# 7. Function sequences. Compositions. The exponential and logarithm

In Lectures 5-6 we thought of sequences as functions, with sets of integers for domains, and sets of numbers for ranges. For example, with  $f_k$  defined by Table 5.1 and (5.1), leaf thickness frequency has domain [1...15] and range { $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ ,  $f_3$ ,  $f_4$ ,  $f_5$ ,  $f_6$ ,  $f_7$ ,  $f_8$ ,  $f_9$ ,  $f_{10}$ ,  $f_{11}$ ,  $f_{12}$ ,  $f_{13}$ ,  $f_{14}$ ,  $f_{15}$ }; and with  $f_k$  defined by Table 5.2 and (5.2), clutch size frequency has domain [1...N] and range { $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ ,  $f_3$ ,  $f_4$ ,  $f_5$ ,  $f_6$ ,  $f_7$ }. More generally, a sequence { $f_k$ } is defined on with first term  $f_L$  and last term  $f_M$ . Furthermore, the labels tell us everything there is to brow about the sequence. Thus an alternative view of sequences is that they are ordered lists. When we think of a sequence as an ordered list, however, there is no special reason for the list to be a list of numbers. In particular, it could instead be a list of functions. The sequence is then a function sequence. Of functions. The sequence is then a function sequence.

{ $f_n$ } is that  $o_n$  is a number, whereas  $f_n$  is a function. Because  $f_n$  is a function, we need to know its domain, [a, b]. Because { $f_n$ } is a sequence, we need to know [L...M]. Therefore, in principle, we should use { $f_n$ } is a sequence, we need to know [L...M]. Therefore, for principle, we should use { $f_n$ } is a sequence, we need to know [...M]. Therefore, for principle, we can denote a function sequence and M (usually  $\infty$ ) are obvious from context. So in practice we can denote a function sequence sequence sequence sequence sequence from context. So in practice we can denote a function sequence simply by { $f_n(x)$ }. We from context. So in practice we can denote a function sequence simply by { $f_n(x)$ }. We from context. So in practice we can denote a function sequence simply by { $f_n(x)$ }. We from context. So in practice we can denote a function sequence simply by { $f_n(x)$ }. We from context. So in practice we can denote a function sequence simply by { $f_n(x)$ }. We from context of the values of the values of the sequence sequence sequence sequence set to be set to be

$1 + 9x + 28x^{2} + 35x^{3} + 15x^{4} + x^{5}$	$1 + 8x + 21x^{2} + 20x^{3} + 5x^{4}$	$(^{\flat}x + ^{\flat}x01 + ^{\flat}x\overline{c}1 + x\overline{c} + 1)x$	10
$1 + 8x + 21x^2 + 20x^3 + 5x^4$	$x^{4}$	$(^{5}x^{4}+^{7}x^{0}) + x^{6} + (^{5}x^{3})$	6
$1 + 7x^{2} + 15x^{2} + 10x^{3} + 10x^{4}$	$x_{4}^{2} + x_{2}^{2} + x_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2} + x_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2} + x_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2} + x_{2$	$(x_{\varepsilon}x + x_{\varepsilon}x9 + x_{\varepsilon}z + 1)x$	8
$x^{5}x^{4}x^{2}x^{2}x^{2}x^{2}x^{2}x^{2}x^{2}x^{2$	$x^{5} + 5x^{2} + 5x$	$(^{2}x^{2} + x^{4} + 1)x$	Z
x + xy + xy + xz + z	$^{2}xE + xI + I$	$(^{z}x + x\xi + f)x$	9
$^2xE + xA + I$	$x + x\xi + f$	$(x\Sigma + I)x$	ç
$^{2}x + xE + f$	$x^{2}+1$	(x + f)x	$\overline{V}$
1+2×	x+ľ	X	ε
x+ľ	I	X	7
I	I	0	l
I	0	I	0
$(x)^{u}n = {}^{u}n$	ч <sup>и</sup>	${}^{\mathrm{u}}\Lambda$	u

Table 7.1 Fibonacci polynomials

Suppose, for example, that reproduction in a Fibonacci population is not as perfect as Fibonacci supposed. Specifically, it is no longer true that every pair of rabbits reproduces itself with certainty every month; rather, it reproduces with probability x (and so fails to reproduce itself with probability 1 - x), where  $0 \le x \le 1$ . It is now no longer true that the initial pair contributes a pair of newborns by the end of month 2; in terms of Lecture 5,  $y_2 \ne 2$ . But the *expected* number of newborn pairs at the end of month 2; in terms of Lecture 5,  $y_2 \ne 2$ . But the *expected* number, N, of identical but independent month 2 is x (in the sense that a very large number, N, of identical but independent and so the *expected* total of rabbit pairs at the end of February), and so the *expected* total of rabbit pairs at the end of February is 1 + x. Thus, if we number of the expected number of Y<sub>k</sub> as expected number of young pairs at the end of month k, as expected number of young pairs at the end of month k, as expected number of years at the end of month k, then  $y_2 = x$ ,  $a_2 = 1$  and  $u_2 = 1 + x$ . See Table 1.

(I.7)

si n dinom io bne et the end of month is multiply a<sub>n-1</sub> by the probability that a pair reproduces, which is x, we find that the at the end of month n-1 produce  $a_{n-1}$  young at the end of month n. Neverthless, if we More generally, when reproduction is uncertain, it isn't true that the an-1 adults

$$x = x g^{u-1}$$

end of month n-1 plus expected number of adults at the end of month n-1: number of adults at the end of month n still equals expected number of young at the mortality: a young rabbit still becomes an adult after a month has elapsed. So expected independent Fibonacci breeding experiments). Our model continues to exclude xa<sub>n-1</sub> newborns on average (where the average is taken over a large number of which agrees with (5.5) when x = 1. We interpret (1) as saying that  $a_{n-1}$  adults produce uλ

(2.7) 
$$a_n = y_{n-1} + a_{n-1-n} + a_n$$
 and  $y_n$  as averages esgerave to the now interpret  $a_n$  and  $y_n$  as averages

si n dhoom to bne at the stidder of rabber of rabbits at the end of month n is (over a very large number of Fibonacci experiments). With the same reinterpretation, in other words,

From (1) and (2), we 5 find that

$$\sqrt{(n+1)} = xa_n$$

replaces (5.11), implying (Exercise 1)

in place of (5.12). Thus expected total of rabbit pairs at time n is defined implicitly by  $^{I-u}nx + ^{u}n = ^{I+u}n$  $(\overline{C}.\overline{V})$ 

- $\begin{array}{rcl}
   I &=& {}^{\mathrm{I}}n \\
   I &=& {}^{\mathrm{O}}n
   \end{array}$ (dð.7) (60.<sup>7</sup>)
- $\Gamma \leq n$   $\operatorname{Hi}_{\Gamma-n}ux + u = \Gamma_{+n}u$ (20.7)

 $xu_2 = 1 + 2x + x(1+x) = 1 + 3x + x^2$ , and so on; see Table 1. For example, because  $u_2 = 1 + x$ , we have  $u_3 = u_2 + xu_1 = 1 + x + x = 1 + 2x$ ,  $u_4 = u_3 + 10^{-1}$ 

and write  $u_n = u_n(x)$  for the (n+1)-th Fibonacci polynomial, as in Table 1. context which meaning is intended. Henceforward, therefore, we use u in place of f practice to use a single notation for both function and label, because it is obvious from notation for each. As remarked at the end of Lecture 2, however, it is convenient in the function of  $V_n$  (which is a label in the function's range) by using a different is In defining these polynomials, we have been careful to distinguish between f<sub>n</sub> (which 1 + 2x and  $f_4(x) = 1 + 3x + x^2$ . We will call these functions the Fibonacci polynomials.  $= (x)_{\delta}$  is and is the defined by  $f_0$ ,  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ ,  $f_3$  and  $f_4$ , are defined by  $f_0(x) = 1$ ,  $f_1(x) = 1$ ,  $f_2(x) = 1 + x$ ,  $f_3(x) = 1$ and in snoitonnt avit first first first first first first first first find in the  $u_0 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1 + 2x$  and  $u_4 = 1 + 3x$ , x = 1,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_3 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_3 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_5 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_3 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_5 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_3 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_5 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_3 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_5 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_3 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_5 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_3 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_5 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_3 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_5 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_3 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_5 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_3 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_5 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_3 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_5 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_3 = 1$ ,  $u_4 = 1$ ,  $u_5 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_3 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_3 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_1 = 1$ ,  $u_2 = 1$ ,  $u_2$ function sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}$  in which each term  $f_n$  has domain [0, 1]. For example, because If we set  $u = f_n(x)$ , then the expected totals at the end of each month define a

analogy with Lecture 5, we define the function sequence  $\{\phi_n(x)\}$  by at the end of a month with expected number at the end of the previous month. By A more interesting function sequence compares expected number of rabbit pairs

$$(\nabla \nabla) \qquad \qquad . I \le n \quad (x)_{n} u = (x)_n \phi$$

proceeding as in Lecture 5, we can define  $\{\phi_n(x)\}$  recursively by Each  $\phi_n$  has the same domain as  $u_n$ , namely, [0, 1]. Alternatively, dividing (6) by  $u_n$  and

$$(\mathfrak{s}8.7) \qquad \qquad \Gamma = {}_{\Gamma}\phi$$

(d8.7) 
$$f \le n$$
 if  $\frac{x}{a\phi} + f = \frac{1}{1+a\phi}$ 

 $\phi_n = \phi_n(x)$  for the n-th Fibonacci rational function, but it is convenient in practice Fibonacci rational function. (Again, it is strictly speaking an abuse of notation to write = (1 + 2x)/(x + 1). Each  $\phi_n$  is a rational function on [0, 1], and so we refer to  $\phi_n$  as a  $(x)_{\delta}\phi$  has  $x + 1 = (x)_{\delta}\phi$ ,  $1 = (x)_{\delta}\phi$  by  $\phi_{1}\phi$ ,  $\phi_{3}$ , are defined by  $\phi_{1}(x) = 1$ ,  $\phi_{2}(x)$ , and  $\phi_{3}(x)$ or on (x + 2x), and so on (see Table 2 and Exercise 3). Thus the first three functions of (Exercise 1). For example,  $\phi_2 = 1 + x/\phi_1 = 1 + x/1 = 1 + x/\phi_2 = 1 + x/\phi_2 = 1 + x/\phi_1 = 1 + x/\phi_2 = 1 + x/\phi_2$ 

because it is obvious from context whether  $\phi_n$  means a function or a label in its range.)

$$(x)^{u}\phi = {}^{u}\phi \qquad u$$

$$(x+I)/(xZ+I)$$

$$x^{2}+1)/(x+x^{2}+1)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$$

$$\sum_{(1+5x+10x^{2}+4x^{3})/(1+5x+6x^{2}+x^{3})} (1+5x+6x^{2}+x^{3}) = 0$$

8 
$$(1+7x^{2}+15x^{2}+10x^{3}+x^{4})/(1+6x+10x^{2}+4x^{3})$$

$$(^{*}x + ^{\varepsilon}x01 + ^{z}x21 + x7 + 1)/(^{*}x3 + ^{\varepsilon}x02 + ^{z}x12 + x8 + 1)$$

10 
$$(1+9x+28x^{2}+35x^{3}+15x^{4}+x^{5})/(1+8x+21x^{2}+20x^{3}+5x^{4})$$
10 
$$(1+9x+28x^{2}+35x^{3}+15x^{4}+x^{5})/(1+8x+21x^{2}+x^{6}+x^{6})$$

### Table 7.2 Fibonacci rational functions

The graph of  $\phi_n$ , i.e., the curve  $y = \phi_n(x)$ , is shown in Figure 1 as a solid curve for

$$y = 1, \dots, 6$$
. The dashed curve is  $y = \phi_{\infty}(x)$ , where  $\phi_{\infty}$  is defined on [0, 1] by

(e.7) 
$$\left\{\overline{x}h + f \vee + f\right\} = (x)_{\infty}\phi$$

even or odd. In other words, it appears that dashed curve, with  $y = \phi_n(x)$  above or below the dashed curve according to whether n is Notice that, as n gets larger and larger, the solid curves appear to converge toward the

$$(01.7) \qquad (x)_{\infty}\phi = (x)_{n}\phi \quad \min_{\infty \leftarrow n}$$

The upshot of all this is that the limit of a convergent function sequence is yet and that convergence is oscillatory. We prove these results in Appendix 7.

worth a year from now? %, e.g., a rate of 6% means x = 0.06). If you deposit a dollar today, how much will it be x001 se befoup Vlleuzu) x fo efer leunne ne te teetef interverse ar 1000 serves serves annual reference and 1000 serves the serves are served as 1000 serves and 1000 serves and 1000 serves are served as 1000 serves and 1000 serves and 1000 serves are served as 1000 serves and 1000 serves are served as 1000 serves and 1000 serves are served as 1000 serves are serves are serves are served as 1000 serves are serves are served as 1000 serves are serves ar can be defined as the limit of a function sequence. Suppose, for example, that your another function. But convergence is a two-sided coin. Its other side is that a function

The answer depends on how often the interest is compounded. If the interest is compounded only once, at the end of the year, then your dollar is worth 1 + x/2 after six months, and whatever you have after six months is worth 1 + x/2 after six months, and whatever you have after six months is worth 1 + x/2 times as much at year's end. In other words, at the end of the year your dollar is worth 1 + x/2 times as much at year's end. In other words, at the end of the year your dollar is worth 1 + x/2 times as much at year's end. In other words, at the end of the year your dollar is worth  $(1 + x/2)(1 + x/2) = (1 + \frac{x}{2})^2$ . Similarly, if the interest is compounded quarterly, then after three months your dollar is worth 1 + x/4, and at year's end it is quarterly.

This argument is readily generalized. Let  $\phi_n(x)$  be how much your dollar is worth at year's end if interest is compounded n times a year. Then  $\{\phi_n(x)\}$  is a function sequence, defined by

(II.7) 
$$.\infty > x \ge 0$$
  $, 1 \le n$   $, \left(\frac{x}{n} + 1\right) = (x)_n \phi$ 

The sequence is graphed in Figures 2-3, where  $y = \phi_n(x)$  is shown as a solid curve for n = 1, ..., 6 in Figure 3. Note that the solid curve solid curves converge from below toward the dashed curve, which we denote by  $y = \phi_{\infty}(x)$ . Because  $\phi_{\infty}(x)$  is the limit of  $\phi_n(x)$  as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $\phi_{\infty}$  tells you how much your dollar would be used on the dashed curve.

be worth at year's end, at interest rate x, if interest were compounded continuously from the moment you put your dollar in the bank. It is such an important function in mathematics that we give it a special name, the **exponential** function, and we denote it by the symbol exp. Thus exp is defined on  $[0, \infty)$  by

(21.7) 
$$(x)_n \phi_{\infty \leftarrow n} = (x)_\infty \phi = (x)qx9$$

(5.13) (7.13) (7.13) (7.13) (7.13) (7.13) (7.13). Note in particular that the function only its restriction to 1 - 1 (7.13)

(because  $\phi_n(0) = 1$  for every value of n, it remains so in the limit as  $n \to \infty$ ).

Observe that exp is increasing and concave upward on  $[0, \infty)$ . Thus, from (13) and Exercise 1.1, the range of exp is [exp(0), exp( $\infty$ )) =  $[1, \infty)$ . Because exp is increasing, it is invertible; and, again from Exercise 1.1, the inverse function has domain  $[1, \infty)$  and range  $[0, \infty)$ . Moreover, because exp is concave upward on  $[0, \infty)$ , the inverse function is concave upward on  $[0, \infty)$ , the inverse function is concave upward on  $[0, \infty)$ .

This inverse function is just as important in mathematicas as the exponential function, and so it also has a special name. We call it the **logarithmic** function and denote it by the symbol In (for natural logarithm). Thus, in particular, (13) implies that lenote it by the symbol In (for natural logarithm). Thus, in particular, (13) implies that lenote if logarithm = 0.

The graphs of exp and In are sketched in Figure 4. Further properties will be discussed in Lectures 20 and 22.

Other functions, e.g., polynomials, can be combined with exp or In to form sums, products, quotients or joins. For example, because exp(x) is always positive on  $[0, \infty)$ , we can define a quotient q on  $[0, \infty)$  by

(21.7) 
$$\frac{1}{(x)qx_9} = (x)p$$

By (13), q(0) = 1. Moreover, because exp(x) gets larger and larger as x increases, q(x) gets smaller and smaller as x increases until eventually it approaches zero. In other words,

bns [1, 0) spirit div ( $\infty$ , 0] no gnisestropy divide the strictly decreasing on [0,  $\infty$ ) with range (0, 1] and

(0.5) 
$$(0 = (x)p \min_{x \to x} = (\infty)p$$

so that y = 0 is a horizontal asymptote to the graph y = q(x). Furthermore, because q is strictly decreasing, it has an inverse, say r, with domain (0, 1] and range  $[0, \infty)$ , and x = 0 is a vertical asymptote to the graph x = r(y). See Figure 5 and Exercise 6.

Not every combination, however, is a sum,product, quotient or join. Our fifth, and final, category of combination is composition, which we now define. Accordingly, let U be a function with domain [a, b], and let Q be another function whose domain is the *range* of U. Suppose  $a \le x \le b$ . Then U(x) lies in the range of x, which means that U(x) lies in the line domain of Q, which in turn means that Q(U(x)) is well defined. So

$$(\nabla I.\nabla)$$
  $d \ge x \ge b$   $((x)U)Q = (x)R$ 

defines a function R whose domain and range coincide with those of U and Q, respectively. Furthermore, if U is increasing on [a, b] and Q is increasing on the range of U then R is increasing on [a, b]. We call R the **composition** of U and Q and write R = QU. Note, incidentally, that Q(U(x)) is not the same thing as Q(x)U(x), which is why

we use we use QU for composition and  $Q \bullet U$  for product.

Suppose for example, that [a, b] = 
$$[0, \infty)$$
 and

(81.7) 
$$(x + 1)^{-x} + (x + 1)^{-x}$$

so that the range of U is [1,  $\infty$ ). Then a legitimate Q for composition is any function defined by

$$Q(\gamma) = \ln(\gamma).$$

(01)-(71) morì ,woN

 $R(x) = Q(U(x)) = \ln(U(x)) = \ln(U(x)) = \ln(X + 1)$ defines a composition whose domain and range are both  $[0, \infty)$ , because  $[0, \infty)$ , because both U the domain of U and the range of Q. Note that R is strictly increasing, because both U and Q are strictly increasing.

For another example, suppose that  $[a, b] = [0, \infty)$  and that U is the nonnegative integer power function defined by

(I2.7) 
$$.1 \le m$$
,  $0 < A$ ,  $^m x A = (x)U$ 

For any A or m, the range of U is [0,  $\infty$ ), and so a legitimate Q for composition is any function defined on [0,  $\infty$ ), e.g., Q defined by

$$Q(y) = exp(y).$$
 (7.22)

Vow, from (17), (19), the composition R is defined by

$$R(x) = Q(U(x)) = \exp(U(x)) = \exp(Ax^{m}). \qquad (7.23)$$

Again, both domain and range of R are [0, ∞); and R is strictly increasing, because U and Q are both strictly increasing.

Once a composition has been defined, it is just like any other function, and so it can be combined with many other functions to form sums, products, quotients, joins or further compositions. For example, because R is strictly increasing, R(x) must exceed R(0) = 1; and so, in particular, R(x) is never zero. Thus we can define a quotient q on  $[0, \infty)$  by

Furthermore, because R is strictly increasing, q must be strictly decreasing. Of course, (15) is the special case of (24) in which A = 1 = m.

### **Exercises** 7

- .(8) bns (5) and (8).
- 7.2\* Obtain explicit expressions for  $u_5(x)$ ,  $u_6(x)$ ,  $u_8(x)$ ,  $u_8(x)$ ,  $u_9(x)$  and  $u_{10}(x)$ , defined by (6). In other words, verify Table 1 for the first eleven Fibonacci polynomials. Verify that your results are consistent with those of Lecture 5 when x = 1.
- 7.3\* Obtain explicit expressions for  $\phi_4(x)$ ,  $\phi_5(x)$ , ...,  $\phi_{10}(x)$ , defined by (8). In other words, verify Table 2 for the first ten Fibonacci rational functions. Verify that your results are consistent with those of Lecture 5 when x = 1.
- The function sequence  $\{s_n(x)_n \ge 0, 0 \le n \mid (x)_n\}$  is defined recursively by f = 0.

$$0 \le u \quad \left(\frac{us}{x} + us\right) \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} u$$

- (i) Find rational-function expressions for  $s_1(x)$ ,  $s_2(x)$ ,  $s_3(x)$  and  $s_4(x)$ .
- $s(x)_n s \min_{\infty \leftarrow n} = (x)_\infty s \sqrt{[4, 0]}$  no benifed  $si_\infty s$  notion that (ii)
- (iii) Show graphically that the function sequence converges from above (in contrast to Figure 2), in the sense that  $s_n(x) \ge s_{\infty}(x)$  for  $n \ge 1$ . Hints: Proceed by analogy with Appendix 4 for (ii), and use Mathematica for (iii).
- γd vlavistvely benite is the function sequence  $\{s_n(x)_n \ge 0, 0 \le x \ge 0\}$  is defined recursively by  $\Gamma = 0$

$$0 \le u \quad \left(\frac{z^{u}s}{x} + {}^{u}s\right)\frac{1}{2} = {}^{I+u}s$$

- (i) Find rational-function expressions for  $s_1(x)$ ,  $s_2(x)$  and  $s_3(x)$ .
- (ii) What function  $s_{\infty}$  is defined on [0, 8] by  $s_{\infty}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} s_n(x)$
- iii) Show graphically that the function sequence converges.
- **7.6** Show that r defined by r(y) = ln(1/y) is the inverse of q in (15). See Figure 5.
- A sequence  $\{H_n(x)\}$  of functions called the Hermite polynomials is defined by the recurrence relation

$$f = _{0}H$$

$$x2 = _{I}H$$

$$X = _{I+n}H$$

$$x = 2(x_{n-1}Hx) = x_{n-1}H$$

zhow that

 $H_4(x) = 4(4x^4 - 12x^2 + 3)$ 

.(x)7H bniî bna

 $\frac{(x)\Lambda}{(x)S} = (x)d$ 

**8.** A sequence { $L_n(x)$ } of functions called the Laguerre polynomials is defined by the recurrence relation

$$L_{n-1} = 1 - x$$

$$L_{n-1} = (2n + 1 - x)L_n - n^2 L_{n-1}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

that that

$$\Gamma_4(x) = x^4 - 16x^3 + 72x^2 - 96x + 24$$

.(x)<sub>0</sub>J bniî bna

**7.9\*** A sequence  $\{P_n(x)\}$  of functions called the Legendre polynomials is defined by the recurrence relation

$$\mathbf{I} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{I} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{I} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{I$$

Show that

$$D^{3}(x) = \frac{5}{1}x(2x^{2}-3)$$

.(x) $_{\delta}$  Drif bus

d

- **7.10** The compositions f and g are defined by  $f(x) = H_3(L_2(x))$  and  $g(x) = L_2(H_3(x))$ , where  $H_3$  and  $L_2$  are defined in Exercises 7-8. Find expressions for f(x) and g(x). What are the orders of these polynomials?
- 7.11\* The compositions f and g are defined by  $f(x) = P_2(L_3(x))$  and  $g(x) = L_3(P_2(x))$ , where  $L_3$  and  $P_2$  are defined in Exercises 8-9. Find explicit expressions for f(x)and g(x). What are the orders of these polynomials?
- 7.12 If g and h are inverse functions, what are (i) g(h(x)) (ii) h(g(y))? Why?

V(x) = R(Q(U(x)))	[[1'0]	Λ	
xz = (x)S	[[1'0]	S	
$\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{f} = (\mathbf{x})\mathbf{y}$	[ <u>\$</u> ^'I]	В	
$Q(y) = \sqrt{y} = y^{1/2}$	[1'2]	Q	
xh + f = (x)U	[[1 '0]	Ω	
<b>VASSIGNMENT RULE</b>	NIYWOD	NAME	*£1.7

The table above defines three linear functions, namely, U, R and S; a power function, namely, Q; a composition of a composition, namely, P, Find an explicit expression for p(x) and sketch the graph of p. Verify that p is increasing. What is its global maximum?

[l,1]

A sequence of functions { $H_n(x)$ } called Hermite polynomials is defined in Exercise 4.7, and a sequence of functions { $P_n(x)$ } called Legendre polynomials is defined in Exercise 4.9. The compositions f and g are defined by  $f(x) = H_3(P_4(x))$ and  $g(x) = H_4(P_3(x))$ . Find explicit expressions for f(x) and g(x). What are the orders of these polynomials?

### Appendix 7: Convergence of the Fibonacci rational function sequence

The purpose of this appendix is to establish the convergence of the sequence  $\{\phi_n(x)\}$  defined by (8). Note that (8) is identical to (3.16) when x = 1. Hence, from Lecture 3, we already know that  $\{\phi_n(1)\}$  converges. Moreover, if x = 0 then (8) implies  $\phi_n = 1$ , so that  $\{\phi_n(0)\}$  is again convergent. So assume that 0 < x < 1.

We first determine what the limit of  $\{\phi_n(x)\}$  must be, *if* the sequence converges. Accordingly, suppose that the limit exists, and call it  $\phi_{\infty}$ . As before, if  $\phi_n \to \phi_{\infty}$  as  $n \to \infty$ . then  $\phi_{n+1} \to \phi_{\infty}$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence, in the limit, (8) implies

$$(IA.7) \qquad \qquad \cdot \frac{x}{\phi} + I = \phi$$

This equation is quadratic with only one positive solution, namely,

(2A.7) 
$$.\left\{\overline{\mathbf{x}}+\overline{\mathbf{l}}+\mathbf{l}\right\} = \mathbf{x}$$

Now we can prove that  $\phi_n(x)$  must converge. Recall that x < 1, implying from (8)

that  $\phi_n > 1$  for  $n \ge 2$ . Subtracting (A1) from (8) and rearranging, we obtain

(EA.7) 
$$\left( \int_{\infty} \phi - \int_{\alpha} \phi \right) \frac{x}{\phi_{\alpha} \phi} = \int_{\infty} \phi - \int_{\Gamma+\alpha} \phi$$

so that

$$(\pounds A.7) \qquad |_{\infty} \phi - {}_{n} \phi |_{\infty} \frac{x}{\phi_{n} \phi} = |_{\infty} \phi - {}_{1+n} \phi |$$

$$(\pounds A.7) \qquad , \qquad |_{\infty} \phi - {}_{n} \phi | \frac{x}{\phi} >$$

$$( \pounds A.7) \qquad , \qquad |_{\infty} \phi - {}_{n} \phi | (x) q =$$

where p is defined on [0, 1] by

(
$$\overline{CA.7}$$
)  $\cdot \frac{x^2}{x^2 + \overline{\Gamma} + \overline{\Gamma}} = (x)q$ 

You show in Exercise 13, however, that  $p(x) \le p(1) = 2/\{1+\sqrt{5}\} = 0.618$ . Thus (A4) ( $\Delta A_{n+1} - \phi_{\infty}$ ) ( $\nabla A_{n+1} - \phi_{\infty}$ ) ( $\nabla A_{n+1} - \phi_{\infty}$ )

regardless of the value of x. That is, the distance between  $\phi_n$  and  $\phi_\infty$  is reduced by at least 38% at each iteration of the recurrence relation, and must eventually approach zero. Moreover, from (A3), if  $\phi_n > \phi_\infty$  then  $\phi_{n+1} < \phi_\infty$ , and vice versa. That is, the convergence is oscillatory.

# Answers and Hints for Selected Exercises

- $\overline{x}_{V} = (x)_{\infty} \mathbf{s}$  (ii)  $\mathbf{h}.\nabla$
- $^{\varepsilon/\Gamma}x = (x)_{\infty}s$  (ii)  $\overline{c.7}$
- 7.6 If t is the inverse of q, then  $y = q(x) \Leftrightarrow x = r(y)$ . But  $q(x) = 1/\exp(x)$ . So  $x = r(y) \Leftrightarrow y = 1/\exp(x)$ , or  $r(y) \Leftrightarrow x = r(y)$ ,  $y = 1/\exp(x)$ ,  $y = r(y) \Leftrightarrow x = \ln(1/y)$ , or  $r(y) = \ln(1/y)$ . Coreverse  $x = r(y) \Leftrightarrow x = \ln(1/y)$ , or  $r(y) = \ln(1/y)$ .
- $\nabla \nabla T = 16x(8x^6 84x^4 + 210x^2 105).$
- $\nabla.8 \qquad L_6(x) = x^6 36x^5 + 450x^4 2400x^3 + 5400x^2 4320x + 720.$

$$(2I + {}^{2}x07 - {}^{4}x63)x\frac{1}{8} = (x)_{8}T = 0.7$$

7.10 From Exercises 7-8, we have  $H_3(x) = 8x^3 - 12x$  and  $L_2(x) = x^2 - 4x + 2$ . So  $H_3(\bullet) = 8(\bullet)^3 - 12(\bullet)$ , for any  $\bullet$  whatsoever. In particular,  $H_3(L_2(x)) = 8\{L_2(x)\}^3 - 12L_2(x)$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} & = \sqrt{\{2x_{e} - 5\sqrt[3]{x}_{2} + 108x_{4} - 55\sqrt[3]{x}_{3} + 513x_{5} - 8\sqrt[3]{x} + 10\}^{*} \\ & = \sqrt{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{4} - 19x_{3} + \sqrt[3]{0}x_{5} - 35x + 2)} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 35x + 2)} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 35x + 2)} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 35x_{5} - 3)} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3)} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[3]{(x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)(5x_{5} - \sqrt[3]{x} + 5)x_{5} - 3} \\ & = \sqrt[$$

Similarly,  $L_2(H_3(x)) = \{H_3(x)\}^2 - 4H_3(x) + 2$   $= (8x^3 - 12x)^2 - 4(8x^3 - 12x) + 2$   $= 8^2x^6 - 2 \cdot 8 \cdot 12 \cdot x^3 \cdot x + 12^2x^2 - 32x^3 + 48x + 2$  $= 8^2x^6 - 2 \cdot 8 \cdot 12 \cdot x^3 \cdot x + 12^2x^2 - 32x^3 + 48x + 2$ 

The order of each polynomial is.

 $\gamma$  (ii) x (i)  $\Sigma \Gamma \overline{\Lambda}$ 

7.13 Because R(z) = 1 + 2, we have R(Q(U(x))) = 1 + Q(U(x)). So V(x) = 1 + Q(U(x)). Because  $Q(y) = \sqrt{y}$ , we have  $Q(U(x)) = \sqrt{U(x)}$ . So  $V(x) = 1 + \sqrt{U(x)} = 1 + \sqrt{1 + 4x}$ , implying

$$\frac{x_{\underline{L}} + \underline{\Gamma} + \underline{\Gamma}}{x_{\underline{L}}} = (x)q$$

 $= 2\{32x^{6} - 96x^{4} - 16x^{3} + 72x^{2} + 24x + 1\}.$ 

Because p is increasing, the global maximum is  $p(1) = 2/\{1+\sqrt{5}\} = 0.618$ .

7.14. From Exercise 4.7 we have  $H_3(x) = 4x(2x^2-3)$ , so  $H_3(P_4(x)) = 4P_4(x)$  (2 { $P_4(x)$ }) = From Exercise 4.9 we have  $P_4(x) = (35x^4 - 30x^2 + 3)/8$ , implying  $P_4(H_3(x)) = (35\{H_3(x)\}^4 - 30\{H_3(x)\}^2 - 30x^2 + 3)/8$ . So  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(35x^4 - 30x^2 + 3)(\frac{(35x^4 - 30x^2 + 3)^2}{32} - 3)$   $= \frac{1}{64}(35x^4 - 30x^2 + 3)(1225x^8 - 2100x^6 + 1110x^4 - 180x^2 - 87)$ and

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{8} \left( 35 \{4x(2x^2 - 3)\}^4 - 30 \{4x(2x^2 - 3)\}^2 + \frac{3}{8} \right)^4 - 30 \{4x(2x^2 - 3)\}^2 + \frac{3}{8}$$

 $= 17920x^{12} - 107520x^{10} + 241920x^8 - 242160x^6 + 91440x^4 - 540x^2 + \frac{3}{8}.$ Each polynomial has order 12.