

# Computing congruences between spaces of cusp forms\*

Amod Agashe

September 14, 2009

Let  $N > 5$  be an integer. In this paragraph, the symbol  $g$  stands for a newform of level  $N_g$  dividing  $N$ . Let  $S'_g$  denote the subspace of  $S_2(\Gamma_0(N), \mathbf{C})$  spanned by the forms  $g(dz)$  where  $d$  ranges over the divisors of  $N/N_g$ . Let  $[g]$  denote the Galois orbit of  $g$ , and let  $S_{[g]}$  denote the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -subspace of forms in  $\bigoplus_{h \in [g]} S'_h$  with rational Fourier coefficients. We have  $S_2(\Gamma_0(N), \mathbf{Q}) = \bigoplus_{[g]} S_{[g]}$ , where the sum is over Galois conjugacy classes of newforms of some level dividing  $N$ . If  $T$  is a subset of the set of Galois conjugacy classes of newforms of some level dividing  $N$ , then let  $T'$  denote its complement, let  $S_T = \bigoplus_{[g] \in T} S_{[g]}$ , and let  $I_T = \text{Ann}_{\mathbf{T}} S_T$ .

Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  denote two disjoint subsets of the set of Galois conjugacy classes of newforms of some level dividing  $N$ . The example I am really interested in is where  $T_1$  consists of the Galois conjugacy class of a given newform and  $T_2$  consists of the set of Galois conjugacy classes of all newforms of level strictly less than  $N$ . Let  $I_1$  denote  $I_{T_1}$  for simplicity and let  $I'_1$  denote  $I_{T'_1}$  (similarly with 1 replaced by 2). Let  $S = S_2(\Gamma_0(N), \mathbf{Z})$ . Consider the “congruence module”

$$C = \frac{S[I_1 \cap I_2]}{S[I_1] + S[I_2]},$$

which is a finite group. A prime  $p$  divides the order of this module if and only if some eigenform in  $S_2(\Gamma_0(N), \mathbf{C})[I_1]$  is congruent to some eigenform in  $S_2(\Gamma_0(N), \mathbf{C})[I_2]$  modulo a prime ideal over  $p$  in the ring of integers generated by the Fourier coefficients of these eigenforms. One goal is to compute the structure or order of the congruence module  $C$  and a weaker (more immediate) goal is to decide if a given prime  $p$  divides its order.

If one can compute  $C$  efficiently (e.g., by considering the corresponding quotient group involving the Hecke algebra), then that would be great. Otherwise, let  $H = H_1(X_0(N), \mathbf{Z})$ , and consider the finite group

$$C' = \frac{H}{H[I'_1] + H[I'_2]}.$$

Then the exponent of  $C'$  divides the exponent of  $C$ , and if  $p^2 \nmid N$ , then the two exponents are the same (this requires some justification). I believe that in fact that if  $p^2 \nmid N$ , then the  $p$ -primary components of  $C'$  is isomorphic to the direct sum of two copies of  $C$  (using a multiplicity one argument). Anyhow, if  $p^2 \nmid N$ , then to decide if a given prime  $p$  divides the order of  $C$ , one may instead check if  $p$  divides the order of  $C'$ , which is the problem I will focus on in the rest of this article.

Here is how one may compute the group  $C'$  above: Firstly,  $I_1 H \subseteq H[I'_1]$  and  $I_2 H \subseteq H[I'_2]$  and both inclusions have finite cokernels (as one sees by tensoring with  $\mathbf{Q}$ ). Now the inclusions  $H[I'_1] \subseteq H$  and  $H[I'_2] \subseteq H$  have torsion-free cokernels. So one can first compute the order of

$$\frac{H}{I_1 H + I_2 H},$$

---

\*This is a preliminary rough draft, and will probably need some corrections.

and then divide by the product of the orders of the torsion subgroups of  $H/I_1H$  and  $H/I_2H$ . In order to compute the above, one uses modular symbols to get a presentation for  $H$ , and the only thing left to explain is how one finds generators for  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ .

Instead of finding these generators, what one may do instead is first find a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -basis for  $I_1 \otimes \mathbf{Q}$  and  $I_2 \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ . This may be done as follows: let  $n$  denote the dimension of  $S_2(\Gamma_0(N), \mathbf{C})[I_1]$  and find a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  for  $S_2(\Gamma_0(N), \mathbf{C})[I_1]$  (or perhaps for the corresponding modular symbols space). Find a basis  $t_1, \dots, t_g$  for  $\mathbf{T} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$  (here  $g$  is the genus of  $X_0(N)$ ) – this may be done by just starting with one Hecke operator, and then adding another one at a time, checking for linear independence, etc. (or there may be better methods). Consider the system of equations  $(t_1x_1 + \dots + t_gx_g)v_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . This is a system of  $n$  equations with  $g \geq n$  unknowns, and so a basis for the solution set will give a basis for  $I_1 \otimes \mathbf{Q}$  (similarly for  $I_2 \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ ).

One then clears the denominators in these bases (more precisely in the  $x_i$ 's) – the resulting sets need not be generators for  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ , but the subgroups of  $H$  generated by these sets (call these subgroups  $H'$  and  $H''$  respectively) are still of finite index in  $I_1H$  (hence in  $H[I'_1]$ ) and in  $I_2H$  (hence in  $H[I'_2]$ ) respectively, so one can play the same game as two paragraphs above, i.e. take the ratio of the order of  $H/(H' + H'')$  to the product of the orders of the torsion subgroups of  $H/H'$  and  $H/H''$ . I believe one can do these calculations using the Smith normal form, but there may be better methods. Also, since we only want to check if a prime  $p$  divides the ratio of certain orders, perhaps one can work over  $\mathbf{F}_p$  for the last step. Finally, if  $p$  is odd, then one can work with  $H^+$  (the elements of  $H$  fixed by complex conjugation) instead of  $H$  throughout.