## HW7

2.14: Since $G$ is $n$-connected it must have at least $n+1$ vertices. Otherwise removing fewer then $n$ vertices would trivialize $G$. Suppose $H$ is $G+x$ and the $n$ new edges $x v_{i}$ for $i=1 \ldots n$. $H$ has at least $n+2$ vertices. Suppose removing $k$ vertices of $H$ disconnects $H$. If one of the components is just the vertex $x$, then we must have removed each of the $n$ edges $x v_{i}$ so $n \leq k$. Otherwise, at least two of the components must contain vertices of $G$ and hence the removal of these $k$ vertices (or $k-1$ if $x$ was one of them) must also disconnect $G$ so $n \leq k$. Therefore $H$ is $n$-connected. (Actually $\kappa(H)=n$ even when $\kappa(G)>n$.)
2.15: Do the construction above. Since $H$ is $n$-connected there are $n$-internally disjoint $v x$-paths and each of these must use a different $v_{i}$ by Menger's Theorem. (Since $x$ and $v$ are non-adjacent and it takes the removal of at least $n$ vertices to separate $x$ and $v$ in $H$.) Ignoring the last edge in each path produces internally disjoint $v v_{i}$ paths in $G$.
2.16: If $G$ has only even vertices and the edge $e=u v$ is a bridge, then each of the two components of $G-e$ will have one odd vertex (both $u$ and $v$ are now the only odd vertices) which is impossible by the handshaking lemma.

