

# Unions of hyperplanes, unions of spheres, and some related estimates

Daniel M. Oberlin

Department of Mathematics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306-4510

oberlin@math.fsu.edu

March 1, 2006

By a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  we mean any translate of a  $(d - 1)$ -plane. The collection  $\mathcal{H}$  of all hyperplanes  $P$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  can be parametrized by  $\Sigma^{(d-1)} \times [0, \infty)$  if one identifies  $P$  with  $(\sigma, t)$  whenever  $P = \sigma^\perp + t\sigma$ . Following the capacitarian definition of Hausdorff dimension, we say that a compact set  $\mathcal{K}$  of hyperplanes has dimension  $\alpha > 0$  if, for each small  $\epsilon$ ,  $\mathcal{K}$  carries a Borel probability measure  $\mu$  such that

$$(1_H) \quad \int_{\mathcal{K}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \frac{d\mu(P_1) d\mu(P_2)}{(|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| + |t_1 - t_2|)^{\alpha-\epsilon}} < \infty.$$

Similarly, let  $S(x, r)$  stand for the sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with center  $x$  and radius  $r$ . Identifying the collection of all such spheres with  $\mathcal{S} \doteq \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ , we will say that a compact set  $\mathcal{K}$  of spheres has dimension  $\alpha > 0$  if, for each small  $\epsilon$ ,  $\mathcal{K}$  carries a Borel probability measure  $\mu$  such that

$$(1_S) \quad \int_{\mathcal{K}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \frac{d\mu(S_1) d\mu(S_2)}{(|x_1 - x_2| + |r_1 - r_2|)^{\alpha-\epsilon}} < \infty.$$

In both cases we are interested in what can be said about the size of

$$(2) \quad \cup_{T \in \mathcal{K}} T$$

in terms of the Hausdorff dimension of  $\mathcal{K}$ . Since the dimension of a hyperplane or sphere is  $d - 1$ , intuition suggests the conjectures that

- (a) the union (2) should have positive  $d$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure whenever  $\dim(\mathcal{K}) > 1$ , and
- (b) if  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\dim(\mathcal{K}) = \alpha$ , then (2) should have dimension at least  $d - 1 + \alpha$ .

In these situations (though not always in similar ones), such intuition appears to be correct. For example, considering hyperplanes and the case  $\dim(\mathcal{K}) > 1$ , one may define a truncated Radon transform  $R_0$  by

$$R_0 f(\sigma, t) = \int_{\sigma^\perp \cap B(0,1)} f(p + t\sigma) d\mathcal{L}^{d-1}(p).$$

The following theorem is from [1].

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $\mu$  is a nonnegative Borel measure on a compact set  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  and suppose that  $\mu$  satisfies (1) for  $\alpha - \epsilon > 1$ . Then*

$$\|R_0 \chi_E\|_{L_\mu^{\alpha-\epsilon, \infty}} \lesssim \mathcal{L}^d(E)^{1/2}$$

for Borel  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Now suppose that  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  and  $\dim(\mathcal{K}) = \alpha > 1$ . Let  $\mu$  be a Borel probability measure satisfying  $(1_H)$ . If  $E$  is the set (2) then  $R_0 \chi_E(\sigma, t) \geq c > 0$  for each  $\sigma^\perp + t\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$ , and so it follows from Theorem 1 that  $\mathcal{L}^d(E) \geq c^2 > 0$ . Thus (a) is true for hyperplanes. For  $d \geq 3$  the paper [2] contains an analogue of Theorem 1 for the spherical average operator  $Tf(x, r) = \int_{\Sigma^{(d-1)}} f(x - r\sigma) d\sigma$ . It therefore follows that, when  $d \geq 3$ , (a) is also true for spheres. (When  $d = 2$  the circle version of (a) is a significantly more difficult question, answered in the affirmative in Wolff's paper [3].) The papers [1] and [2] also contain results which imply the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{K}$  is either a compact set of hyperplanes or, if  $d \geq 3$ , a compact set of spheres. Suppose that  $\dim(\mathcal{K}) = \alpha \in (0, 1)$  and that  $\mathcal{K}$  either lies on a smooth curve or has a certain Cantor set structure. Then if  $E = \cup_{T \in \mathcal{K}} T$  we have  $\dim(E) \geq d - 1 + \alpha$ .*

Theorem 2 verifies (b) for hyperplanes in case  $d = 2$  but applies only in special cases if  $d > 2$ . Another approach to results like (b) begins by recalling that  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  has Hausdorff dimension  $\beta \in (0, d)$  if and only if, for each  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $E$  carries a Borel probability measure  $\tilde{\mu}$  satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\widehat{\tilde{\mu}}(\xi)|^2}{|\xi|^{d-\beta+\epsilon}} d\xi < \infty.$$

That is,  $\dim(E) = \beta$  if, for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $E$  supports a nontrivial nonnegative distribution in the Sobolev space  $W^{2, -(d-\beta+\epsilon)/2}$ . Thus, for example, (b) is equivalent to the conjecture that, if  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $\dim(K) = \alpha$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $\cup_{T \in \mathcal{K}} T$  should support a nonnegative distribution in  $W^{2, (\alpha-1)/2-\epsilon}$ . On the other hand, the dimension of  $\mathcal{H} = \Sigma^{(d-1)} \times [0, \infty)$  is  $d \geq 2$  and the dimension of  $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \infty)$  is  $d + 1$  but if  $\mathcal{K}$  has dimension as small as  $1 + \epsilon$  then we

know already that  $\cup_{T \in \mathcal{K}} T$  has positive measure. It is therefore natural to wonder if more than this (i.e., more than that  $\cup_{T \in \mathcal{K}} T$  has positive measure) can be said when  $\dim(\mathcal{K}) > 1$ . In particular, in view of the just-mentioned reformulation of (b), one might conjecture that, no matter the  $\alpha \in (0, d)$ , if  $\dim(\mathcal{K}) = \alpha$ , then, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\cup_{T \in \mathcal{K}} T$  should support a nonnegative and nontrivial measure in  $W^{2,(\alpha-1)/2-\epsilon}$ . Our main result is that this is true in certain cases.

**Theorem 3<sub>H</sub>.** *If  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  and  $\dim(\mathcal{K}) = \alpha \in (0, d]$  then, for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\cup_{P \in \mathcal{K}} P$  supports a nonnegative measure (function if  $\alpha > 1$ ) in  $W^{2,(\alpha-1)/2-\epsilon}$ .*

We note that, for hyperplanes, Theorem 3<sub>H</sub> implies (a) as well as (b). For spheres our result is less satisfactory.

**Theorem 3<sub>S</sub>.** *If  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  and  $\dim(\mathcal{K}) = \alpha \in (0, (d-1)/2)$  then, for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\cup_{S \in \mathcal{K}} S$  supports a nonnegative measure in  $W^{2,(\alpha-1)/2-\epsilon}$ .*

Theorem 3<sub>S</sub> implies (a) only when  $d \geq 4$  and (b) only when  $d \geq 3$  (though, in its range of validity, the partial result for (b) in dimension 2 is a little more general than Wolff's observation in [3] that, for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , the union of a set of circles in the plane has dimension at least  $1 + \alpha$  if the set of centers of those circles has dimension  $\alpha$ ).

Results like Theorems 3<sub>H</sub> and 3<sub>S</sub> are often connected with estimates for operators like  $R$  and  $T$ . That is the case here, and we begin with the Radon transform estimate which goes with Theorem 3<sub>H</sub>. Suppose  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d-1})$  is a nonnegative radial function with Fourier transform  $\hat{\psi}$  equal to 1 on  $B(0, 1)$  and supported in  $B(0, 2)$ . For  $\sigma \in S^{(d-1)}$  fix an orthogonal linear map  $O_\sigma$  from  $\sigma^\perp \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ . Define a Radon transform  $\tilde{R}$  by

$$\tilde{R}f(\sigma, t) = \int_{\sigma^\perp} f(p + t\sigma)\psi(O_\sigma(p)) d\mathcal{L}^{d-1}(p).$$

The estimate we have in mind is the following.

**Theorem 4<sub>H</sub>.** *Suppose  $\mu$  is a nonnegative Borel measure on a compact set  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  and suppose that  $\mu$  satisfies the condition (slightly stronger than (1<sub>H</sub>))*

$$\mu(\{(\sigma, t) : |\sigma - \sigma_0| + |t - t_0| < \tau\}) \lesssim \tau^\alpha$$

for some  $\alpha \in (0, d]$  and for all  $(\sigma_0, t_0) \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\tau > 0$ . Then, for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\|\tilde{R}f\|_{L_\mu^{2,\infty}} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^{2,(1-\alpha)/2+\epsilon}}.$$

If also  $\alpha > 1$ , then, for small  $\epsilon > 0$  and

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{2d} - \epsilon$$

there is the estimate

$$\|\tilde{R}f\|_{L_\mu^{2,\infty}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Here is the corresponding result for spheres.

**Theorem 4<sub>S</sub>** . Suppose  $\mu$  is a nonnegative Borel measure on a compact set  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  and suppose that, for  $\alpha \in (0, (d-1)/2)$ ,  $\mu$  satisfies the condition

$$\mu(\{(x, r) : |x - x_0| + |r - r_0| < \tau\}) \lesssim \tau^\alpha$$

for all  $(x_0, r_0) \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\tau > 0$ . Then, for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\|Tf\|_{L_\mu^{2,\infty}} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^{2,(1-\alpha)/2+\epsilon}}.$$

If also  $\alpha > 1$ , then, for small  $\epsilon > 0$  and

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\alpha - 1}{2d} - \epsilon$$

there is the estimate

$$\|Tf\|_{L_\mu^{2,\infty}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 3<sub>H</sub>*: Suppose that  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{K}$  satisfying

$$\int_{\mathcal{K}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \frac{d\mu(P_1) d\mu(P_2)}{(|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| + |t_1 - t_2|)^\alpha} < \infty.$$

With  $\psi$  as above, define a measure  $\tilde{\mu}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by

$$\langle f, \tilde{\mu} \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{K}} \int_{\sigma^\perp} f(p + t\sigma) \psi(O_\sigma(p)) d\mathcal{L}_{d-1}(p) d\mu(\sigma, t) = \langle \tilde{R}f, \mu \rangle.$$

We will show that, for  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$(3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{\tilde{\mu}}(\xi)|^2 |\xi|^{\alpha-1-2\epsilon} d\mathcal{L}_d(\xi) < \infty.$$

Replacing  $\alpha$  by  $\alpha - \epsilon$  then shows that Theorem 3<sub>H</sub> is true. Suppose  $\rho$  is a nonnegative  $C^\infty$  function supported in  $[1/2, 4]$  and equal to one on  $[1, 2]$ . We will establish (3) by showing that

$$(4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{\tilde{\mu}}(\xi)|^2 \rho^2(2^{-j}|\xi|) d\mathcal{L}_d(\xi)$$

is  $\lesssim 2^{-j(\alpha-1)}$ . Thus we begin by fixing  $j$ . If, for  $\sigma \in S^{(d-1)}$ ,  $\pi_\sigma$  denotes the projection of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  into  $\sigma^\perp$  and  $\Pi_\sigma = O_\sigma \circ \pi_\sigma$ , then (4) is equal to

$$(5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} e^{-i\xi \cdot (t_1 \sigma_1 - t_2 \sigma_2)} \widehat{\psi}(\Pi_{\sigma_1}(\xi)) \widehat{\psi}(\Pi_{\sigma_2}(\xi)) d\mu(\sigma_1, t_1) d\mu(\sigma_2, t_2) \rho^2(2^{-j}|\xi|) d\mathcal{L}_d(\xi) = \int_{\mathcal{K}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} b(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, t_1 \sigma_1 - t_2 \sigma_2) d\mu(\sigma_1, t_1) d\mu(\sigma_2, t_2)$$

where

$$b(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} \widehat{\psi}(\Pi_{\sigma_1}(\xi)) \widehat{\psi}(\Pi_{\sigma_2}(\xi)) \rho^2(2^{-j}|\xi|) d\mathcal{L}_d(\xi).$$

If  $b(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \cdot)$  is not identically 0, then the tubes of radius 2 through the origin in the directions of  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  must intersect at some  $\xi$  satisfying  $|\xi| \sim 2^j$ . This implies that  $|\sigma_1 \pm \sigma_2| \lesssim 2^{-j}$ . There is no loss of generality in assuming that if  $(\sigma_1, t_1)$  and  $(\sigma_2, t_2)$  are both in support of  $\mu$ , then  $|\sigma_1 + \sigma_2| \geq 1$  (for this can be achieved by decomposing  $\mu$  into a finite sum of measures with small supports). Thus we may assume that, unless  $b(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \cdot) \equiv 0$ ,  $|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| \lesssim 2^{-j}$ . Now, with

$$a(\sigma, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-i\xi \cdot x} \widehat{\psi}(\Pi_\sigma(\xi)) \rho(2^{-j}|\xi|) d\mathcal{L}_d(\xi),$$

we have  $b(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \cdot) = a(\sigma_1, \cdot) * a(\sigma_2, \cdot)$ . Let  $P_\sigma$  be the plate

$$B(0, 1) \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x \cdot \sigma| \leq 2^{-j}\}.$$

Assume for the moment the following standard result (which will be proved later):

**Lemma 1.** *For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we have*

$$(6) \quad |a(\sigma, \cdot)| \leq C_N 2^j \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nN} \chi_{2^n P_\sigma}.$$

Then it follows that

$$(7) \quad |b(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \cdot)| \lesssim 2^{2j} \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-(m+n)N} \chi_{2^n P_{\sigma_1}} * \chi_{2^m P_{\sigma_2}}.$$

If  $|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| \lesssim 2^{-j}$  and  $m \leq n$ , we have

$$\chi_{2^n P_{\sigma_1}} * \chi_{2^m P_{\sigma_2}} \lesssim 2^{dm-j} \chi_{2^{n+2} P_{\sigma_1}}$$

and so, if  $N > d$ ,

$$2^{2j} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^n 2^{-(m+n)N} \chi_{2^n P_{\sigma_1}} * \chi_{2^m P_{\sigma_2}} \lesssim 2^{2j} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^n 2^{-(n+m)N} 2^{dm-j} \chi_{2^{n+2} P_{\sigma_1}} \lesssim 2^j \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nN} \chi_{2^{n+2} P_{\sigma_1}}.$$

It therefore follows from (7) that (5), and so (4), is controlled by

$$(8) \quad 2^j \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nN} \int \int_{\{|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| \lesssim 2^{-j}\}} \chi_{2^{n+2} P_{\sigma_1}}(t_1 \sigma_1 - t_2 \sigma_2) d\mu(\sigma_1, t_1) d\mu(\sigma_2, t_2).$$

Now if  $t_1 \sigma_1 - t_2 \sigma_2 \in 2^{n+2} P_{\sigma_1}$ , then

$$|t_1 - t_2 + t_2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) \cdot \sigma_1| = |(t_1 \sigma_1 - t_2 \sigma_1) \cdot \sigma_1 + t_2(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) \cdot \sigma_1| = |(t_1 \sigma_1 - t_2 \sigma_2) \cdot \sigma_1| \lesssim 2^{n-j}.$$

If also  $|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| \lesssim 2^{-j}$ , then  $|t_2| \lesssim 1$  gives  $|t_1 - t_2| \lesssim 2^{n-j}$  and so

$$|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| + |t_1 - t_2| \lesssim 2^{n-j}.$$

Thus (8) is bounded by

$$(9) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nN} 2^j \int \int_{\{|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| + |t_1 - t_2| \lesssim 2^{n-j}\}} d\mu(\sigma_1, t_1) d\mu(\sigma_2, t_2).$$

Since

$$\int \int_{\{|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| + |t_1 - t_2| \leq \tau\}} d\mu(\sigma_1, t_1) d\mu(\sigma_2, t_2) \leq \tau^\alpha \int_{\mathcal{K}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \frac{d\mu(\sigma_1, t_1) d\mu(\sigma_2, t_2)}{(|\sigma_1 - \sigma_2| + |t_1 - t_2|)^\alpha} \lesssim \tau^\alpha,$$

we may bound (9), and so (4), by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nN} 2^j 2^{(n-j)\alpha} \lesssim 2^{-j(\alpha-1)}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem  $3_H$ .

*Proof of Lemma 1:* Without loss of generality let  $\sigma = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Writing  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi')$  and identifying  $\sigma^\perp$  with  $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ , we have

$$(10) \quad a(\sigma, x) = \int \int e^{-i\xi \cdot x} \widehat{\psi}(\xi') \rho(2^{-j} |\xi|) d\mathcal{L}_{d-1}(\xi') d\mathcal{L}_1(\xi_1).$$

Suppose  $x \in 2^{n+1}P_\sigma \sim 2^n P_\sigma$ . Writing  $x = (x_1, x') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ , assume first that  $|x| \geq 2^n$  so that, if  $j > 1$ ,  $|x'| \geq 2^{n-1}$ . Then, considering the support of  $\widehat{\psi}$ ,

$$\left| \int e^{-i\xi' \cdot x'} \widehat{\psi}(\xi') \rho(2^{-j}|\xi|) d\mathcal{L}_{d-1}(\xi') \right| = \left| \int_{B(0,2)} e^{-i\xi' \cdot x'} \widehat{\psi}(\xi') \rho(2^{-j}|\xi|) d\mathcal{L}_{d-1}(\xi') \right|.$$

Integrating by parts  $N$  times, this is bounded by  $C_N 2^{-nN}$ . Thus (10) is bounded by  $C_N 2^j 2^{-nN}$  since  $|\xi_1| \lesssim 2^j$ . Suppose now that  $x \in 2^{n+1}P_\sigma \setminus 2^n P_\sigma$  and  $|x| < 2^n$ . Then  $|x_1| > 2^{n-j}$ . Now

$$(11) \quad \int e^{-i\xi_1 x_1} \rho(2^{-j}|\xi|) d\xi_1 = 2^j \int e^{-i\tilde{\xi}_1 2^j x_1} \rho\left(\sqrt{\tilde{\xi}_1^2 + |2^{-j}\xi'|^2}\right) d\tilde{\xi}_1.$$

Since  $|2^j x_1| \sim 2^n$ , integrating by parts  $N$  times bounds (11) by  $C_N 2^{j-nN}$ . Since  $\widehat{\psi}$  is supported in  $B(0, 2)$ , the same bound applies to (10).

*Proof of Theorem 4<sub>H</sub>*: Theorem 4<sub>H</sub> will follow from the estimate

$$\|\widetilde{R}^* \chi_\mathcal{E}\|_{W^{2,(\alpha-1)/2-\epsilon}} \lesssim (\mu(\mathcal{E}))^{1/2}, \quad \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{H},$$

dual to

$$\|\widetilde{R}f\|_{L_\mu^{2,\infty}} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^{2,(1-\alpha)/2+\epsilon}}$$

and, if  $\alpha > 1$ , the Sobolev embedding theorem. Thus, for Borel  $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  and for suitable  $f$ , we note that

$$\langle f, \widetilde{R}^* \chi_\mathcal{E} \rangle = \langle \widetilde{R}f, \chi_\mathcal{E} \mu \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{E}} \int_{\sigma^\perp} f(p + t\sigma) \psi(O_\sigma(p)) d\mathcal{L}_{d-1}(p) d\mu(\sigma, t).$$

Following the proof of Theorem 3 with  $\mu$  replaced by  $\chi_\mathcal{E} \mu$  (see (9)) shows that

$$\|\widetilde{R}^* \chi_\mathcal{E}\|_{W^{2,(\alpha-1)/2-\epsilon}}^2$$

is controlled by the sum on  $j$  of the terms

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{j(\alpha-1-2\epsilon)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nN} 2^j \int_{\mathcal{E}} \int_{\{|\sigma_1-\sigma_2|+|t_1-t_2| \lesssim 2^{n-j}\}} d\mu(\sigma_1, t_1) d\mu(\sigma_2, t_2) &\lesssim \\ 2^{j(\alpha-1-2\epsilon)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nN} 2^j \mu(\mathcal{E}) 2^{\alpha(n-j)} &\lesssim 2^{-2j\epsilon} \mu(\mathcal{E}). \end{aligned}$$

This yields the desired result.

*Proof of Theorem 3<sub>S</sub>*: Here we write  $\sigma$  for Lebesgue measure on  $S^{(d-1)}$ . The proof is generally parallel to that of Theorem 3<sub>H</sub>. Thus suppose that  $\mu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{K}$  satisfying

$$\int_{\mathcal{K}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \frac{d\mu(S_1) d\mu(S_2)}{(|x_1 - x_2| + |r_1 - r_2|)^\alpha} < \infty$$

and define  $\tilde{\mu}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by

$$\langle f, \tilde{\mu} \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{K}} \int_{S^{(d-1)}} f(x + r\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) d\mu(x, r) = \langle \tilde{T}f, \mu \rangle.$$

With  $\rho$  as in the proof of Theorem 3, we would like to show that

$$(12) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{\tilde{\mu}}(\xi)|^2 \rho(2^{-j}|\xi|) d\mathcal{L}_d(\xi) \lesssim 2^{-j(\alpha-1)}.$$

We begin by rewriting (12) as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \widehat{\sigma}(r_1\xi) \widehat{\sigma}(r_2\xi) e^{-i(x_1-x_2)\cdot\xi} d\mu(x_1, r_1) d\mu(x_2, r_2) \rho(2^{-j}|\xi|) d\mathcal{L}_d(\xi)$$

Changing to polar coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and abusing notation by writing  $\widehat{\sigma}(|\xi|)$  to stand for  $\widehat{\sigma}(\xi)$ , this is

$$(13) \quad \int_{\mathcal{K}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \int_0^\infty \widehat{\sigma}(r_1r) \widehat{\sigma}(r_2r) \widehat{\sigma}(|x_1 - x_2|r) \rho(2^{-j}r) r^{d-1} dr d\mu(x_1, r_1) d\mu(x_2, r_2) =$$

$$\int_{\mathcal{K}} \int_{\mathcal{K}} b(r_1, r_2, |x_1 - x_2|) d\mu(x_1, r_1) d\mu(x_2, r_2)$$

if

$$b(r_1, r_2, s) = \int_0^\infty \widehat{\sigma}(r_1r) \widehat{\sigma}(r_2r) \widehat{\sigma}(sr) \rho(2^{-j}r) r^{d-1} dr.$$

We will use the following notation: if  $S_1 = S(x_1, r_1)$  and  $S_2 = S(x_2, r_2)$  are spheres, then  $\delta = \delta(S_1, S_2)$  will stand for the distance  $|x_1 - x_2| + |r_1 - r_2|$  between  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  while  $\Delta = \Delta(S_1, S_2)$  will stand for  $||x_1 - x_2| - |r_1 - r_2||$ . We also observe that on the compact subset  $\mathcal{K}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $r$  is bounded away from 0. We will estimate (13), and therefore establish (12), by considering the different cases which result from splitting the integral in a certain way.

**Case I:**  $\int \int_{\{\Delta < \delta/2\}} b(r_1, r_2, |x_1 - x_2|) d\mu(x_1, r_1) d\mu(x_2, r_2)$

If  $\Delta < \delta/2$  then  $\delta \sim |x_1 - x_2|$ . Now  $|b(r_1, r_2, |x_1 - x_2|)| \lesssim 2^j$  follows from

$$(14) \quad |\widehat{\sigma}(s)| \lesssim s^{(1-d)/2}$$



(recall that the  $r_j$  are bounded away from 0 and that  $|\widehat{\sigma}|$  is bounded). Thus the portion of the Case I integral where  $|x_1 - x_2| \leq 2^{-j}$  is controlled by

$$2^j \int \int_{\{\delta \lesssim 2^{-j}\}} d\mu(x_1, r_1) d\mu(x_2, r_2) \lesssim 2^{-j(\alpha-1)},$$

where the last inequality follows (as in the proof of Theorem 3<sub>H</sub>) from the capacitarian assumption on  $\mu$ . If  $|x_1 - x_2| \gtrsim 2^{-j}$  then (14) and  $\delta \sim |x_1 - x_2|$  imply that the relevant integral is controlled by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2^j}{(2^j |x_1 - x_2|)^{(d-1)/2}} &\lesssim \frac{1}{\delta^{(d-1)/2} 2^{j(d-3)/2}} \lesssim \\ &\frac{1}{\delta^\alpha 2^{-j[(d-1)/2-\alpha]}} \frac{1}{2^{j(d-3)/2}} = \frac{1}{\delta^\alpha 2^{j(-1+\alpha)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the second inequality follows from  $\delta \gtrsim 2^{-j}$  and  $\alpha \leq (d-1)/2$ . Thus the Case I integral is controlled by  $2^{-j(\alpha-1)}$ .

**Case II:**  $\int \int_{\{\delta < 4 \cdot 2^{-j}\}} b(r_1, r_2, |x_1 - x_2|) d\mu(x_1, r_1) d\mu(x_2, r_2)$

Since

$$\int \int_{\{\delta < 4 \cdot 2^{-j}\}} d\mu(x_1, r_1) d\mu(x_2, r_2) \lesssim 2^{-j\alpha}$$

and  $|b(r_1, r_2, |x_1 - x_2|)| \lesssim 2^j$ , the desired bound of  $2^{-j(1-\alpha)}$  is immediate.

**Case III:**  $\int \int_{\{4 \cdot 2^{-j} \leq \delta \leq 2\Delta\}} b(r_1, r_2, |x_1 - x_2|) d\mu(x_1, r_1) d\mu(x_2, r_2)$

Recall that

$$b(r_1, r_2, |x_1 - x_2|) = \int_a^b \widehat{\sigma}(r_1 r) \widehat{\sigma}(r_2 r) \widehat{\sigma}(|x_1 - x_2| r) \rho(2^{-j} r) r^{d-1} dr$$

where  $a \gtrsim 2^j$ . Utilizing the asymptotic expansion of  $\widehat{\sigma}$  and recalling that  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are bounded away from 0, the principal term in this integral is controlled by the largest of

$$(15) \quad \left| \int_a^b \frac{e^{i(\pm r_1 \pm r_2 \pm |x_1 - x_2|)r}}{(r|x_1 - x_2|)^{(d-1)/2}} dr \right|.$$

After rescaling and then multiplying  $\mu$  by a cutoff function of  $x$ , we may assume that  $r_1, r_2 \geq 1/2$  and  $|x_1 - x_2| \leq 1/2$ . One can check that then  $\Delta = ||r_1 - r_2| - |x_1 - x_2||$  minimizes  $|\pm r_1 \pm r_2 \pm |x_1 - x_2||$ . An integration by parts bounds (15) by some multiple of

$$|x_1 - x_2|^{-(d-1)/2} \left( \left| \int_a^b \int_a^r e^{i\Delta s} ds r^{-(d+1)/2} dr \right| + 2^{-j(d-1)/2} \left| \int_a^b e^{i\Delta s} ds \right| \right).$$

Since  $a \geq 2^j$ , it follows that

$$|(15)| \lesssim \frac{2^{-j(d-1)/2}}{\Delta \cdot |x_1 - x_2|^{(d-1)/2}} \lesssim \frac{2^{-j(d-1)/2}}{\Delta^{(d+1)/2}} \lesssim \frac{2^{-j(d-1)/2}}{\Delta^\alpha 2^{-j[(d+1)/2-\alpha]}}$$

where the last inequality follows from  $\Delta \gtrsim 2^{-j}$  and  $\alpha \leq (d-1)/2 < (d+1)/2$ . Thus

$$\int \int_{\{4 \cdot 2^{-j} \leq \delta \leq 2\Delta\}} |(15)| d\mu(x_1, r_1) d\mu(x_2, r_2) \lesssim 2^{-j(1-\alpha)}$$

by the capacitarian assumption on  $\mu$ . The nonprincipal terms are controlled similarly. For example, the term coming from the principal terms of  $\widehat{\sigma}(r_i r)$  and the second order term from  $\widehat{\sigma}(|x_1 - x_2| r)$  is controlled by

$$\int_1^b \frac{dr}{(r|x_1 - x_2|)^{(d+1)/2}} \lesssim \frac{1}{\Delta^{(d+1)/2} 2^{j(d-1)/2}}$$

and so may be treated as was |(15)|. This completes the proof of Theorem 3<sub>S</sub>.

The changes to the proof of Theorem 3<sub>S</sub> which are required in order to prove Theorem 4<sub>S</sub> are analogous to the changes in the proof of Theorem 3<sub>H</sub> which yield the proof of Theorem 4<sub>H</sub>.

## References

- [1] D. Oberlin *Restricted Radon transforms and unions of hyperplanes* Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, to appear.
- [2] D. Oberlin *Packing spheres and fractal Strichartz estimates in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  for  $d \geq 3$*  Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [3] T. Wolff *Local smoothing estimates on  $L^p$  for large  $p$* , Geometric and Functional Analysis **10** (2000), 1237–1288.