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#### Abstract

Let $f:\left(S^{2}, A\right) \rightarrow\left(S^{2}, B\right)$ be an orientation-preserving branched covering of pairs where $A$ and $B$ are finite sets containing at least 3 points, $B$ contains the critical values of $f$, and $A \subseteq B$. We study the deformation space $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ consisting of classes of marked rational maps $F:\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, A^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, B^{\prime}\right)$ that are combinatorially equivalent to $f$. In the case $A=B$, Thurston gave a topological criterion for which $\mathcal{D}_{f} \neq \emptyset$, and he proved that $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ is always connected. We show that if $A \subsetneq B$, then $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ need not be connected. We exhibit a family of quadratic rational maps for which the associated deformation spaces are disconnected; in fact they have infinitely many components. In particular, we construct explicit automorphisms of $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ that cyclically permute an infinite set of connected components.


## 1. Introduction

Let $f:\left(S^{2}, A\right) \rightarrow\left(S^{2}, B\right)$ be an orientation-preserving branched covering of pairs where $A$ and $B$ are finite sets containing at least 3 points, and $B$ contains the critical values of $f$. In this paper, we study spaces of rational maps equivalent to $f$ from two different perspectives. The first perspective is 'nondynamical': we let $\mathcal{U}_{f}$ be the space of marked rational maps that are Hurwitz equivalent to $f$, where $A$ and $B$ are not related. The second perspective is 'dynamical', where we identify domain and range and assume $A \subseteq B$. This determines a subspace $\mathcal{D}_{f} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_{f}$ of rational maps combinatorially equivalent to $f$ called the deformation space of $f$. In the purely dynamical setting where $A=B, \mathrm{~W}$. Thurston gave a criterion for $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ to be nonempty and established the following result.

Theorem 1.1 (W. Thurston [DH], BCT$]$ ). If $A=B$, then $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ is connected, and if $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ is nonempty and does not contain an element of Lattès typ $\ddagger$, then $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ is a single point.

More generally, when $A \subseteq B$, Epstein proved the following theorem.
Theorem 1.2 (A. Epstein [E]). If $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ is nonempty, and does not contain an element of Lattès type, then $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ is a smooth complex submanifold of $\mathcal{U}_{f}$ of dimension $|B-A|$.

The main result in this paper is that $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ need not be connected in general. Assume $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ is nonempty, and let $u_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{f}$. The covering map $\omega:\left(\mathcal{U}_{f}, u_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{W}_{f}, v_{0}\right)$ defined by forgetting the markings restricts to a covering map $\nu:\left(\mathcal{D}_{f}, u_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{V}_{f}, v_{0}\right)$, and we have a commutative

[^0]diagram:


We study the connected components of $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ using the covering map $\nu$. Let $\mathrm{S}_{f}$ be the group of covering automorphisms of $\nu$ and let $\mathrm{E}_{u_{0}}$ be the setwise stabilizer of the connected component of $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ containing $u_{0}$. We characterize $S_{f}$ as a particular subgroup of the pure mapping class group of $\left(S^{2}, B\right)$, and we show that $S_{f}$ acts transitively on fibers of $\nu$. It follows that there is a bijection between the connected components of $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ and the set of cosets $\mathrm{S}_{f} / \mathrm{E}_{u_{0}}$.

We prove that $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ is disconnected when $f$ belongs to a particular subspace of the space of quadratic rational maps. Let $\mathrm{M}_{2}^{\mathrm{cm}}$ be the moduli space of critically-marked quadratic rational maps. Let $\operatorname{Per}_{4}(0) \subseteq \mathrm{M}_{2}^{\mathrm{cm}}$ be the subspace of maps with a marked superattracting 4-cycle (see [M2]), and define $\operatorname{Per}_{4}(0)^{*} \subseteq \operatorname{Per}_{4}(0)$ to be the subspace for which the superattracting 4 -cycle contains only one critical point. Let $f$ represent an element of $\operatorname{Per}_{4}(0)^{*}$, let $A$ be the set of points in the marked superattracting 4-cycle, and let $B:=A \cup\{b\}$ where $b \notin A$ is the other critical value of $f$. Then $f:\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, A\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, B\right)$ defines a branched covering of pairs and $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ has a canonical basepoint.

Theorem 1.3. If $\langle f\rangle \in \operatorname{Per}_{4}(0)^{*}$, then $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ has infinitely many connected components.
Remark 1.4. Firsova, Kahn, and Selinger have given a different and independent proof that $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ is disconnected for $\langle f\rangle \in \operatorname{Per}_{4}(0)^{*}$. Rees also has a substantial body of work related to the topology of deformation spaces $[\mathrm{R}$.
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## 2. Spaces of rational maps and their modular groups

In this section, we begin with a branched covering of pairs $f:\left(S^{2}, A\right) \rightarrow\left(S^{2}, B\right)$. Here we assume $A$ and $B$ are finite, each containing at least 3 points, and $B$ contains the critical values of $f$. We first define the spaces of rational maps $\mathcal{U}_{f}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{f}$, and the regular covering map $\omega: \mathcal{W}_{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{f}$. We then suppose $A \subseteq B$, define the deformation space $\mathcal{D}_{f}$, and study the regular covering map $\nu: \mathcal{D}_{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{f}$ induced by $\omega$. The language of covering maps allows us to translate the problem of comparing subgroups of covering automorphisms to subgroups of the fundamental groups of spaces. We then describe how equalizers play an important role in this discussion.
2.1. Rational maps marked by a branched covering. Let $f:\left(S^{2}, A\right) \rightarrow\left(S^{2}, B\right)$ be a branched covering of pairs. A rational map $F:\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, A^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, B^{\prime}\right)$ is Hurwitz equivalent to $f$ if there is a commutative diagram

where $\phi$ and $\psi$ are orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of pairs. The commutative diagram is called an $f$-marking of $F$ and is denoted $(\psi, \phi, F)$. The set of equivalence classes $[\psi, \phi, F]$ of $f$-markings $(\psi, \phi, F)$ forms a space we denote by $\mathcal{U}_{f}$. Here two $f$-markings $\left(\psi_{1}, \phi_{1}, F_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\psi_{2}, \phi_{2}, F_{2}\right)$ are equivalent if there is a commutative diagram

where $e^{\prime}$ is isotopic to the identity rel $A, e$ is isotopic to the identity rel $B$, and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are Möbius transformations.

The space $\mathcal{U}_{f}$ may be thought of as the Teichmüller space of $f$-marked rational maps. In fact, it can be canonically identified with the Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{B}$ of $\left(S^{2}, B\right)$ which we now define.
2.2. Teichmüller and moduli spaces. Recall that the Teichmüller space of $\left(S^{2}, A\right)$, denoted $\mathcal{T}_{A}$, is the space of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms or markings

$$
\phi:\left(S^{2}, A\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, A^{\prime}\right)
$$

up to pre-composition by isotopy equivalence rel $A$ and post-composition by Möbius transformations.

The branched covering $f:\left(S^{2}, A\right) \rightarrow\left(S^{2}, B\right)$ defines a pullback map $\sigma_{f}: \mathcal{T}_{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{A}$ (see [DH]).

Proposition 2.1. The space $\mathcal{U}_{f}$ is naturally isomorphic to the graph of $\sigma_{f}$.
The Teichmüller space $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ has a natural quotient space $\mathcal{M}_{A}$, the moduli space of $\left(S^{2}, A\right)$; that is, the set of all injective maps $i: A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ up to post-composition by Möbius transformations. The map $\mathcal{T}_{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{A}$ which sends the equivalence class of $\phi$ to the equivalence class of $\left.\phi\right|_{A}$ is a universal covering map. The pure mapping class group of $\left(S^{2}, A\right)$ is the group of isotopy classes rel $A$ of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms $\left(S^{2}, A\right) \rightarrow\left(S^{2}, A\right)$ that fix $A$ pointwise. This group acts freely and properly discontinuously on $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ by pre-composition and is isomorphic to the modular group $\operatorname{Mod}_{A}$, the group of covering automorphisms of $\mathcal{T}_{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{A}$.
2.3. Moduli space of rational maps Hurwitz equivalent to $f$. We define a moduli space $\mathcal{W}_{f}$, a natural quotient map $\omega: \mathcal{U}_{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{f}$, and an associated modular group, which we will denote by $\mathrm{L}_{f}$.

Consider the space of triples $(i, j, F)$ where $i: A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $j: B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ are injective maps, and $F:\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, i(A)\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, j(B)\right)$ is a rational map. Two such triples $\left(i_{1}, j_{1}, F_{1}\right)$ and $\left(i_{2}, j_{2}, F_{2}\right)$
are equivalent if there is a commutative diagram

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are Möbius transformations. Let $[i, j, F]$ denote the equivalence class of $(i, j, F)$. Consider the map which sends $[\psi, \phi, F] \in \mathcal{U}_{f}$ to the equivalence class $\left[\left.\psi\right|_{A},\left.\phi\right|_{B}, F\right]$. Let $\mathcal{W}_{f}$ be the image of $\mathcal{U}_{f}$, and define $\omega$ to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega: \mathcal{U}_{f} & \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{f} \\
{[\psi, \phi, F] } & \mapsto\left[\left.\psi\right|_{A},\left.\phi\right|_{B}, F\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

The space $\mathcal{W}_{f}$ can be thought of as the moduli space of rational maps which are Hurwitz equivalent to $f$. The map $\omega: \mathcal{U}_{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{f}$ is a regular covering map whose group of covering automorphisms is the group $\mathrm{L}_{f}$, which we define below.
2.4. The liftables. Let $h:\left(S^{2}, B\right) \rightarrow\left(S^{2}, B\right)$ be an orientation-preserving homeomorphism that fixes $B$ pointwise. We say that $h$ is liftable if there is an orientation-preserving homeomorphism $h^{\prime}:\left(S^{2}, A\right) \rightarrow\left(S^{2}, A\right)$ fixing $A$ pointwise so that the following diagram commutes.


Any lift $h^{\prime}$ is unique up to covering automorphisms. The condition that $h^{\prime}$ fixes $A$ makes $h^{\prime}$ unique (cf. [K]). By the homotopy-lifting property, the group of liftable homeomorphisms descends to the corresponding group of liftables associated to $f$

$$
\mathrm{L}_{f}:=\left\{[h] \in \operatorname{Mod}_{B} \mid h \text { is liftable }\right\} .
$$

This defines the lifting homomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{f}: \mathrm{L}_{f} & \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}_{A} \\
{[h] } & \mapsto\left[h^{\prime}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

There is a natural action of $\mathrm{L}_{f}$ on $\mathcal{U}_{f}$ given by

$$
[h] \cdot[\psi, \phi, F] \mapsto\left[\psi \circ h^{\prime}, \phi \circ h, F\right] .
$$

See [K] for a proof of the following proposition.
Proposition 2.2. The map $\omega: \mathcal{U}_{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{f}$ is a regular covering map with group of covering automorphisms isomorphic to $\mathrm{L}_{f}$.
2.5. Equalizers. Much of the discussion in this paper will use the language of equalizers; we now recall the definition. Let $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$ be two maps between sets $X$ and $Y$. The equalizer of $f$ and $g$ is

$$
\mathcal{E} \mathrm{q}(f, g):=\{x \in X \mid f(x)=g(x)\} .
$$

Note that the set $\mathcal{E} q(f, g)$ may be empty.
2.6. The deformation space. Assume that $A \subseteq B$. There are two natural maps

$$
\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}: \mathcal{U}_{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{A}
$$

given by

$$
\tau_{1}:[\psi, \phi, F] \mapsto[\psi] \quad \text { and } \quad \tau_{2}:[\psi, \phi, F] \mapsto[\phi]_{A}
$$

where $[\phi]_{A}$ denotes the equivalence class of $\phi$ in $\mathcal{T}_{A}$.
An $f$-marking $(\psi, \phi, F)$ is a combinatorial equivalence if $[\phi]_{A}=[\psi]$ and $\left.\psi\right|_{A}=\left.\phi\right|_{A}$. Then $[\psi, \phi, F]$ satisfies $\tau_{1}([\psi, \phi, F])=\tau_{2}([\psi, \phi, F])$ if and only if $[\psi, \phi, F]$ contains a combinatorial equivalence. We define the deformation space $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ to be

$$
\mathcal{D}_{f}:=\mathcal{E} q\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)
$$

We can think of $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ as the dynamical Teichmüller space defined by $f$.
2.7. Moduli space of rational maps combinatorially equivalent to $f$. We denote the image of $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ in $\mathcal{W}_{f}$ as $\mathcal{V}_{f}:=\omega\left(\mathcal{D}_{f}\right)$. The space $\mathcal{V}_{f}$ can be thought of as the the dynamical moduli space defined by $f$, or as the moduli space of rational maps which are combinatorially equivalent to $f$. We define the associated modular group in the next section.

There are two natural maps

$$
\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}: \mathcal{W}_{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{A}
$$

given by

$$
\rho_{1}:\left[\left.\psi\right|_{A},\left.\phi\right|_{B}, F\right] \mapsto\left[\left.\psi\right|_{A}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad \rho_{2}:\left[\left.\psi\right|_{A},\left.\phi\right|_{B}, F\right] \mapsto\left[\left.\phi\right|_{A}\right] .
$$

We have

$$
\mathcal{V}_{f} \subseteq \mathcal{E} \mathrm{q}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)
$$

and $\mathcal{V}_{f}$ is a union of connected components of $\mathcal{E} \mathrm{q}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$.
2.8. The special liftables. The assumption $A \subseteq B$ determines a subgroup of $\mathrm{L}_{f}$ which preserves $\mathcal{D}_{f}$. Given $g \in \operatorname{Mod}_{B}$, we denote by $g_{A}$ the mapping class in $\operatorname{Mod}_{A}$ defined by $g$. We define the special liftables to be

$$
\mathrm{S}_{f}:=\left\{\ell \in \mathrm{L}_{f} \mid \Phi_{f}(\ell)=\ell_{A}\right\} .
$$

Suppose $\mathcal{D}_{f} \neq \emptyset$, and consider the map $\nu: \mathcal{D}_{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{f}$ given by restricting $\omega: \mathcal{U}_{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{f}$. As established in Proposition 2.5, $\nu$ is a regular covering map whose group of covering automorphisms is isomorphic to $\mathrm{S}_{f}$. We can therefore think of $\mathrm{S}_{f}$ as the dynamical modular group of $f$.
Lemma 2.3. The group $\mathrm{S}_{f}$ preserves $\mathcal{D}_{f}$.
Proof. An $f$-marking $(\psi, \phi, F)$ represents an element in $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ if and only if $[\psi]=[\phi]_{A}$ in $\mathcal{T}_{A}$. Because $[h] \in \mathrm{S}_{f},[\phi \circ h]_{A}=[h]_{A} \cdot[\phi]_{A}=\left[h^{\prime}\right] \cdot[\psi]=\left[\psi \circ h^{\prime}\right]$, so $[h] \cdot[\phi, \psi, F] \in \mathcal{D}_{f}$.
Lemma 2.4. For $[h] \in \mathrm{L}_{f}$, if $[h] \cdot \mathcal{D}_{f} \cap \mathcal{D}_{f} \neq \emptyset$, then $[h] \in \mathrm{S}_{f}$.

Proof. Take $[h] \in \mathrm{L}_{f}$, and let $[\psi, \phi, F] \in \mathcal{D}_{f}$. Assume that $[h] \cdot[\psi, \phi, F] \in \mathcal{D}_{f}$. Then we have

$$
\left[\psi \circ h^{\prime}\right]=[\phi \circ h]_{A} \quad \text { equivalently } \quad\left[h^{\prime}\right] \cdot[\psi]=[h]_{A} \cdot[\phi]_{A}
$$

in $\mathcal{T}_{A}$. Because $[\psi]=[\phi]_{A}$ and because the action of $\operatorname{Mod}_{A}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{A}$ is free, we have $\left[h^{\prime}\right]=\left[h_{A}\right]$, so $[h] \in \mathrm{S}_{f}$.

Proposition 2.5. The map $\nu: \mathcal{D}_{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{f}$ is a regular covering map with covering automorphisms $\mathrm{S}_{f}$.

Proof. Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 imply that $\mathcal{V}_{f}$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ by the action of $\mathrm{S}_{f}$.
2.9. Connected components of $\mathcal{D}_{f}$. Assume $\mathcal{D}_{f} \neq \emptyset$, and let $u_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{f}$ be a basepoint. Let $v_{0}:=\nu\left(u_{0}\right)$, let $\mathcal{V}_{0}$ be the connected component of $\mathcal{V}_{f}$ containing $v_{0}$, let $\mathcal{D}_{0}:=\nu^{-1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{0}\right)$ and let $\nu_{0}:=\left.\nu\right|_{\mathcal{D}_{0}}$. Proposition 2.5 implies that

$$
\nu_{0}:\left(\mathcal{D}_{0}, u_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{V}_{0}, v_{0}\right)
$$

is a regular covering map with covering automorphisms $\mathrm{S}_{f}$. Consider the defining map for the covering $\nu_{0}$

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{0}: \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{V}_{0}, v_{0}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}_{f}
$$

defined by path-lifting. The image $\mathrm{E}_{u_{0}}$ of $\mathfrak{h}_{0}$ is the group of covering automorphisms of $\nu_{0}$ restricted to the connected component $\mathcal{D}_{u_{0}}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ containing $u_{0}$.

Lemma 2.6. There is a bijection between the cosets of $\mathrm{E}_{u_{0}}$ in $\mathrm{S}_{f}$ and the connected components of $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ given by

$$
g \mathrm{E}_{u_{0}} \mapsto g\left(\mathcal{D}_{u_{0}}\right)
$$

Proof. It suffices to note that we have equality of cosets $g_{1} \mathrm{E}_{u_{0}}=g_{2} \mathrm{E}_{u_{0}}$ if and only if $g_{1}\left(u_{0}\right)$ and $g_{2}\left(u_{0}\right)$ are in the same connected component of $\mathcal{D}_{0}$. We have $g_{1} \mathrm{E}_{u_{0}}=g_{2} \mathrm{E}_{u_{0}}$ if and only if $g_{1}^{-1} g_{2} \in \mathrm{E}_{u_{0}}$ or equivalently $g_{1}^{-1} g_{2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{u_{0}}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{u_{0}}$. Multiplying by $g_{1}$ gives the equivalent statement

$$
g_{1}\left(\mathcal{D}_{u_{0}}\right)=g_{1}\left(g_{1}^{-1} g_{2}\right)\left(\mathcal{D}_{u_{0}}\right)=g_{2}\left(\mathcal{D}_{u_{0}}\right) .
$$

2.10. Equalizers and fundamental groups. We study the groups $\mathrm{S}_{f}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{u_{0}}$ using the language of equalizers. In general, given two maps

$$
\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}:\left(\mathcal{X}, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Y}, y_{0}\right)
$$

between connected finite CW-complexes we define the equalizer group by

$$
\mathrm{S}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right):=\left\{\gamma \in \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{X}, x_{0}\right) \mid\left(\xi_{1}\right)_{*}(\gamma)=\left(\xi_{2}\right)_{*}(\gamma)\right\} .
$$

Let $\iota:\left(\mathcal{E} \mathrm{q}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right), x_{0}\right) \hookrightarrow\left(\mathcal{X}, x_{0}\right)$ be the inclusion, and define

$$
\mathrm{E}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right):=\iota_{*}\left(\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{E} \mathrm{q}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right), x_{0}\right)\right)
$$

We have $\mathrm{E}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right) \subseteq \mathrm{S}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$.
To study $\mathrm{E}_{u_{0}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{f}$ we recall the natural maps $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}:\left(\mathcal{W}_{f}, v_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{M}_{A}, m_{0}\right)$, and consider the groups $\mathrm{S}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{E}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$. These are related to $\mathrm{S}_{f}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{u_{0}}$ via the defining map

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathfrak{h}: \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{W}_{f}, v_{0}\right) \\
6
\end{gathered} \mathrm{~L}_{f}
$$

for the covering $\omega:\left(\mathcal{U}_{f}, u_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{W}_{f}, v_{0}\right)$. Recall that $\mathfrak{h}$ is defined by $\mathfrak{h}(\gamma):=\left[h_{\gamma}\right]$, where $\left[h_{\gamma}\right]$ is the unique covering automorphism taking $u_{0}$ to the endpoint of the lift of $\gamma$ to $\mathcal{U}_{f}$ based at $u_{0}$.

Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{E}}$ be the restrictions of $\mathfrak{h}$ to the subgroups $\mathrm{S}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{E}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$ in $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{W}_{f}, v_{0}\right)$. Then we have the following.

Proposition 2.7. The maps $\mathfrak{h}_{E}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{S}}$ define isomorphisms

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{E}}: \mathrm{E}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{E}_{u_{0}},
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{\mathrm{S}}: \mathrm{S}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}_{f} .
$$

Lemma 2.6 gives the following result.
Corollary 2.8. There is a bijection between the connected components of $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ and the cosets $\mathrm{S}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right) / \mathrm{E}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$.

Remark 2.9. Since the spaces $\mathcal{U}_{f}$ and $\mathcal{W}_{f}$ are connected, $\mathrm{S}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$ only depends on $f$ and not on the choice of basepoint $u_{0}$. It is currently unknown, at least to the authors, whether $\mathcal{V}_{f}$ is always connected, or whether $\mathrm{E}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$ is independent of the choice of basepoint.
2.11. Example. We apply the previous definitions to a specific example that will be useful in the next section.

Let

$$
\mathcal{X}:=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \mid x \neq 0, x \neq 1, y \neq 0, y \neq 1 \text { and } x+y \neq 1\right\},
$$

and let $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ be the projections onto the $x$ and $y$ coordinates. Let $\delta: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be given by $\delta(x, y):=(y, x)$. Then $\xi_{1}=\xi_{2} \circ \delta$ and $\xi_{2}=\xi_{1} \circ \delta$.

Define a quotient space of $\mathcal{X}$ as follows. Let

$$
\mathcal{Q}:=\left\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \mid z \neq 1, w \neq 0 \text { and } w-z \neq-1\right\} .
$$

Let $a$ satisfy $1 / 2<a<1$, let $x_{0}:=(a, a) \in \mathcal{E}:=\mathcal{E} \mathrm{q}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$, and let $q_{0}:=\left(2 a, a^{2}\right)$. Let $\mathfrak{s}$ be the quotient map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{s}:\left(\mathcal{X}, x_{0}\right) & \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Q}, q_{0}\right) \\
(x, y) & \mapsto(x+y, x y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now describe the fundamental group of $\mathcal{Q}$.
Let $\mathcal{K}_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be

$$
\mathcal{K}_{1}:=\xi_{1}^{-1}(a)=\{(a, y) \in \mathcal{X} \mid y \notin\{0,1-a, 1\}\}
$$

and let $\mathcal{K}_{2}:=\delta\left(\mathcal{K}_{1}\right)$. Since $1 / 2<a<1$, the inclusions of $\mathcal{K}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{2}$ into $\mathcal{X}$ are general fibers of the singular fibrations $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$. Thus, the inclusions induce epimorphisms

$$
\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{1}, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}\left(\left(\xi_{1}\right)_{*}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{K}_{2}, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}\left(\left(\xi_{2}\right)_{*}\right) .
$$

Let

$$
\mathcal{L}:=\{(z, w) \in \mathcal{Q} \mid w=a(z-a)\}
$$

which is the image of $\mathcal{K}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{2}$ inside $\mathcal{Q}$, and let

$$
\overline{\mathcal{E}}:=\left\{(z, w) \in \mathcal{Q} \mid 4 w=z^{2}\right\},
$$

which is the image of $\mathcal{E}$ inside $\mathcal{Q}$. Then $q_{0} \in \mathcal{L} \cap \overline{\mathcal{E}}$ and the line $\mathcal{L}$ is tangent to the conic $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$. Let $\iota_{\mathcal{L}}: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ be the inclusion map. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to a complex line with three
points removed, its fundamental group $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}, q_{0}\right)$ is the free group on three generators $r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}$ and $c^{\prime}$, where
(a) $r^{\prime}, c^{\prime}$ and $s^{\prime}$ are freely homotopic to small positively-oriented loops around the three punctures of $\mathcal{L}$ corresponding to $\{w=0\},\{z=1\}$ and $\{w=z-1\}$, and
(b) $r^{\prime} c^{\prime} s^{\prime}, c^{\prime} s^{\prime} r^{\prime}$ and $s^{\prime} r^{\prime} c^{\prime}$, are each homotopic rel $q_{0}$ to a large simple closed loop encircling the three punctures.

By the Zariski-van Kampen theorem, the map

$$
\left(\iota_{\mathcal{L}}\right)_{*}: \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}, q_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}, q_{0}\right)
$$

is surjective. Thus, $r:=\left(\iota_{\mathcal{L}}\right)_{*}\left(r^{\prime}\right), s:=\left(\iota_{\mathcal{L}}\right)_{*}\left(s^{\prime}\right)$ and $c:=\left(\iota_{\mathcal{L}}\right)_{*}\left(c^{\prime}\right)$ generate $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}, q_{0}\right)$.


Figure 1. The space $\mathcal{X}$ (on the left) is the complement of the vertical, horizontal and anti-diagonal lines. The space $\mathcal{Q}$ (on the right) it is the complement in $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ of the three lines intersecting at $(1,0)$.

Since the lines $\{w=0\},\{w=z-1\}$ and $\{z=1\}$ all meet at the point $(1,0)$, the pencil of lines on $\mathcal{Q}$ through $(1,0)$ defines a fiber bundle

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta:\left(\mathcal{Q}, q_{0}\right) & \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}-\mathcal{R}, r_{0}\right) \\
(z, w) & \mapsto[z-1: w],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{R}:=\{[0: 1],[1: 0],[1: 1]\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{1}$, and $r_{0}:=\left[2 a-1: a^{2}\right]$. The general fiber $\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to the punctured plane $\mathbb{C}-\{0\}$, and the monodromy of the fibration preserves the complex structure of $\mathbb{C}-\{0\}$, and hence acts trivially on the fundamental group of the fiber $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ through $q_{0}$. Thus, $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}, q_{0}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{F}_{2}$.

Let $d^{\prime}$ be the positively-oriented generator of $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}, q_{0}\right)$ and $d$ its image in $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}, q_{0}\right)$. Let $\bar{r}:=\beta_{*}(r), \bar{s}:=\beta_{*}(s)$ and $\bar{c}:=\beta_{*}(c)$ Then $\overline{r c s}, \overline{c s r}$ and $\overline{s r c}$ are represented by loops that encircle $\mathcal{R}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ and hence are trivial in $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}-\mathcal{R}, r_{0}\right)$. It follows that the exact sequence
of the fiber bundle gives the commutative diagram:

where the vertical arrows are isomorphisms, and the horizontal arrows are exact. We have shown the following.
Lemma 2.10. The fundamental group of $\mathcal{Q}$ is given by

$$
\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}, q_{0}\right)=\langle r, c, s, d \mid d=r c s=c s r=s r c\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{F}_{2}
$$

and, in particular, the element $d \in \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}, q_{0}\right)$ generates the central $\mathbb{Z}$ factor.
The next proposition will be a key step in our proof of Theorem 1.3 .
Proposition 2.11. There is a $\gamma \in \mathrm{S}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ so that $\gamma^{n} \notin \mathrm{E}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ for all $n \neq 0$, and hence

$$
\left[\mathrm{S}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right): \mathrm{E}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)\right]=\infty
$$

Proof. We prove the proposition in two steps.
Step 1. We show that the image of $\mathrm{E}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ under $\mathfrak{s}_{*}$ intersects $\operatorname{ker}\left(\beta_{*}\right)$ in the trivial element. The map $\mathfrak{s}$ sends $\mathcal{E}$ isomorphically onto $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ which is tangent to the lines $\{w=0\}$ and $\{w=z-1\}$ (see Figure 1).

Let $j:\left(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, q_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{Q}, q_{0}\right)$, be the inclusion map. On $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$, the pencil $\beta$ defines a covering

$$
\beta \circ j:\left(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, q_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}-\mathcal{R}, r_{0}\right),
$$

since all lines in $\mathcal{Q}$ through $(1,0)$ intersect $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ transversally in 2 points, and we have an endomorphism

$$
(\beta \circ j)_{*}: \pi_{1}\left(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, q_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}-\mathcal{R}, r_{0}\right) .
$$

Thus, $j_{*}\left(\pi_{1}\left(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, q_{0}\right)\right)$ intersects the kernel of $\beta_{*}$ in the identity element.
Step 2. We find an element $\gamma \in \mathrm{S}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ of infinite order, such that $\mathfrak{s}_{*}\left(\gamma^{n}\right)$ lies in the kernel of $\beta_{*}$ for all $n \neq 0$.

Let $\gamma_{1} \in \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{X}, x_{0}\right)$ be freely homotopic to a simple closed curve $\ell$ on $\mathcal{K}_{1}$ that goes around the image of the path

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau:[0,1] & \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2} \\
t & \mapsto(a, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

with positive orientation relative to the complex structure. The image of $\tau$ is the straight line from $(a, 0)$ to $(a, 1)$. Thus, $\gamma_{1}$ may also be thought of as freely homotopic in $\mathcal{K}_{1}$ to a loop around the point at infinity on $\mathcal{K}_{1}$. Let $\bar{\tau}$ be the path given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\tau}:[0,1] & \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \\
t & \mapsto(\beta \circ \mathfrak{s} \circ \tau)(t)=[a+t-1: a t] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a path from $[1: 0]$ to $[1: 1]$ that passes through $[0: 1]$ (when $t=1-a)$. It follows that $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}-\mathcal{R}\right)-\bar{\tau}([0,1])$ is simply connected. Since $\beta_{*}\left(\mathfrak{s}_{*}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right)$ lies in $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}-\mathcal{R}\right)-\bar{\tau}([0,1])$, $\mathfrak{s}_{*}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$ lies in the kernel of $\beta_{*}$.

Let $\gamma_{2}:=\delta_{*}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \in \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{X}, x_{0}\right)$, and let $\gamma:=\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}$. Since $\left(\xi_{1}\right)_{*}(\gamma)=\left(\xi_{2}\right)_{*}(\gamma)$, we have $\gamma \in \mathrm{S}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{s}_{*}\left(\gamma^{n}\right)=\mathfrak{s}_{*}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)^{2 n}=\mathfrak{s}_{*}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)^{2 n}$ and lies in the kernel of $\beta_{*}$ as desired.

To see that $\mathfrak{s}_{*}(\gamma)$ has infinite order in $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}, q_{0}\right)$, note that $\mathcal{L}=\mathfrak{s}\left(\mathcal{K}_{1}\right)$ is a generic line in $\mathcal{Q}$. Continuously moving $\mathcal{L}$ along lines of the same slope to a generic line through ( 1,0 ) in $\mathcal{Q}$ defines a free homotopy equivalence of $\mathfrak{s}_{*}(\gamma)$ to a loop in a fiber of $\beta$. Since $\mathfrak{s}_{*}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$ generates $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{L}-(\mathfrak{s} \circ \tau)([0,1]), q_{0}\right)$, we have $\mathfrak{s}_{*}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=d$. Thus $\mathfrak{s}_{*}(\gamma)=d^{2}$, which has infinite order in $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}, q_{0}\right)$.
Remark 2.12. Since $\gamma$ maps to the center of $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{Q}, q_{0}\right)$ under the map $\mathfrak{s}_{*}$, we could have replaced $\gamma$ by any conjugate of $\gamma$, and the statement of Proposition 2.11 would still hold.

## 3. Application to quadratic Rational maps

Let $f$ represent an element of $\operatorname{Per}_{4}(0)^{*}$. By conjugating with a Möbius transformation, we may suppose that $f$ has a superattracting cycle of the form

where 0 is the periodic critical point.
Let $A:=\{0,1, \infty, a\}$, let $B:=A \cup\{b\}$ where $b \notin A$ is the other critical value of $f$, and let $u_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{f}$ be the basepoint associated to the rational map $f$.

Let $(\alpha, \beta, F)$ represent a point in $\mathcal{W}_{f}$. By post-composing with Möbius transformations, we may suppose that

$$
\left.\alpha\right|_{\{0,1, \infty\}}=\left.\operatorname{id}\right|_{\{0,1, \infty\}} \quad \text { and }\left.\quad \beta\right|_{\{0,1, \infty\}}=\left.\operatorname{id}\right|_{\{0,1, \infty\}} .
$$

Then the point $[\alpha, \beta, F] \in \mathcal{W}_{f}$ is determined by the complex numbers $x:=\alpha(a), y:=\beta(a)$, $z:=\beta(b)$, and a quadratic rational map

$$
F:\left(\mathbb{P}^{1},\{0,1, \infty, x\}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}^{1},\{0,1, \infty, y, z\}\right)
$$

satisfying

where 0 and $c$ are the two critical points of $F$. The map $F$ must be of the form

$$
F(t)=\frac{(t-x)(t-r)}{t^{2}}
$$

where $r=\frac{y}{x-1}+1$. Thus, $F$ and the critical value $z$ are determined by $x$ and $y$, as long as $x, y$ satisfy certain algebraic conditions. In these coordinates, the map $\rho: \mathcal{W}_{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{A} \times \mathcal{M}_{A}$ is given by

$$
\rho=\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right):[x,(y, z), F] \mapsto(x, y)
$$

and the image of $\rho$ is equal to $\mathcal{M}_{A} \times \mathcal{M}_{A}-\mathcal{C}$ for an algebraic set $\mathcal{C}$. A computation shows that $\mathcal{C}$ is given explicitly by

$$
\mathcal{C}=\{x+y=1\} \cup\left\{x^{2}-y-2 x+1=0\right\} \cup\left\{x^{2}+y=1\right\} \cup\left\{2 x y+x^{2}-y-2 x+1=0\right\} .
$$

We have shown the following.

Proposition 3.1. The map $\rho: \mathcal{W}_{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{A} \times \mathcal{M}_{A}$ is an isomorphism onto a Zariski dense subset, and $\mathcal{V}_{f}$ maps isomorphically to $\mathcal{W}_{f} \cap \mathcal{E} q\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$; in particular, $\mathcal{V}_{f}$ is connected.

We are now ready to complete the proof of Theorem 1.3 .
Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Corollary 2.8, to prove Theorem 1.3 it suffices to show that there is an element $\gamma \in \mathrm{S}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$ such that no nonzero power of $\gamma$ lies in $\mathrm{E}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$. We do this by reducing to the setting of the example in Section 2.11.

The space $\mathcal{W}_{f}$ embeds onto a Zariski dense subset in $\mathcal{X}:=\mathcal{M}_{A} \times \mathcal{M}_{A}-\{x+y=1\}$. Let $\iota: \mathcal{W}_{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the embedding, and let $x_{0}:=\iota\left(v_{0}\right)$. Then the induced map

$$
\iota_{*}: \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{W}_{f}, v_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{X}, x_{0}\right)
$$

is an epimorphism. Furthermore, the maps $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}: \mathcal{W}_{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{A}$ factor as

$$
\rho_{1}=\xi_{1} \circ \iota \quad \text { and } \quad \rho_{2}=\xi_{2} \circ \iota
$$

where $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}: \mathcal{M}_{A} \times \mathcal{M}_{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{A}$ are projections onto the $x$ and $y$ coordinates. It follows that $\iota_{*}\left(\mathrm{~S}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)\right)=\mathrm{S}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ and $\iota_{*}\left(\mathrm{E}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$.

By Proposition 2.11 the index $\left[\mathrm{S}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right): \mathrm{E}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)\right]$ is infinite. It follows that the index $\left[\mathrm{S}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right): \mathrm{E}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)\right]$ is also infinite. By Lemma 2.6 this implies that $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ has infinitely many components.

We finish with a constructive description of an element in $S_{f}$ whose action on connected components of $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ has an infinite orbit. The Birman exact sequence [B] for $A=\{0,1, \infty, a\}$ is

$$
1 \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}-\{0,1, \infty\}, a\right) \xrightarrow{\eta} \operatorname{Mod}_{A} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mod}_{\{0,1, \infty\}} \rightarrow 1,
$$

where $\eta$ takes a loop $\ell$ based at $a$ to the point-push map associated to $\ell$. Since $\operatorname{Mod}_{\{0,1, \infty\}}$ is trivial, $\eta$ is an isomorphism. Our choice of basepoint $a=m_{0}$ identifies $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}-\{0,1, \infty\}, a\right)$ with $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{A}, m_{0}\right)$ and $\eta$ becomes the defining map from $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{A}, m_{0}\right)$ to $\operatorname{Mod}_{A}$ that determines the regular covering $\mathcal{T}_{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{A}$.
Proposition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{D}_{u_{0}}$ be the connected component of $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ containing $u_{0}$. Let $\kappa \in \pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}-\right.$ $\{0,1, \infty\}, a)$ be represented by a simple closed path based at a separating $\{0,1\}$ from $\{\infty\}$. Then there is an element $s \in \mathrm{~S}_{f}$ such that

$$
\Phi_{f}(s)=s_{A}=\eta(\kappa),
$$

and the map

$$
n \mapsto s^{n}\left(\mathcal{D}_{u_{0}}\right),
$$

defines a bijection from $\mathbb{Z}$ to a subset of the connected components of $\mathcal{D}_{f}$.
Proof. Let $\gamma_{1}^{\prime} \in \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{X}, x_{0}\right)$ be such that $\left(\xi_{1}\right)_{*}\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\kappa$. As in our proof of Proposition 2.11, we can assume that $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ has a representative $\ell$ contained in the generic fiber $\mathcal{K}_{1}:=\xi_{1}^{-1}(a)$, such that $\ell$ avoids the set

$$
\tau:=\left\{(a, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \mid 0 \leq y \leq 1\right\}
$$

in $\mathcal{K}_{1}$. Let $\gamma_{1} \in \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{W}_{f}, v_{0}\right)$ be such that the map induced by inclusion $\iota: \mathcal{W}_{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ gives

$$
\iota_{*}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\gamma_{1}^{\prime},
$$

and let $\gamma_{2} \in \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{W}_{f}, v_{0}\right)$ be such that

$$
\iota_{*}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=\delta_{*}\left(\gamma_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

The elements $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ exist because $\iota_{*}$ is surjective. Then $\gamma=\gamma_{1} \gamma_{2}$ defines an element of $\mathrm{S}\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}\right)$, and $s:=\mathfrak{h}(\gamma) \in \mathrm{S}_{f}$ satisfies $\Phi_{f}(s)=s_{A}=\eta(\kappa)$.
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