

# Introduction to lattices

Let  $b_1, \dots, b_r \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be linearly independent over  $\mathbb{R}$ .  
Consider the following  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$$L := \mathbb{Z}b_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}b_r.$$

Such  $L$  is called a *lattice* with basis  $b_1, \dots, b_r$ .

**Lattice reduction (LLL):** Given a “bad” basis of  $L$ , compute a “good” basis of  $L$ .

What does this mean? Attempt #1:  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  is a “bad basis” when  $L$  has another basis consisting of much shorter vectors.

However: To understand lattice reduction, it does not help to focus on lengths of vectors. What matters are: *Gram-Schmidt lengths*.

# Gram-Schmidt

$$L = \mathbb{Z}b_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}b_r$$

Given  $b_1, \dots, b_r$ , the Gram-Schmidt process produces vectors  $b_1^*, \dots, b_r^*$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (not in  $L$ !) with:

$$b_i^* := b_i \text{ reduced mod } \mathbb{R}b_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{R}b_{i-1}$$

i.e.

$$b_1^*, \dots, b_r^* \text{ are orthogonal}$$

and

$$b_1^* = b_1$$

and

$$b_i^* \equiv b_i \pmod{\text{prior vectors.}}$$

## Gram-Schmidt, continued

$b_1, \dots, b_r$ : A basis (as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module) of  $L$ .

$b_1^*, \dots, b_r^*$ : Gram-Schmidt vectors (not a basis of  $L$ ).

$b_i^* \equiv b_i \pmod{\text{prior vectors}}$

$\|b_1^*\|, \dots, \|b_r^*\|$  are the *Gram-Schmidt lengths* and  
 $\|b_1\|, \dots, \|b_r\|$  are the *actual lengths* of  $b_1, \dots, b_r$ .

G.S. lengths are far more informative than actual lengths, e.g.

$$\min\{\|v\|, v \in L, v \neq 0\} \geq \min\{\|b_i^*\|, i = 1 \dots r\}.$$

G.S. lengths tell us immediately if a basis is bad  
(actual lengths do not).

## Good/bad basis of $L$

We say that  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  is a *bad basis* if  $\|b_i^*\| \ll \|b_j^*\|$  for some  $i > j$ .

Bad basis = later vector(s) have much smaller G.S. length than earlier vector(s).

If  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  is bad in the G.S. sense, then it is also bad in terms of actual lengths. We will ignore actual lengths because:

- The actual lengths provides no obvious strategy for finding a better basis, making LLL a mysterious black box.
- In contrast, in terms of G.S. lengths the strategy is clear:
  - (a) Increase  $\|b_i^*\|$  for large  $i$ , and
  - (b) Decrease  $\|b_i^*\|$  for small  $i$ .

Tasks (a) and (b) are equivalent because  $\det(L) = \prod_{i=1}^r \|b_i^*\|$  stays the same.

# Quantifying good/bad basis

The goal of lattice reduction is to:

- (a) Increase  $\|b_i^*\|$  for large  $i$ , and
- (b) Decrease  $\|b_i^*\|$  for small  $i$ .

Phrased this way, there is an obvious way to measure progress:

$$P := \sum_{i=1}^r i \cdot \log_2(\|b_i^*\|)$$

Tasks (a),(b), improving a basis, can be reformulated as:

- Moving G.S.-length forward, in other words:
- Increasing  $P$ .

# Operations on a basis of $L = \mathbb{Z}b_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}b_r$

**Notation:** Let  $\mu_{ij} = (b_i \cdot b_j^*) / (b_j^* \cdot b_j^*)$  so that

$$b_i = b_i^* + \sum_{j < i} \mu_{ij} b_j^* \quad (\text{recall : } b_i \equiv b_i^* \text{ mod prior vectors})$$

LLL performs two types of operations on a basis of  $L$ :

- (I) Subtract an integer multiple of  $b_j$  from  $b_i$  (for some  $j < i$ ).
- (II) Swap two adjacent vectors  $b_{i-1}, b_i$ .

Deciding which operations to take is based solely on:

- The G.S. lengths  $\|b_j^*\| \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- The  $\mu_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$  that relate G.S. to actual vectors.

These numbers are typically computed to some error tolerance  $\epsilon$ .

# Operations on a basis of $L = \mathbb{Z}b_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}b_r$ , continued

**Operation (I):** Subtract  $k \cdot b_j$  from  $b_i$  ( $j < i$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ).

- 1 No effect on:  $b_1^*, \dots, b_r^*$
- 2 Changes  $\mu_{ij}$  by  $k$  (also changes  $\mu_{i,j'}$  for  $j' < j$ ).
- 3 After repeated use:  $|\mu_{ij}| \leq 0.5 + \epsilon$  for all  $j < i$ .

**Operation (II):** Swap  $b_{i-1}, b_i$ , but only when (Lovász condition)

$$p_i := \log_2 \|\text{new } b_i^*\| - \log_2 \|\text{old } b_i^*\| \geq 0.1$$

- 1  $b_1^*, \dots, b_{i-2}^*$  and  $b_{i+1}^*, \dots, b_r^*$  stay the same.
- 2  $\log_2(\|b_{i-1}^*\|)$  decreases and  $\log_2(\|b_i^*\|)$  increases by  $p_i$
- 3 **Progress counter**  $P$  increases by  $p_i \geq 0.1$ .

# Lattice reduction, the LLL algorithm:

**Input:** a basis  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  of a lattice  $L$

**Output:** a good basis  $b_1, \dots, b_r$

Step 1. Apply operation (I) until all  $|\mu_{ij}| \leq 0.5 + \epsilon$ .

Step 2. If  $\exists_i p_i \geq 0.1$  then swap  $b_{i-1}, b_i$  and return to Step 1.  
Otherwise the algorithm ends.

Step 1 has no effect on G.S.-lengths and  $P$ . It improves the  $\mu_{ij}$  and  $p_i$ 's. A swap increases progress counter

$$P = \sum i \cdot \log_2(\|b_i^*\|)$$

by  $p_i \geq 0.1$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \# \text{calls to Step 1} &= 1 + \# \text{swaps} \\ &\leq 1 + 10 \cdot (P_{\text{output}} - P_{\text{input}}). \end{aligned}$$



## Lattice reduction, properties of the output:

LLL stops when every  $p_i < 0.1$ . A short computation, using  $|\mu_{i,i-1}| \leq 0.5 + \epsilon$ , shows that

$$\|b_{i-1}^*\| \leq 1.28 \cdot \|b_i^*\|$$

for all  $i$ . So later G.S.-lengths are not much smaller than earlier ones; the output is a *good basis*.

# Using LLL to solve (or partially solve!) a problem

LLL solves many problems. Suppose a vector  $v$  encodes the solution of a problem, and we construct  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  with

$$v \in \mathbb{Z}b_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}b_r$$

**Solving a problem with a single call to LLL:** If every vector outside of  $\mathbb{Z}v$  is much longer than  $v$ , then the first vector in the LLL output is  $\pm v$ . The original LLL paper factors  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  by constructing the coefficient vector  $v$  of a factor in this way.

**Partial reduction in the combinatorial problem:** If  $\|b_i^*\| > \|v\|$  for all  $i \in \{k+1, \dots, r\}$  then

$$v \in \mathbb{Z}b_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}b_k.$$

The initial basis is usually bad, i.e.  $\|b_r^*\|$  is small: We need LLL to make  $\|b_r^*\| >$  an upper bound for  $\|v\|$ .