex $v$, there is at least one vertex $u$ so that $G-v$ is connected, but $G-\{u, v\}$ is disconnected. Let $H$ be a component of $G-\{u, v\}$, and let $w$ be a vertex in $H$ and let $x$ be a vertex of $G-\{u, v\}$ not in $H$. Since $G-v$ is connected, there is a $w x$-path $P$ in $G-v$ which is not in $G-\{u, v\}$. The path $P$ must use $u$, and since $w$ is in $H u$ is adjacent to a vertex in $H$. Reversing the roles of $u$ and $v$, we find $v$ is adjacent to a vertex in $H$. Furthermore, since $H$ is a component of $G-\{u, v\}$, $u$ and $v$ are the only vertices outside $V(H)$ which are adjacent to any vertex of $H$. So if $H$ is an isolated vertex $w$, then $w$ is adjacent to exactly $u$ and $v$ in $G$ and thus has degree 2. (See the figure below left.)


Choose $v$ and $u$ so that $G-\{u, v\}$ has a component $H$ which is as small as possible. Suppose $H$ contains more than one vertex and let $w$ be a vertex of $H$. Consider the subgraph $J$ (see the figure above right) of $\langle H \cup\{u, v\}\rangle-e$, where $e$ is the edge $u v$ which may or may not be in $G$. If $w$ disconnected $u$ from $v$ in $J$, then one of the components of $J-w$ contains more that one vertex, say the $u$ side. So $G-\{w, u\}$ is disconnected and one of the component is strictly contained in $H$. This would contradict $H$ being as small as possible. Thus there is both a $t u$-path and a $t v$-path in $J-w$ for every vertex $t$ in $H$.

Let $x \neq t$ be two vertices of $G$ not in $J$. Since $G-x$ is connected, there is $t w$-path in $G-x$. Following this path til it first hits $u$ or $v$ produces a $t u$ or $t v$-path which misses both $x$ and $H$. It follows that $G-\{w, x\}$ is connected. Everything not in $J$ is connected to $u$ or $v$ and they are both in the same component of $J-w$. Since $G$ is a critical block there is a vertex $x$ so that $G-\{w, x\}$ is disconnected. We have shown that $x$ must be in $J$ and cannot be $u$ or $v$ (or it would produce a smaller component than $H$.) Similarly, if $H$ has three or more points one of the conponents of $G-\{w, x\}$ would be strictly contained in $H$. But if $H$ has only two vertices namely $w$ and $x$, then $G-\{w, x\}$ is $G-H$ which is connected.

