

Student Perception of Teaching

Instructor: Ahmet Tatar
 Department: Mathematics
 Course: MAD3105 01 (DISCRETE MATHMATIC II)
 Evaluation Format: Paper Course Delivery Format: Classroom-based
 Forms Ordered: 51 Responses: 28 (55%)

Response Scale		Response Scale	
SA = Strongly Agree	= 1	E = Excellent	= 1
A = Agree	= 2	VG = Very Good	= 2
N = Neutral	= 3	G = Good	= 3
D = Disagree	= 4	F = Fair	= 4
SD = Strongly Disagree	= 5	P = Poor	= 5

Section A Student Information

	1st year	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Grad/Other
1. What is your year in school?	0 0%	3 11%	12 43%	13 46%	0 0%
	1.99 or less	2.0 - 2.49	2.5 - 2.99	3.0 - 3.49	3.5 - 4.0
2. What is your overall cumulative GPA?	0 0%	0 0%	10 36%	12 43%	6 21%
	A	B	C	D	F
3. What grade do you expect to receive in this course?	10 36%	12 43%	6 21%	0 0%	0 0%
	yes	no			
4. Is this a required course for you?	28 100%	0 0%			

Section B Course & Instructor Details

	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
1. This course challenged me to think deeply about the subject matter.	12 43%	13 46%	3 11%	0 0%	0 0%	28	2	1
2. The course materials (e.g., textbooks, coursepack) helped me better understand the subject matter.	11 39%	8 29%	4 14%	4 14%	1 4%	28	2	2
3. The course assignments helped me better understand the subject matter.	15 54%	12 43%	1 4%	0 0%	0 0%	28	1	1
4. The instructional techniques engaged me with the subject matter.	9 32%	14 50%	3 11%	2 7%	0 0%	28	2	1
5. The instructor was concerned about whether the students learned the subject matter.	11 39%	15 54%	1 4%	1 4%	0 0%	28	2	1
6. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject matter in the course.	12 43%	10 36%	5 18%	1 4%	0 0%	28	2	1
7. The instructor was enthusiastic about teaching this class.	11 39%	12 43%	4 14%	1 4%	0 0%	28	2	1
8. The instructor clearly communicated what was expected in this class.	10 37%	11 41%	5 19%	1 4%	0 0%	27	2	1
9. The instructor expressed ideas clearly.	12 43%	8 29%	7 25%	1 4%	0 0%	28	2	2

Section B Course & Instructor Details (continue)	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
10. The instructor provided helpful feedback on my performance.	13 46%	13 46%	1 4%	1 4%	0 0%	28	2	1
11. The instructor evaluated my work fairly.	15 54%	13 46%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	28	1	1
12. The instructor treated students with respect.	16 59%	11 41%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	27	1	1
13. Students were able to get individual help.	19 68%	9 32%	0 0%	0 0%	0 0%	28	1	1
Section C Overall Course & Instructor Assessment	SA	A	N	D	SD	(N)	Median	IQR
1. Overall, I learned a great deal from this course.	14 50%	10 36%	4 14%	0 0%	0 0%	28	1.5	1
2. If a friend were taking this course, I would recommend this instructor.	14 50%	6 21%	6 21%	2 7%	0 0%	28	1.5	2
3. If I were taking another course in this subject area, I would choose this instructor again.	13 46%	8 29%	6 21%	1 4%	0 0%	28	2	1.5
4. Overall, considering its content, design, and structure, this course was	8 30%	7 26%	11 41%	1 4%	0 0%	27	2	2
5. Overall, considering the constraints and opportunities inherent in the subject matter, this instructor was	10 37%	9 33%	8 30%	0 0%	0 0%	27	2	2
Section D SUSSAI (State University System Student Assessment of Instruction)	E	VG	G	F	P	(N)	Median	IQR
1. Description of course objectives and assignments	14 52%	8 30%	4 15%	1 4%	0 0%	27	1	1
2. Communication of ideas and information	10 37%	8 30%	8 30%	1 4%	0 0%	27	2	2
3. Expression of expectations for performance in class	9 33%	12 44%	6 22%	0 0%	0 0%	27	2	1
4. Availability to assist students in or out of class	14 52%	8 30%	5 19%	0 0%	0 0%	27	1	1
5. Respect and concern for students	14 54%	8 31%	4 15%	0 0%	0 0%	26	1	1
6. Stimulation of interest in the course.	10 37%	6 22%	7 26%	4 15%	0 0%	27	2	2
7. Facilitation of learning.	13 48%	5 19%	8 30%	1 4%	0 0%	27	2	2
8. Overall assessment of instructor.	13 48%	7 26%	7 26%	0 0%	0 0%	27	2	2

(No responses for other sections.)