

Student Perception of Teaching

Instructor: Ahmet Tatar
 Department: Mathematics
 Course: MAD2104 01 (DISCRETE MATHMTICS I)
 Evaluation Format: Paper Course Delivery Format: Classroom-based
 Forms Ordered: 30 Responses: 16 (53%)

Response Scale		Response Scale	
SA = Strongly Agree	= 1	E = Excellent	= 1
A = Agree	= 2	VG = Very Good	= 2
N = Neutral	= 3	G = Good	= 3
D = Disagree	= 4	F = Fair	= 4
SD = Strongly Disagree	= 5	P = Poor	= 5

Section A Student Information

	1st year	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Grad/Other
1. What is your year in school?	0 0%	2 14%	7 50%	5 36%	0 0%
	1.99 or less	2.0 - 2.49	2.5 - 2.99	3.0 - 3.49	3.5 - 4.0
2. What is your overall cumulative GPA?	0 0%	1 7%	7 47%	5 33%	2 13%
	A	B	C	D	F
3. What grade do you expect to receive in this course?	10 67%	4 27%	1 7%	0 0%	0 0%
	yes	no			
4. Is this a required course for you?	12 86%	2 14%			

Section B Course & Instructor Details

	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
1. This course challenged me to think deeply about the subject matter.	9 56%	4 25%	3 19%	0 0%	0 0%	16	1	1
2. The course materials (e.g., textbooks, coursepack) helped me better understand the subject matter.	5 31%	9 56%	1 6%	1 6%	0 0%	16	2	1
3. The course assignments helped me better understand the subject matter.	6 38%	9 56%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	16	2	1
4. The instructional techniques engaged me with the subject matter.	6 38%	8 50%	2 12%	0 0%	0 0%	16	2	1
5. The instructor was concerned about whether the students learned the subject matter.	8 50%	5 31%	3 19%	0 0%	0 0%	16	1.5	1
6. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject matter in the course.	8 50%	7 44%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	16	1.5	1
7. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching this class.	8 53%	6 40%	1 7%	0 0%	0 0%	15	1	1
8. The instructor clearly communicated what was expected in this class.	9 56%	5 31%	2 12%	0 0%	0 0%	16	1	1
9. The instructor expressed ideas clearly.	7 44%	7 44%	2 12%	0 0%	0 0%	16	2	1

Section B Course & Instructor Details (continue)	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
10. The instructor provided helpful feedback on my performance.	6 38%	8 50%	2 12%	0 0%	0 0%	16	2	1
11. The instructor evaluated my work fairly.	10 62%	4 25%	2 12%	0 0%	0 0%	16	1	1
12. The instructor treated students with respect.	12 75%	3 19%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	16	1	.5
13. Students were able to get individual help.	12 75%	3 19%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	16	1	.5
Section C Overall Course & Instructor Assessment	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
1. Overall, I learned a great deal from this course.	9 56%	4 25%	3 19%	0 0%	0 0%	16	1	1
2. If a friend were taking this course, I would recommend this instructor.	12 75%	3 19%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	16	1	.5
3. If I were taking another course in this subject area, I would choose this instructor again.	13 81%	2 12%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	16	1	0
	E	VG	G	F	P	(N)	Median	IQR
4. Overall, considering its content, design, and structure, this course was	10 62%	5 31%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	16	1	1
5. Overall, considering the constraints and opportunities inherent in the subject matter, this instructor was	10 62%	5 31%	1 6%	0 0%	0 0%	16	1	1
Section D SUSSAI (State University System Student Assessment of Instruction)	E	VG	G	F	P	(N)	Median	IQR
1. Description of course objectives and assignments	6 43%	6 43%	2 14%	0 0%	0 0%	14	2	1
2. Communication of ideas and information	5 36%	6 43%	3 21%	0 0%	0 0%	14	2	1
3. Expression of expectations for performance in class	8 57%	4 29%	2 14%	0 0%	0 0%	14	1	1
4. Availability to assist students in or out of class	7 50%	5 36%	2 14%	0 0%	0 0%	14	1.5	1
5. Respect and concern for students	10 71%	3 21%	1 7%	0 0%	0 0%	14	1	1
6. Stimulation of interest in the course.	6 43%	6 43%	2 14%	0 0%	0 0%	14	2	1
7. Facilitation of learning.	5 38%	7 54%	1 8%	0 0%	0 0%	13	2	1
8. Overall assessment of instructor.	9 64%	4 29%	1 7%	0 0%	0 0%	14	1	1

(No responses for other sections.)