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## Introduction

In SGA4 Exposé XVIII, Deligne studies the relation between Picard stacks and length 2 complexes of abelian sheaves, as well as the relation between the morphisms of such objects. He proves ([3], Proposition 1.4.15) that the functor

$$
\mathrm{D}^{[-1,0]}(\mathrm{S}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{b}(\mathrm{~S})
$$

is an equivalence where $\mathrm{D}^{[-1,0]}(S)$ is the subcategory of the derived category of category of complexes of abelian sheaves $A^{\bullet}$ over a site $S$ with $H^{-i}\left(A^{\bullet}\right) \neq 0$ only for $i=0,1$ and $\operatorname{PIC}^{b}(S)$ is the category of Picard stacks over $S$ with 1 -morphisms isomorphism classes of additive functor
Goal
Our purpose is to generalize the above result to Picard 2 -stacks.
Method

1) Define the 3 -category of Picard 2 -stacks 2 PIC( $($
2) Define the tricategory of length 3 complexes of abelian sheaves $\mathrm{T}^{[-2,0]}(\mathrm{S})$.
3) Construct a trihomorphism $2 \varsigma$ from $\mathrm{T}^{[-2,0]}(\mathrm{S})$ to $2 \operatorname{PIC}(\mathrm{~S})$
4) Prove that the trihomomorphism $2 \wp$ is a triequivalence
5) Deduce a generalization of Deligne's result for Picard stacks to Picard 2-stacks

## 3-category of Picard 2-Stack

The detailed definition of Picard 2-stack over a site S as a fibered 2-category in 2-groupoids equipped with monoidal, braiding, group-like, and Picard structures can be found in Breen ([2], §8). For our purposes, we will define it as follow
Let $A^{\bullet}=\left[A^{-2} \rightarrow A^{-1} \rightarrow A^{0}\right]$ be a complex of abelian sheaves where $\mathscr{A}$ is the Picard stack associated to $A^{-2} \rightarrow A^{-1}$, that is $\operatorname{Tors}\left(A^{-2}, A^{-1}\right)$. We define Tors $\left(\mathscr{A}, A^{0}\right)$ as Picard 2 -stack associated to the $A^{\bullet}$. It consists of objects, 1 -morphisms, and 2 -mophisms defined as


- A 1-morphism from $\left(\mathscr{L}_{1}, s_{1}\right)$ to $\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}, s_{2}\right)$ is a pair $(F, \gamma)$

$$
(F, \gamma):\left(\mathscr{L}_{1}, s_{1}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}, s_{2}\right),
$$

where $F$ is a $\mathscr{A}$-torsor morphism compatible with the torsor structure up to $\gamma$ and $s_{2} \circ F=s_{1}$. - A 2-morphism from $(F, \gamma)$ to $(G, \beta)$ is a natural 2-transformation $\theta$

$$
\left(\mathscr{L}_{1}, s_{1}\right)_{(G, \delta)}^{\frac{(F, \gamma)}{\psi \theta}}\left(\mathscr{L}_{2}, s_{2}\right)
$$

hat makes the diagram commute


We will see that $\operatorname{TORS}\left(\mathscr{A}, A^{0}\right)$ is in a sense the only example of Picard 2 -stacks.
An additive 2 -functor is a cartesian 2 -functor between the underlying fibered 2 -categories compatible with the monoidal, braided, and Picard structures carried by the fibers.

Picard 2-stacks over S form an obvious 3-category which we denote by $2 \operatorname{PIC}(\mathrm{~S})$. $2 \operatorname{PIC}(\mathrm{~S})$ has a hom-2-groupoid consisting of additive 2 -functors, weakly invertible natural 2 -transformations, an strict modifications. For any two Picard 2 -stacks $\mathbb{P}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$, associated respectively to complexes $A$

## Tricategory of Complexes of Abelian Sheaves $\mathrm{T}^{[-2,0]}(\mathrm{S})$

$\mathrm{T}^{[-2,0]}(S)$ is a tricategory of length 3 complexes of abelian sheaves placed in degrees $[-2,0]$. Fo any two such complexes $A^{\bullet}$ and $B^{\bullet}$, its hom-bicategory $\operatorname{Frac}\left(A^{\bullet}, B^{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}\right)$ is the bigroupoid that consist
of objects, 1 -morphisms, and 2 -morphisms where

- An object is an ordered triple $\left(q, M^{\bullet}, p\right)$ called fraction

$$
.^{q}{ }_{M^{\bullet}}^{p}
$$

ith $M^{\bullet}$ a complex of abelian sheaves, $p$ a morphism of complexes, and $q$ a quasi-isomorphism.

- A 1-morphism from the fraction $\left(q_{1}, M_{1}^{\bullet}, p_{1}\right)$ to the fraction $\left(q_{2}, M_{2}^{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}, p_{2}\right)$ is an ordered triple $\left.r, K^{\bullet}, s\right)$ with $K^{\bullet}$ a complex of abelian sheaves, $r$ and $s$ quasi-isomorphisms making the dia gram

commutative
- A 2 -morphism from the 1 -morphism $\left(r_{1}, K_{1}^{\mathbf{\bullet}}, s_{1}\right)$ to the 1 -morphism $\left(r_{2}, K_{2}^{\mathbf{\bullet}}, s_{2}\right)$ is an isomor phism $t^{\bullet}: K_{1}^{\bullet} \rightarrow K_{2}^{\bullet}$ of complexes of abelian sheaves such that the diagram that we will call



## ommute.

Subtricategory of $\mathrm{T}^{[-2,0]}(\mathrm{S})$
$\mathrm{T}^{[-2,0]}(\mathrm{S})$ has a well known subtricategory $\mathrm{C}^{[-2,0]}(\mathrm{S})$. It has same objects as $\mathrm{T}^{[-2,0]}(\mathrm{S})$. For a pai of complexes of abelian sheaves $A^{\bullet}, B^{\bullet}$, its hom-2-groupoid $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{Cl}-2,0,(S)}\left(A^{\bullet}, B^{\bullet}\right)$ is the 2-groupoii associated to the complex

$$
\operatorname{Hom}^{-2}\left(A^{\bullet}, B^{\bullet}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}^{-1}\left(A^{\bullet}, B^{\bullet}\right) \longrightarrow Z^{0}\left(\operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(A^{\bullet}, B^{\bullet}\right)\right)
$$

of abelian groups. Explicitly $\mathrm{C}^{[-2,0]}(\mathrm{S})$ has same objects as $\mathrm{T}^{[-2,0]}(\mathrm{S})$ and for any two complexes of abelian sheaves $A^{\bullet}, B^{\bullet}$ its hom-2-groupoid has objects, 1 -morphisms, and 2 -morphisms define respectively as:

with relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g^{0}-f^{0}=\lambda_{B} \circ s^{0}, \\
& g^{-2}-f^{-2}=s^{-1} \circ \delta_{A}, \\
& g^{-1}-f^{-1}=\delta_{B} \circ s^{-1}+s^{0} \circ \lambda_{A}, \\
& s^{0}-t^{0}=\delta_{B} \circ v, \\
& s^{-1}-t^{-1}=-v \circ \lambda_{A} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to observe that $\mathrm{C}^{[-2,0]}(\mathrm{S})$ is a 3 -category

## Main Theorem

Theorem. ([4], Theorem 6.4) There is a triequivalence

$$
2 \wp: \mathrm{T}^{[-2,0]}(\mathrm{S}) \longrightarrow 2 \operatorname{PIC}(\mathrm{~S}) .
$$

defined by sending $A^{\bullet}$ to $\operatorname{Tors}\left(\mathscr{A}, A^{0}\right)$.
Proof. (Outline) The method that we adopt to prove our results is going to use mostly the language and techniques developed in [1] the paper of Aldrovandi and Noohi such as butterflies, torsors, etc. The main steps of the proof are:

- Construct the trihomomorphism $2 \wp$ on $\mathrm{Cl}^{-2,0_{J}}(\mathrm{~S}$
- For any two complexes of abelian sheaves $A^{\bullet}$ and $B^{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}$, show that the hom-bigroupoid $\operatorname{Frac}\left(A^{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}, B^{\bullet}\right)$ is biequivalent to the hom-2-groupoid $\operatorname{Hom}\left(A^{\bullet}, B^{\bullet}\right)$. In particular, this means that for any morphism $F: \operatorname{TORS}(\mathscr{A}, A) \rightarrow \operatorname{TORS}(\mathscr{A}, B)$, there exists a fraction $(q, M, p)$ such that $F \circ 2 \wp(q) \simeq$ $2 \wp(p)$.
- Use the $2^{\text {nad }}$ step and the observation that $2 \wp$ sends quasi-isomorphisms to equivalences, to extend $2 \wp$ onto $\mathrm{T}^{[-2,0]}(\mathrm{S})$
- Verify that $2 \wp$ is essentially surjective, that is for any Picard 2 -stack $\mathbb{P}$, there exists a complex of abelian sheaves $A^{\bullet}$ such that $\mathbb{P}$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{Tors}\left(\mathscr{A}, A^{0}\right)$


## Remark

The trihomomorphism $2 \zeta$ on $\mathrm{Cl}^{[-2,0]}(\mathrm{S})$ is not a triequivalence. A morphism of complexes of abelian The trinomomorphism $2 \ell$ on
sheaves $f \in Z^{0}\left(\operatorname{Hom} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(A^{\bullet}, B^{\bullet}\right)\right.$ ) is sent to a $\operatorname{morphism} 2 \wp(f): \operatorname{Torss}\left(\mathscr{A}, A^{0}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Toxs}\left(\mathscr{B}, B^{0}\right)$ beThis means $2^{\text {nd }}$ step of the proof does not hold with the hom-2-groupoid Hom reason is the strictness of the 1 -morphisms in $\mathrm{C}^{[-2,0]}(\mathrm{S})$ an-2-groupoid $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{C}}\left(-2,0,(\mathrm{~S})(A, B)\right.$. ${ }^{4}$.

## Consequence of the Main Theorem

From the theorem, we deduce a generalization of Deligne's analogous result about Picard stacks in SGA4, Exposé XVIII to Picard 2-stacks.
Corollary. ([4],Corollary 6.5) The functor $2 \wp$ induces an equivalence

$$
28^{\text {bb }}: \mathrm{D}^{[-2,0]}(\mathrm{S}) \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{PIC}^{\mathrm{bb}}(\mathrm{~S})
$$

of categories.
Proof. Denote by
2 PIC ${ }^{\text {l }}$ (S) : the category of Picard 2-stacks obtained from 2PIC (S by ignoring the modifications and aking as morphisms the equivalence classes of additive 2 -functors.
$D^{[-2,0]}(S)$ : the subcategory of the derived category of category of complexes of abelian sheaves $A^{\bullet}$ ver $S$ with $H^{-i}\left(A^{\bullet}\right) \neq 0$ for $i=0,1,2$
Now, it is enough to observe from the definition of $\operatorname{Frac}\left(A^{\bullet}, B^{\bullet}\right)$ that

$$
\pi_{0}\left(\operatorname{Frac}\left(A^{\bullet}, B^{\bullet}\right)\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D} \mid-2,0 / \mathrm{S})}\left(A^{\bullet}, B^{\bullet}\right),
$$

where $\pi_{0}$ denotes the isomorphism classes of objects. Since the objects of $\mathrm{D}^{[-2,0]}(\mathrm{S})$ are same as the objects of $\mathrm{T}^{[-2,0]}(\mathrm{S})$, the essential surjectivity follows from the fact that $2 \wp$ is essentially surjective.
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