19. Continuous probability distributions: the fundamental theorem again

In Lecture 8, we introduced the concept of probability density function f for a continuous random variable X; f is nonnegative, and total area under its graph is 1. In this lecture, we assume that X is also nonnegative (but we will relax this assumption in Lecture 28). Then the p.d.f. is defined on $[0, \infty)$ with

$$(a1.61) \qquad \qquad \infty > x \ge 0 \quad (0 \le (x))$$

pue

(dI.01)
$$f = xb(x)f_0^\infty = ((\infty, 0], 1) = ((\infty, 0], 1) = ((\infty, 0], 1) = ((\infty, 0], 1)$$

Note what this implies: no matter how far you go to the right, the area under the graph of f remains precisely 1, which can happen only if $f(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Sometimes this condition is satisfied because there exists some b such that f(x) = 0 for x > b; then $Int(f, [b, \infty)) = 0$, and (1b) reduces to Int(f, [0, 1]) = 1.

is satisfied because there exists some b such that f(x) = 0 for x > b; then $Int(f, [b, \infty)) = 0$, and (1b) reduces to Int(f, [0, b]) = 1. At other times, however, $f(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ despite f(x) being positive (if mostly very small) throughout $[0, \infty)$. Then $Int(f, [0, \infty))$ is interpreted to mean the limit of Int(f, [0, K]) as $K \rightarrow \infty$ (and is precisely 1). More generally, if there exists no b such that f(x) = 0 for x > b, then $Int(f, [a, \infty))$ is called an **improper integral** and is interpreted to mean the limit as $K \rightarrow \infty$ of $Int(f, [a, \infty])$. For this limit to exist, however, f must approach zero sufficiently rapidly to prevent the enclosed area from growing without bound. We discuss improper integrals more fully in Lecture 27. Meanwhile, we finesse the issue by always choosing f to guarantee convergence. Conversely, any function f that satisfies (1) is the p.d.f. of a random variable

distributed over $[0, \infty)$. For example, the function f defined by

(2.01)
$$\begin{array}{c} 2 > x \ge 0 \text{ ii} \quad x\{A \mathcal{E} - I\} \frac{\Gamma}{\mathcal{P}} + A \\ \infty > x \ge 2 \text{ ii} \quad \frac{(A - I)\mathcal{P}}{\mathcal{E}_{X}} \end{array} \right\} = (x)\mathfrak{i}$$

is a p.d.f. if 0 < A < 1 because (1) is then satisfied (see Exercise 1). We used this p.d.f. with A = 0.768 in Lecture 15 to model survival of melanoma patients. According to this model, for example, a patient survives between 1 and 3 years with probability

(E.91)
$$= 0.343;$$
 $xb(x)dx = xb(x)343;$

see Exercise 2.¹

From Lecture 10, the cumulative distribution function of X is defined in terms of its p.d.f. If F is the c.d.f., then F is defined on $[0, \infty)$ by

$$(\cancel{1}, 0], 1) = (\cancel{1}, 0], 1) = (\cancel{1} \ge X \ge 0) \operatorname{dor} \Pi = (\cancel{1}, 0], 1) = (\cancel{1}, 0], 1 = (\cancel{1}$$

As t increases from 0 to ∞ , more and more of the area under the graph of f is accounted for, so that F(t) increases from 0 to 1. That is, F must satisfy

¹ Note, however, that according to Table 5.3, the same probability is (48+23)/256 = 0.277. The discrepancy is due to the error in the model, which Figure 15.5 reveals to be greatest on [1, 3].

(

or, equivalently,

$$F(0) = 0 = 0$$

(d).ef)
$$\infty > i \ge 0$$
, $0 \le (i)^{H}$

$$F(\infty) = 1. \tag{19.6c}$$

Conversely, any F that satisfies (5) or (6) is the c.d.t. of a random variable on $[0, \infty)$.

For example, the function F defined by

is a c.d.f. if 0 < A < 1 because (5) is then satisfied (see Exercise 1). We used this c.d.f. with A = 0.768 in Lecture 15 to model survival of melanoma patients. For example, a patient survives between 1 and 3 years with probability

(8.91)
$$(\xi + \xi) = \{A\xi + I\}\frac{1}{8} - \{A\xi + \zeta\}\frac{1}{6} = (I)H - (\xi)H$$

see Exercise 2. A more versatile example of a c.d.f. involves the exponential function. In Lecture 7 we showed that R defined by

(10.01)
$$(m_xA)qx_9 = (x)R$$

vd (∞ 0] no adited on [0, ∞) by $(\infty, 0)$ no adited on 20. (5.23)

is strictly increasing on [0, ∞); see (7.23). So F defined on [0, ∞) by ۱

(01.01)
$$\frac{1}{(^{m}xA)qx9} - 1 = \frac{1}{(x)A} - 1 = (x)A$$

is a legitimate c.d.f. for a continuous random variable. Why? First, because R(0) = 1, we have F(0) = 1 - 1 = 0. Second, because R(x) increases with x, 1/R(x) decreases with x, so that F is nondecreasing. Third, because R is strictly increases with x, so that F is nondecreasing. Third, because R is strictly increases with x, so that F is nondecreasing.

increasing, 1/R(x) approaches zero as $x \to \infty$, and so $F(\infty) = 1 - 0 = 1$. Thus (5) is satisfied. Now, in Lectures 8 and 10, we first defined the p.d.f and then used (4) to deduce the c.d.f. But the fundamental theorem tells us that F(t) = Int(f, [0, t]) implies f(t) = F'(t). So

another way to specify a distribution is to define F first and then use f = F' to deduce the

(11.01) for the two tests is the test of test

either f or F completely specifies a continuous distribution.²

In fact, when fitting a distribution to a sample, the method of choice is to fit the data to the c.d.f. (by, e.g., the method of Lectures 10 and 15), and then use (11) to deduce the p.d.f. Consider, for example, the data in Table 1. It shows year of death for 545 male

² Nevertheless, it is traditional to characterize a distribution in terms of properties of its p.d.f. For example, if f is piecewise-constant or **piecewise-uniform**, then F is piecewise-linear, but we describe the distribution as piecewise-uniform; see Exercise 3. Similarly, the distribution defined in Exercise 4 is piecewise-linear.

prairie dogs living in South Dakota between 1975 and 1989 (Hoogland, 1995, p. 396). Let X by X = AGF AT DEATH OF PRAIRIE DOG (19.12)

$$X = AGE AT DEATH OF PRAIRIE DOG (19.12)$$

chosen randomly from Hoogland's data, and a sequence $\{P_n\}$ by

$$P_n = Prob(X \le n).$$

(4) $P_1 = \frac{545}{545} P_2 = \frac{13}{545} P_3 = \frac{13}{545} P_3 = \frac{13}{545} P_3 = \frac{13}{545} P_3 = \frac{1487}{545} P_4 = 1$

The sequence $\{P_n\}$ is graphed in Figure 1(b).

Then, from Table 1, $P_0 = 0$ and

0 8 15	∠≂ 9 ⊆	32 5 4 101 315	ф 3 1 1
ekeõnenca	VEAR OF	EKEÕNENCJ	YEAR OF VEAR OF

rable 19.1 Prairie dog lifespans

= $(x \ge X)$ dorf = (x) A benihous F defined by $F(x) = Prob(X \le x) = W$

Prob(PRAIRIE DOG LIVES AT MOST X YEARS) at integer values of x. If F is a perfect model, then

$$(\overline{C1.01}) \qquad \qquad \mathbf{T} = (\mathbf{n})\mathbf{T}$$

for all n (in other words, when $\{P_n\}$ and F are graphed together, the dots all lie on the curve).³ A measure of the extent to which this constraint is violated is the sum of squared errors

$$\Delta = \sum_{n=1}^{6} {\{F(n) - P_n\}^2}.$$

The smaller the value of Δ , the better the fit of the c.d.f. So we try to make Δ as small as possible.

For example, we will be able to show in Lecture 20 that F defined by (10) is concave down if m = 1 but has an inflection point if $m \ge 2$. From Figure 1, however, the prairie-dog c.d.f. is evidently concave down, and so we will fit the data to the c.d.f. defined by

(
$$\nabla 1.01$$
) $(\nabla 1.01)$ $(\nabla 1.01)$ $(\nabla 1.01)$ $(\nabla 1.01)$ $(\nabla 1.01)$ $(\nabla 1.01)$

i.e., (10) with m = 1. From (14) and (16), the sum of squared errors is

$$\Delta = \sum_{n=1}^{6} \{F(n) - P_n\}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{6} \{T - \frac{1}{(245)} - \frac{1}{($$

³ Note, however, that the converse of this statement is false: if $F(n) = P_n$ for all n, then it does not follow that F is a perfect model. See Exercise 3.

2, which reveals that the error is least where A = 0.778 and easy for a computer to plot. The graph of Δ versus A is shown in Figure (85.31), it is just as easy for a computer to plot. Note that Δ depends only on A. Although it does not reduce to a simple expression like

$$\Delta = \sum_{n=1}^{6} \{F(n) - P_n\}^2 = 0.220 \times 10^{-2}.$$
 (19.19)

So we choose F defined by

(02.01)
$$\frac{1}{(x877.0)qx_9} - 1 = (x)^{3}$$

to model the prairie-dog distribution.

(70 {E8Z2.0 anited of the therefore convention of the theorem of the theorem of the terms of ter 70.0)dor¶, $4810.0 = 844 = (70.0 \ge X)$ dor¶, $0 = (8870.0 \ge X)$ dor¶ səilqmi 2 əldaT, mobner was recorded as 10/120 = 0.083 mm, etc. Thus, if X is the thickness of a leaf selected at mm 0.021/120 = 0.057 mm, whereas any thickness between 0.021/120 mm and 1.020 = 0.0916 mm millimeter, any thickness between 7/120 = 0.0583 mm and 9/120 = 0.075 m was recorded 5 are reproduced as Table 2. Because thicknesses are rounded to the nearest sixtieth of a of leaf thickness in Dicerandra linearifolia (Lectures 5 and 8). The relevant data from Lecture As a further illustration of this method, we now choose F to model the distribution

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{2n+7\}, \quad 0 \ge n \ge 0 \quad (10, 21) \le n \ge 0 \quad (10, 21) = n \ge$$

and to redefine P_n by

$$150(-100) = 11 = 17$$

(10.22) $P_n = Prob(X \le X_n),$

 $F(x_n) = P_n, \quad 0 \le n \le 12$ (10.23)

and our measure of the extent to which this constraint is violated becomes

06

165

SÞ

82

S

6

$$\Delta = \sum_{n=0}^{12} \{F(x_n) - P_n\}^2, \qquad (19.24)$$

in place of (16).

0.15

0.133

711.0

I.0

£80^{.0}

*2*90[.]0

EREQUENCY	(uuu) SSENXOIHL	EREQUENCY	(uuu) SSENNO (uuu)
			.(91) 1

0.25

0.233

2.0

£81.0

291.0

7

I

6

LΓ

118

Table 19.2 Leaf thicknesses in Dicerandra linearifolia

$(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Z}^{*}(\mathbf{I}))$	$\mathbf{P}_{12} = 1.$	$\mathbf{P}_{11} = \frac{489}{487}$	$P_{10} = \frac{162}{162}$	$P_9 = \frac{163}{162}$	$P_8 = \frac{163}{159}$	$\mathbf{P}_{7} = \frac{489}{460}$
(22.91)	$P_5 = \frac{84}{163}$ $P_6 = \frac{114}{163}$	$P_4 = \frac{163}{29}$	$_{2}^{2} = \frac{14}{14}$ $P_{3} = \frac{14}{16}$ $P_{4} = \frac{163}{21}$ $P_{4} = \frac{29}{29}$	$P_2 = \frac{14}{14}$	$\mathbf{P}_{1} = \frac{3}{3}$	$\mathbf{b}^{0} = 0$
	yd [210] no b	anitab zi { _r	¹J} əɔuənb	oəs əqt '7	əldaT bne	From (22) a

It is plotted in Figure 3(b), from which it appears that there is an inflection point between n = 4 and n = 6, i.e., between $x_4 = 0.125$ mm and $x_6 = 0.1553$ mm. We therefore require m ≥ 2 in (10). Suppose we take m = 7. Then, replacing A by \mathbf{B}^7 in (10), we have

(19.26)
$$F(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{e^{x}} \cdot \frac{1}{e^{x}} + \frac{1}{e^{x}} = \frac{1}{e^{x}} + \frac{1}{e^{x$$

Which value of B shall we choose? From (21) and (24), the sum of squared errors is

$$\Delta = \sum_{n=0}^{12} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\exp(\{(2n+7)B \setminus 120\}^7)}{2} - P_n \right\}^2, \quad (19.27)$$

which is plotted against B in Figure 4(a). Because Δ is least for B = 6.5735, we choose

$$F(x) = 1 - \frac{r}{(2\sqrt{35}x)^{7}} = 1 - \frac{r}{(2\sqrt{5}x)^{7}} = 1 - \frac{r}{(2\sqrt{5}x)^{7}}$$
(19.28)

to model the data; see Figure 3(b). Note the inflection point where $x = 0.14^{44}$.

I ₽ 9:9	5.85×10^{-2}	10
129.9	1.84×10^{-2}	6
665.9	1.07×10^{-2}	8
₽72.6.b	0.75×10 ⁻²	Z
€₽5.6	1.23×10^{-2}	9
₽05.6	0.0318	5
6.453	9620.0	7
686.9	881.0	3
115.9	0.442	5
MUMINIM TA 8	LEAST SUM OF SQUARED ERRORS (Δ)	u

Table 19.3 Least sum of squared errors when fitting (29) to the leaf-thickness data

But why choose m = 7 to begin with? If you were to repeat the above exercise with

$$F(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{exp(\{Bx\}^m)}$$
(19.29)

for different m and in each case calculate Δ , then you would find that Δ decreases with m for $\Delta \leq m \leq 7$ but increases again for $m \geq 8$; see Table 3. So m = 7 is optimal.

00.0	90.0	₽2.0	0.35	91.0	60.0	20.0	6.03	00.0	PROBABILITY
5	41	ZZI	252	114	<u> </u>	22	55	I	NOMBER
25-27	52-24	19-21	81-91	13-12	10-15	6-7	9-₽	1-3	SIZE (mm) ABOVE BASE LENGTH

swonnim EE7 to have a long more than above 12 mm in Thompson's catch of 733 minores and probability of lengths

⁴ See (20.32), from which the inflection point is at 0.978/B = 0.149.

0.05513		1 91.0	7
MUMINIM TA	a (LEAST SUM OF SQUARED ERRORS (2	w

6₹99900 689900 ₹79900 209900 289900 899900 819900 819900	$\begin{array}{c} 3.72 \times 10^{-2} \\ 2.5 \times 10^{-2} \\ 1.42 \times 10^{-2} \\ 1.32 \times 10^{-2} \\ 1.32 \times 10^{-2} \\ 0.0482 \\ 0.164 \end{array}$	8 9 9 7 8 5 5 5
---	--	--------------------------------------

(hgnel ested evote) esite wornim of (27) gnifting (27) to minnow size (above base length) **Z.01 bldsT**

from Lecture 10 are reproduced as Table 4. Now, in place of (21), the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is ateb the above base length in D'Arcy Thompson's minnows. The relevant data As our third and final illustration of the method, we now choose F to model the

(05.91)
$$(9 \ge n \ge 0, (1 + n))^{\frac{1}{2}} = n > 0$$

and in place of (25) the sequence $\{P_n\}$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{P}_{0} = \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{P}_{1} = \frac{733}{732} \quad \mathbf{P}_{2} = \frac{733}{732} \quad \mathbf{P}_{3} = \frac{733}{732} \quad \mathbf{P}_{4} = \frac{733}{732} \quad \mathbf{P}_{9} = \mathbf{1}.$$
(19.31)

From (29)-(30), the sum of squared errors is again require $m \ge 2$, but this time the optimal value of m turns out to be m = 5; see Table 5. .mm c.81 = bx but mm c.c1 = cx noowtod, i.e., between $x_5 = 15.5$ mm and $x_6 = 18.5$ mm. We The sequence $\{P_n\}$ plotted in Figure 5(b), from which it appears that F should have an

$$\Delta = \sum_{n=0}^{9} \left\{ 1 - \frac{2}{\exp(\{(6n+1)B / 2\}^5)} - P_n \right\}^2, \quad (19.32)$$

which is plotted against B in Figure 4(b). Because Δ is least for B = 0.05607, we choose

(10.33)
$$\frac{1}{(7-01)^{-3}x^{-3}(5.5399x^{-3})} = 1 = \frac{1}{(7-01)^{-3}x^{-3}(5.5399x^{-3})} = 1 = (10.33)$$

Also plotted, in Figures 1(a), 3(a) and 5(a), are the probability density functions of to model the data; see Figure J(b). Note the inflection point where x = T. T

three cases, from (10) and (11), the p.d.f. is defined by the fitted distributions for prairie-dog life span, leaf thickness and minnow size. In all

(46.91)
$$\cdot \left\{\frac{1}{xB}\right\} \frac{b}{xb} - = \left\{\frac{1}{xB} - 1\right\} \frac{b}{xb} = (x)^{2} = (x)^{2}$$

We can simplify this expression by using the product rule; because

$$1 = R(x) \cdot \frac{\Gamma}{R(x)},$$

әлец әм

$$(19.35) \quad (19.35) \quad (19.$$

 5 Again, see (20.32), from which the inflection point is at 0.956/B = 17.1.

from which (34) yields

(19.36)
$$\frac{I}{2}\left\{ (x)^{\mathcal{H}} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{I}{(x)\mathcal{H}} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{I}{(x)\mathcal{H}} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{I}{(x)\mathcal{H}} \right\}$$

Thus we know f(x) if we know R'(x). But R is a composition. So immediately we ask, how do we find the derivative of a composition? To that we will turn our attention in Lecture 20.

Meanwhile, there are a number of distributions for which your knowledge of derivatives is already sufficient to obtain the p.d.f. from the c.d.f. by using f = F'. For example, you can readily verify that (7) implies (2). Other examples appear in Exercises 3, 4, 7 and 14.

Reference

Hoogland, J.L. (1995) The Black-Tailed Prairie Dog. University of Chicago Press

Exercises 19

- Hence verify that f is a p.d.f. when 0 < A < 1, i.e., that (1a) and (1b) are satisfied. Show that (2) implies Int(f, [0, 2]) = ([A+f]) = ([A+f]) = ([A+f]) = ([A+f]) = ([A+f]). **(I)** 1.91
- . Verify that F defined by (7) is a c.d.f. when 0 < A < 1, i.e., (5) is satisfied. **(II)**
- Verify (3) and (8). 2.91
- Show that the piecewise-linear join F defined by **E.91**

$\infty > x \ge 9$	ìi	L]		
9 > x ≤ G	łi	$\frac{5 \# G}{26 \# + \times 8}$		
$\overline{c} > x \ge \frac{1}{2}$	łi	$\frac{545}{3(5x+154)}$		
$\frac{1}{2} > x \ge \varepsilon$	łi	$\frac{242}{32\times + 385}$	=	(x)H
$\xi > x \ge \zeta$	ìi	$\frac{242}{\sqrt{4} \times + 565}$		
$1 \le x \le 1$	łi	$\frac{545}{545}$		
$f > x \ge 0$	łi	2 42 x 315		

better model of prairie-dog survival than the c.d.f. in Figure 1(b)? Why or why not? function. Verify that F satisfies (13) exactly for every value of n. Does F yield a is a cumulative distribution function, and find the associated probability density

Show that the piecewise-quadratic join ${\rm F}$ of seven components defined by **₽.91**

$\infty > x \ge 6$ li	0964		
$\delta \ge x \ge \frac{1}{2}$ $\exists x \le 6$	x 1/ 9+9∠6£		
$\frac{2}{11} \ge x \ge \frac{2}{2}$ li	$3129 + 372x - 28x^{2}$		
$\frac{2}{5} \ge x \ge \frac{7}{5}$ li	z020+840x−80x ²		₫360F(x)
$\frac{7}{2} \ge x \ge \frac{3}{2}$ li	1145+1372x-156x ²	_	(~)90967
$\frac{1}{2} \ge x \ge \frac{1}{2}$ li	1445+1132x-108x ²		
$\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \ge x \ge \frac{1}{2}$ fi	$-211 + 3340x - 844x^{2}$		
$\frac{1}{2} \ge x \ge 0$ li	×9677		

survival data in Table 1, then the sum of squared errors is $\Delta = 0.248 \times 10^{-2}$. density function. Is F smooth? Show that if F is used to model the prairie-dog is a cumulative distribution function, and find the corresponding probability

- Verify Table 8.2 (by using a calculator). **2.91**

- $f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}(x-x) / b^2 & \text{if } b/2 \le x \le b/2 \\ \frac{1}{4}(x-x) / b^2 & \text{if } b/2 \le x \le b/2 \end{cases}$ A function f is defined on $[0, \infty)$ by **9.61**
- where b is an arbitrary positive constant. $\infty > x \ge d$ li
- $(\infty, 0]$ no notion that f defines a legitimate probability density function on $[0, \infty)$ (1)
- Find an explicit expression for its cumulative distribution function. **(II)**

vd bənifəb noitonut əht el **۲.et**

a legitimate c.d.f for (i) all values of b (ii) no values of b or (iii) some values of b? Elucidate. If F is indeed a c.d.f., then find its p.d.f.

γd bənibəb noitonut əht el 8.91

$$= (x) = \begin{cases} x = x = x = \frac{1}{2} \\ x = x = x = \frac{1}{2} \\ x =$$

a legitimate c.d.f? Why or why not?

 $\sqrt{d} (\infty, 0]$ no beine function function for the probability density function for $(0, \infty)$ by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 1 > 1 \ge 0 & \text{if} & ^{2}t^{5} \\ \delta = 1 \ge 1 & \gamma & \text{if} & 0 \\ \delta = 1 \ge 1 & \text{if} & \gamma & \delta & \delta \\ 0 & \text{if} & \frac{3}{2} \le 1 \le \frac{3}{2} \\ 0 & \text{if} & \frac{3}{2} \le 1 \le \infty \end{array}$$

is a continuous function. What are therefore the values of α and β ? Obtain an explicit formula for the associated cumulative distribution function F on $[0, \infty)$.

 $\sqrt{0} ~(\infty,0]$ no beinty function f density function f defined on $[0,\infty)$ by

$$f > i \ge 0 \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \ge i \le 1$$

$$f > i \ge 1 \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \ge i \ge 1 \quad \text{if} \quad 0 \ge i \le \alpha$$

$$f > i \ge \alpha \ge i \quad \alpha \le i \le \beta$$

$$f \ge 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \beta \le i < \infty$$

is a continuous function. What are therefore the values of α and β ? Obtain an explicit formula for the associated cumulative distribution function F on [0, ∞).

 \sqrt{d} [6,0] no beinted of the function of th

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} C(3-t)^2 & \text{if } 2 \le t \le 3 \\ At - Bt^2 & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 3 \end{cases}$$

safisites

$$f = fb(f)f_0^{\varepsilon}$$

- O bns & ,A bniH (i)
- [6,0] no f fo ametrema of f on [0, 3]
- (iii) Is f a probability density function? Why, or why not?
- (iv) Crudely sketch the graphs of f, f' and f'', clearly indicating
- f fo mumixem ledolg supinu sdf (A) f fo finioq noitselfni supinu sdf (B)

$\sqrt{16}$ A smooth function f, defined on [0, 5] by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} Bt - Ct^2 & \text{if } 3 \le t \le 5 \\ Bt - Ct^2 & \text{if } 3 \le t \le 5 \end{cases}$$

safisites

$$f = fb(t)f_0^2$$

- O bas & A bail (i)
- (ii) Find all local extrema of f on [0, 5], if any.
- (iii) Find all inflection points of f on [0, 5], if any.(iv) Is f a probability density function? Why, or why not?
- (iv) Is f a probability density function? Why, or why not?
 (v) Crudely sketch the graph of f, indicating both its global maximum and global minimum on [0, 5].
- If q denotes a nondecreasing function on [0, b] satisfying q(b) = 1, show that F defined on [0, ∞) by

$$d > x \ge 0 \quad \text{ii} \quad \left\{ (x) p \left(\frac{x}{d} - I \right) + I \right\} \frac{x}{d} \right\} = (x) \overline{q}$$
$$\approx > x \ge d \quad \text{ii} \qquad I$$

.1.b.2 suounitno2 stemitigal a si

 $\sqrt{d} (\infty, 0]$ no benifeb si F noitonn A **41.91**

$$F(t) = \begin{cases} At(c-t) + \frac{\theta + Ac^2}{\theta + 1} \left(\frac{t}{c}\right) & \text{if } 0 \le t \le c \\ 1 & -\frac{1 - Ac^2}{\theta + 1} \left(\frac{c}{t}\right)^{\theta} & \text{if } c \le t < \infty \end{cases}$$

where θ is a positive integer.

- (i) When is F a cumulative distribution function?
- (ii) What is then the associated probability density function f?
- (iii) Is F smooth?
- Shooms i sl (vi)
- $vd [\infty, 0]$ no beined on [0, ∞] by

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^{5}}{2} & \text{if } 1 \leq t \leq 1 \\ Bt - C & \text{if } 1 \leq t \leq \infty \end{cases}$$

safisites

$$I = ib(i)I_0^{\infty}$$

- O bns B ,A bniH (i)
- (ii) Find all local extrema of f on $[0, \infty]$, if any.
- (iii) Find all inflection points of f on $[0, \infty]$, if any. Where is f concave down?
- (iv) Is f a probability density function? Why, or why not?
- (v) Crudely sketch the graph of f, indicating both global extrema.

Answers and Hints for Selected Exercises

 $x = (x)v \text{ bns } I = (x)u, \frac{1}{2} \setminus (A\mathcal{E} - I) = p, A = \lambda, \zeta = d, 0 = s \text{ fliw (} \overline{C}\mathcal{L}\mathcal{I}) \text{ bns } (\zeta) \text{ mor}\overline{H}$ $xb \left\{ x\{A\mathcal{E} - I\}\frac{1}{p} + A\right\} \int_{0}^{\zeta} = xb(x)\widehat{H}_{0}^{\zeta}$ $xbx \int_{0}^{\zeta} \{A\mathcal{E} - I\}\frac{1}{p} + xb I\int_{0}^{\zeta}A = xb(x)\widehat{H}_{0}^{\zeta}$ $= \frac{A + I}{\zeta} = (z_{0} - z_{0})\frac{1}{2} \cdot \{A\mathcal{E} - I\}\frac{1}{p} + (0 - \zeta)A = z$

From (2), (12.25) with a = 2, $b = \infty$, k = 4(1-A), q = 0, $u(x) = x^{-3}$ and Table 18.1,

$$xb^{\varepsilon-}x\sum_{z}^{\infty}(A-I)\Phi = xb\left\{\frac{(A-I)\Phi}{\varepsilon_{x}}\right\}\sum_{z}^{\infty} = xb(x)i\sum_{z}^{\infty}(A-I)\Phi = xb(x)\sum_{z}^{\infty}(A-I)\Phi = xb(x)$$

where we have defined V on [2, ∞) by

$$\Lambda(x) = -\frac{\nabla x_{z}}{x}$$

Hence, by the fundamental theorem, i.e., (18.20), with a = 2 and t $\rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\{(\Sigma)V - (\infty)V\}(A - I)A = Xb(X)V \bigvee_{2}^{\infty} (A - I)A = Xb(X)V \bigvee_{2}^{\infty} (A - I)A = Xb(X)I \bigvee_{2}^{\infty} (A - I)A = Xb(X$$

because $1/2x^2$ approaches zero as x approaches infinity.

vd bənifəb î zi .î.b.q ədT 4.01

$$\begin{cases} \frac{545}{2} \times > 0 & \text{Ii} & \frac{245}{2} \\ \frac{545}{2} \times > \frac{1}{2} & \text{Ii} & \frac{245}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2} \times > \frac{7}{2} & \text{Ii} & \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2} \times > \frac{7}{2} & \text{Ii} & \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2} \times > \frac{7}{2} & \text{Ii} & \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2} \times > \frac{7}{2} & \text{Ii} & \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2} \times > \frac{7}{2} & \text{Ii} & \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2} \times > \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} & \text{Ii} & \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} & \text{Ii} & \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} & \text{Ii} & \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} & \text{Ii} & \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} & \text{Ii} & \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} & \text{Ii} & \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} & \text{Ii} & \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} & \text{Ii} & \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} & \text{Ii} & \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} & \text{Ii} & \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2} \\ \frac{7}{2} \times \frac{7}{2}$$

19.6 (i) Clearly $f(x) \ge 0$ for all $x \in [0, \infty)$. So f is a p.d.f. if Int(f, [0, b]) = 1. Because f is piecewise-linear and continuous with f(0) = 0 = f(b) and mode b/2, Area(f, [0, b]) is the area of a triangle with base b and height f(m) = f(b/2) = 2/b. So $Int(f, [0, b]) = Area<math>(f, [0, b]) = (1/2) \cdot b \cdot 2/b = 1$.

(ii) For
$$t \le b/2$$
, we have $F(t) = \int_0^2 \frac{4x}{b^2} dx = \frac{4}{b^2} \int_0^2 \frac{x^2}{b} = \frac{4}{b^2} \frac{x^2}{2} \Big|_0^5 = \frac{4}{b^2} \frac{x^2}$

$$= \frac{5}{1} + \frac{p_{5}}{4} \left\{ p(t-p\setminus5) - \frac{5}{1} \left\{ t_{5} - \left(\frac{5}{p}\right)_{5} \right\} \right\} = \frac{p_{5}}{4pt-p_{5} - 5t_{5}}$$

$$= \frac{5}{1} + \frac{p_{5}}{4} \left\{ p(t-p\setminus5) - \frac{5}{1} + \frac{p_{5}}{4} \left\{ p_{1}^{p,5} + \frac{p_{5}}{4} \right\} \right\} = \frac{p_{5}}{4pt-p_{5} - 5t_{5}}$$

$$E(t) = \int_{0}^{0} E(x)qx + \int_{0}^{1} E(x)qx = E(p\setminus5) + \int_{0}^{1} \frac{p_{5}}{4} \left[p_{1}^{p,5} + \frac{p_{5}}{4} \right]$$

after simplification. Note that F(b) = 1. Thus the c.d.f. is given by

$$E(t) = \begin{cases} I & \text{it } p \in t < \infty \\ (4pt - p_{5} - 5t_{5}) \setminus p_{5} & \text{it } p \setminus 5 \in t \leq p \setminus 5 \end{cases}$$

9.7 F(0) = 0 = 0 = $3x^2 - 6ax^2$. Then, because $\frac{1}{3} - 6ax^2 + 3a^2 - 6ax^2 + 3ax^2 + 3ax$

$$\begin{cases} \mathsf{b} > \mathsf{x} \ge 0 & \mathsf{fi} & \mathsf{b} \land \mathsf{x} < \mathsf{b} \\ \mathsf{w} > \mathsf{x} \ge \mathsf{b} & \mathsf{fi} & \mathsf{0} \end{cases} = (\mathsf{x})\mathsf{f}$$

Note that the method used here to obtain f = F' is inefficient; it will be superseded in the following lecture.

No, because there exists a subdomain on which F is decreasing.

$$\frac{4}{C}\left\{\sum_{0}^{2}(12t-5t^{2})dt + \sum_{3}^{2}4(3-t)^{2}dt\right\} = 1,$$

But Int(f, [0, 3]) = 1. Therefore,

$$f(t) = \frac{4}{C} \begin{cases} 12t - 5t^2 & \text{if } 0 \le t < 2 \\ 12t - 5t^2 & \text{if } 0 \le t < 2 \end{cases}$$

-600 = 5C/4. So

Because f is continuous, $f(2-) = f(2+) \Rightarrow 2A - 4B = C$; because f is smooth, $f'(2-) = f(2+) \Rightarrow 2A - 4B$, we get A = 3C. Therefore B f'(2+) $\Rightarrow A - 4B = -2C$.

$$f'(t) = \begin{cases} A - 2Bt & \text{if } 0 \le t < 3 \\ -2C(3-t) & \text{if } 2 \le t \le 3 \end{cases}$$

səilqmi

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \Delta t - Bt^2 & \text{if } 2 \le t \le 3 \\ C(3-t)^2 & \text{if } 2 \le t \le 3 \end{cases}$$

,[E,0] nO (i) 11.91

$$I > i \ge 0 \quad ii \quad \stackrel{*}{}_{0} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4}$$

$$I > i \ge 0 \quad ii \quad \stackrel{*}{}_{0} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4}$$

$$I > x \ge 0 \quad ii \quad \stackrel{*}{}_{0} \frac{1}{2} = (x)i \qquad i = (x)i \qquad$$

or $\alpha + (\beta - \alpha)^2 = 7/4$. Because f is continuous, $f(\alpha -) = f(\alpha +)$, implying $1 = 2(\beta - \alpha)$. So $\beta - \alpha = 1/2$, implying $\alpha + (1/2)^2 = 7/4$ or $\alpha = 3/2$. Then $\beta = \alpha + 1/2 = 2$. So

ר

$$+ \alpha - 1 - (\beta - \beta)^{2} + (\beta - \alpha)^{2} = 1$$

Sniylqmi

$$\frac{4}{t^{4}}\Big|_{1}^{1} + t\Big|_{\alpha}^{1} + \left\{-(\beta - t)_{3}\right\}\Big|_{\beta}^{\alpha} = 1^{*}$$

So, on using the fundamental theorem, we have

 $\frac{1}{1}$

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} t^{3} dt + \int_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} t dt + \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \sum_{\alpha} (\beta - t) dt = 1.$$

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \\ f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \\ f(x$$

o.8 = 2 and $\beta = 6$. So the formula of the formu

Snividmi

$$\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \int_{0}^{2} \frac{dt}{dt} \left(6t^{2} - \frac{5}{3}t^{3} \right) dt + \int_{0}^{3} \int_{0}^{-\frac{4}{3}} (3-t)^{3} dt \right\} = 1$$

, and, by the fundamental theorem,

$$\frac{4}{C}\left\{ 6t^2 - \frac{3}{5}t^3 t^3 \right|_0^2 + -\frac{3}{4}(3-t)^3 \left|_3^2\right|_3 = 1$$

10

$$\Rightarrow \frac{4}{C} \left\{ \frac{3}{35} + \frac{3}{4} \right\} = 1 \Rightarrow C = \frac{3}{1}$$
$$\frac{4}{C} \left\{ \frac{9}{55} - \frac{3}{2} 5_3 - 0 + \left\{ -\frac{3}{4} 0_3 - \left(-\frac{3}{4} 1_3 \right) \right\} \right\} = 1$$

(ii) From above,

$$f'(t) = \begin{cases} 1-5t/6 & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 3\\ \frac{2}{3}(t-3) & \text{if } 2 \le t \le 3\\ \frac{2}{3}(t-3) & \text{if } 2 \le t \le 3 \end{cases}$$

On [2, 3), because t-3 < 0, we have f'(t) < 0. On [0, 2], f'(t) > 0 if $0 \le t \le 6/5$ but f'(t) < 0 if (6, 5, 3), implying a f'(t) < 0 if (6, 5, 3), implying a local maximum where t = 6/5.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{bund} f = ([\overline{2}, 0], \widehat{1}) \text{fnd} \text{ subsolution} \text{ and } \widehat{1}.\text{b.q s if } 2 \text{ solution} \\ & 2 \text{ solution} f = (1) \text{fnd} \\ & 2 \text{ solution} f = (1) \text{fnd} \\ & (1 - 5)^2 \text{ solution} f$$

is nonnegative on [0, 3].

(iv) The graph of f is concave down on [0, 2] and concave up on [2, 3]; f increases from f(0) = 0 to its global maximum f(6/5) = 3/5 and then decreases from f(0) = 0. So there are two global minimizers. The graph of f' is piecewise linear. It decreases from f'(0) = 1 to f'(2) = -2/3 and then increases from f'(0) = 1 to f'(2) = -2/3 and then increases piecewise constant (or, if you prefer, piecewise uniform); it jumps from -5/6 to 2/3 at t = 2, the unique inflection precewise uniform); it jumps from -5/6 to 2/3 at t = 2, the unique inflection form form). Go to http://www.math.fsu.edu/~mm-g/QuizBank/mac3311.66.html minimum). Go to http://www.math.fsu.edu/~mm-g/QuizBank/mac3311.66.html (Problem #2) to see the graphs.

,[2,0] nO (i) 21.91

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} B_t - C_{t^2} & \text{if } 3 \le t \le 5 \\ B_t - C_{t^2} & \text{if } 3 \le t \le 5 \end{cases}$$

səilqmi

$$f'(t) = \begin{cases} B - 2Ct & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 3 \\ B - 2Ct & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 5 \end{cases}$$

Because f is continuous, $f(3-) = f(3+) \Rightarrow 21A = 3B - 9C \Rightarrow 7A = B - 3C$; because f is smooth, f'(3-) = f'(3+) $\Rightarrow -11A = B - 6C$. Subtracting to eliminate B, we get 18A = 3C, or A = C/6. Then B = 7A + 3C = 25C/6. So

$$f(t) = \frac{C}{6} \begin{cases} 25t - 6t^2 & \text{if } 3 \le t \le 5 \\ 25t - 6t^2 & \text{if } 3 \le t \le 5 \end{cases}$$

= ([ç '0] 'J)trl tuð

$$f(t) = \frac{6}{C} \begin{cases} 25t - 6t^2 & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 5 \\ 16t - t^2 & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 5 \end{cases}$$

 $\frac{C}{C} \begin{cases} \frac{3}{2} (16t - t^3) dt + \frac{3}{2} (25t - 6t^2) dt \end{cases} = 1,$

Sniviqui

10

 $\frac{9}{C} \left\{ \frac{9}{3} \frac{qt}{q} (8t_{5} - \frac{1}{3}t_{4}) qt + \frac{3}{2} (\frac{5}{52}t_{5} - 5t_{3}) qt \right\} = 1$

and, by the fundamental theorem,

 $\frac{6}{C} \left\{ 8t_{5} - \frac{4}{7}t_{4} \Big|_{3}^{0} + \frac{5}{52}t_{5} - 5t_{3} \Big|_{2}^{3} \right\} = 1$

 $\Rightarrow \frac{6}{5} \left\{ \frac{4}{502} + \frac{5}{152} - \frac{5}{112} \right\} = 1 \Rightarrow C = \frac{553}{54}$ $\Rightarrow \quad \frac{6}{C} \left\{ 3_{5} \left(8 - \frac{4}{6} \right) + 2_{5} \left(\frac{5}{52} - 10 \right) - 3_{5} \left(\frac{5}{52} - 6 \right) \right\} = 1$ $\frac{6}{C} \left\{ 8 \cdot 3_{5} - \frac{4}{T} 3_{4} - 0 + \frac{5}{52} 2_{5} - 5 \cdot 2_{3} - (\frac{5}{52} 3_{5} - 5 \cdot 3_{3}) \right\} = 1$

Егот аbove, (II)

f'(t) = $\frac{4}{223} \begin{cases} 25 - 3t^2 & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 3 \\ 25 - 12t & \text{if } 3 \le t \le 5 \end{cases}$

 $1^{*} = \sqrt{16} / 3 = 4 / \sqrt{3}$, then On [3, 5], because 25 - 36 = -31, we have f'(t) < 0. On [0, 3], if we define

$$f'(\mathfrak{t}) = \frac{4}{223}(16-3\mathfrak{t}^2) = \frac{12}{223}\left\{\frac{16}{3}-\mathfrak{t}^2\right\} = \frac{12}{223}\left\{(\mathfrak{t}^*)^2 - \mathfrak{t}^2\right\} = \frac{12}{223}(\mathfrak{t}^*-\mathfrak{t})(\mathfrak{t}^*+\mathfrak{t})$$

From above, (III)

$$f''(t) = \frac{4}{42} \begin{cases} -12 & \text{if } 3 \le t \le 3 \\ -12 & \text{if } 3 \le t \le 5 \end{cases}$$

So f"(t) does not change sign on [0, 5]. Hence no inflection points.

is negative for $25/6 < t \le 5$. satisfied, but not the second, because on [3, 5] we have f(t) = 4t(25-6t)/223, which is a p.d.f. if Int(f, [0, 5]) = 1 and $f(t) \ge 0$ on [0, 5]. The first condition is **(ΛΙ)**

f(5) = -100/223 = -0.448, respectively. bne $244.0 = \{E \lor 636\} \setminus 212 = (*1)$ h are muminim bne mumixem ledolg adT **(**Λ**)**

that works terrif E1.91

$$d > x \ge 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \left\{ (x)^{*} p x \left(\frac{x}{d} - 1 \right) + (x) p \left(\frac{x2}{d} - 1 \right) + 1 \right\} \frac{1}{d} \right\} = (x)^{*} \overline{T}$$
$$= (x)^{*} \overline{T}$$

Then use $q(x) \leq q(b) = 1$, $q'(x) \geq 0$.

- When $Ac^{2} \leq 1$ (if $Ac^{2} > 1$ then F(t) > 1). (i) 41.91
- (ii) See (24.2).
- H = f bus $Yes, because F(c-) = F(c+) = (-3)^{1} ((-3)^{1} ((-3)^{1})^{1} ($ (iii)
- $Only \text{ if } f'(c-) = f'(c+), \text{ i.e., if } -2A = -\theta(1 Ac^2)/c^2, \text{ or } Ac^2 = \theta/(\theta + 2).$ (<u>Л</u>]
- (i) **ZI.91** Hrom

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 1 + A(2t^2 - t^3 - t) & \text{if } 1 \le t \le \infty \\ \frac{t}{t^4} - \frac{t}{t^5} & \text{if } 1 \le t \le \infty \end{cases}$$

әлең әм

$$f'(t) = \begin{cases} A(4t-3t^2-1) & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 1 \\ -\frac{4B}{t^5} + \frac{5C}{t^6} & \text{if } 1 \le t \le \infty \end{cases}$$

smooth, f'(1) = O but C = B os $B = O \leftarrow (+1)^{1} = (-1)^{1}$, Atooms Table 18.1). Because f is continuous, $f(1-) = f(+) \Rightarrow 1 = B - C$; and because f is on using our rule for the derivative of a sum of multiples (in conjunction with

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} f(t) dt = \int_{1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{5}{t^{4}} - \frac{4}{t^{5}} \right\} dt = 5 \int_{1}^{\infty} t^{-4} dt - 4 \int_{1}^{\infty} t^{-5} dt$$

$$= 5 \int_{1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{t^{4}} - \frac{1}{2} \right\} dt - 4 \int_{1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{t^{4}} - \frac{1}{2} \right\} dt$$

$$= 5 \left\{ -\frac{1}{5} t^{-3} \right\} dt - 4 \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} t^{-4} \right\} dt$$

$$= 5 \left\{ -\frac{1}{5} t^{-3} \right\} dt - 4 \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} t^{-4} \right\} dt$$

$$= 5 \left\{ -\frac{1}{5} t^{-3} \right\} dt = 1 \text{ Int}(f, [0, 1]) = 1. \text{ So Int}(f, [0, 1]) = 1 - 2/3 = 1/3 \text{ Int}(f, [0, 1]) = 1 - 2/3 = 1/3 \text{ Int}(f, [0, 1]) = 1 - 2/3 = 1/3 \text{ Int}(f, [0, 1]) = 1 - 2/3 = 1/3 \text{ Int}(f, [0, 1]) = 1 - 2/3 = 1/3 \text{ Int}(f, [0, 1]) = 1 - 2/3 = 1/3 \text{ Int}(f, [0, 1]) = 1 - 2/3 = 1/3 \text{ Int}(f, [0, 1]) = 1 - 2/3 = 1/3 \text{ Int}(f, [0, 1]) = 1 - 2/3 = 1/3 \text{ Int}(f, [0, 1]) = 1 - 2/3 = 1/3 \text{ Int}(f, [0, 1]) = 1 - 2/3 = 1/3 \text{ Int}(f, [0, 1]) = 1 - 2/3 = 1/3 \text{ Int}(f, [0, 1]) = 1 - 2/3 = 1/3 \text{ Int}(f, [0, 1]) = 1 - 2/3 = 1/3 \text{ Int}(f, [0, 1]) = 1 - 2/3 \text{ Int}(f, [0, 1]) = 1 + 2/3 \text{ Int}$$

.5.

$$\frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \left\{ I + A(2t^2 - t^3 - t) \right\} dt = \frac{1}{3} t^3 - \frac{1}{4} t^4 - \frac{1}{2} t^2 \right\}^{1} dt + A_0^{1} \left\{ 2t^2 - t^3 - t \right\} dt$$

$$= 8. \text{ In sum, } A = 8, B = 5 \text{ and } C = 4.$$

woN (ii) A guiylqmi

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1 > 1 \ge 0 & \text{ii} & (1 - 1)(1 - 18) \\ \infty \ge 1 \ge 1 & \text{ii} & \frac{(1 - 1)(1 - 18)}{2} \end{array} \end{array} \right\} = (1)^{1/2}$$

So f'(t) is negative on [0, 1/3), positive on (1/3, 1) and negative on (1, ∞), with f'(1/3) = 0 = f'(1). This means there is a local (also global) minimum where t = 1.

1/ 3, ана а юсан (агоо groban) плахинини (iii) From

$$f > i \ge 0 \quad \text{ii} \quad (i \ge -1) = \begin{cases} 1 > i \ge 0 \quad \text{ii} \quad (i \ge -1) = 1 \\ \frac{1}{20} = \frac{1}{20} = \frac{1}{20} \quad \text{ii} \quad \frac{1}{20} = \frac{1}{20} \\ \frac{1}{20} = \frac{1}{20} = \frac{1}{20} \\ \frac{1}{20} \\ \frac{1}{20} = \frac{1}{20} \\ \frac{1}{20}$$

we see that there are two inflection points, one where t = 2/3 and another where t = 6/5 (there is no inflection point where t = 1 because f'' does not change sign as it drops from f''(1-) = -16 to f''(1+) = -20). Because f'' is positive on [0, 2/3), negative on (2/3, 1) and (1, 6/5) and positive on $(6/5, \infty)$, f is concave down on (2/3, 6/5) and concave up elsewhere.

, $\Gamma = ([\infty, 0], \hat{1})$ for a sithough int($\hat{1}, \hat{0}, \infty$) (vi)

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^4}{1 + A(2t^2 - t^3 - t)} & \text{if } 1 \le t \le \infty \\ \frac{B}{1 + A(2t^2 - t^3 - t)} & \text{if } 0 \le t \le 1 \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \frac{t^3}{1 - 2t} & \text{if } 1 \le t \le \infty \\ \frac{5t - 4}{t^3} & \text{if } 1 \le t \le \infty \end{cases}$$

is negative on the interval [c, 1/2], where c = (6-2 $\sqrt{5}$)/8 ≈ 0.191 .

(v) Go to **http://www.msth.fsu.edu/~mm-g/QuizBank/MAC2311.f97/ http://www.wittp://www.msth.guid** for the graph. The global maxima and minima are f(1) = 1 and f(1/3) = 1.85, respectively.