

The Waisman Laboratory for Brain Imaging and Behavior



University of Wisconsin SCHOOL OF MEDICINE AND PUBLIC HEALTH

# Hyperspherical Harmonic (HyperSPHARM) Representation

# Moo K. Chung

University of Wisconsin-Madison www.stat.wisc.edu/~mchung

October 10, 2017, Florida State University

### Abstracts

Existing functional shape models such as the widely used spherical harmonic (SPHARM) representation assume topological invariance, so are unable to simultaneously parameterize multiple disconnected structures. In such a situation, SPHARM has to be applied separately to each individual structure. We present a novel surface parameterization technique using 4D hyperspherical harmonics (HyperSPHARM) in representing multiple disjoint objects as a single analytic form. The underlying idea behind HyperSPHARM is to project an entire collection of disconnected 3D objects onto the 4D hypersphere and simultaneously parameterize them with the 4D hyperspherical harmonics. Hence, HyperSPHARM allows for a holistic treatment of multiple disconnected structures. Although HyperSPHARM may yields similar reconstruction performance as SPHARM, HyperSPHARM can parameterize using much fewer basis functions and projection to 4D dimension obviates SPHARM's burdensome surface flattening. In addition, HyperSPHARM can handle any type of topology. The method is applied in modeling hippocampi and amygdalae of the human brain. The talk is based on paper

Hosseinbor et al., 2015 Medical Image Analysis 22:89-101

## Acknowledgements

Pasha Hosseinbor, Nagesh Adluru, Ross Luo, Houri Voperian, Seth Pollack, Andrew Alexander, Hill Goldsmith, Richard Davidson University of Wisconsin-Madison

NIH funding: EB022856, MH098098, MH061285

# Preliminary

Parametric shape models

Fourier descriptors

Spherical harmonic representation

Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunction expansion





White matter fibers

Up to half million tracts

Each tract consists of about 300 control points.



#### Cosine series representation at various degrees





#### Tract matching

## Tract averaging

Average of 5 tracts

# autism vs. controls

U

MATLAB: <u>http://brainimaging.waisman.</u>

wisc.edu/~chung/tracts



#### Question:

Parameterize the whole white matter fibers using a single parameterization.

#### Surface parameterization



Spherical angle based coordinate system

#### Spherical harmonic of degree *l* and order *m*

$$Y_{lm} = \begin{cases} c_{lm} P_l^{|m|}(\cos\theta) \sin(|m|\varphi), & -l \le m \le -1, \\ \frac{c_{lm}}{\sqrt{2}} P_l^0(\cos\theta), & m = 0, \\ c_{lm} P_l^{|m|}(\cos\theta) \cos(|m|\varphi), & 1 \le m \le l, \end{cases}$$



#### Weighted-Spherical harmonics (SPHARM)

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $v_i( heta,arphi) = \sum^k \sum^l e^{-l(l+1)\sigma} f^i_{lm} Y_{lm}( heta,arphi)$ l=0 m=-l

#### SPHARM with different degrees

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_12.jpeg)

Chung et al., 2007 IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging 26:566-581

#### Weighted-SPHARM

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

heat kernel bandwidth, diffusion time

Matlab: <u>http://www.stat.wisc.edu/~mchung/softwares/</u> weighted-SPHARM/weighted-SPHARM.html

#### Laplace Beltrami eigenfunction expansion

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

# $\Delta f = \lambda f \quad -- \quad C\psi = \lambda A\psi$

MATLAB: <u>http://brainimaging.waisman.wisc.edu/~chung/lb</u>

#### Laplace-Beltrami eigenfunctions on mandible

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Heat kernel = probability distribution on manifold

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Heat kernel smoothing

$$K_{\sigma} * X(p) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j \sigma} X_j \psi_j(p)$$
$$\beta_j = \int X(p) \psi_j(p) \, d\mu(p)$$

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Limitations

Existing parametric shape representations do not work for different topology

Cancer growth

Stroke lesions in brain

Bone fusion

## Hyoid bone fusion

DS; 10 yrs, 6 mo. TD; 10 yrs, 11 mo. TD; 44 yrs, 1 mo.

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

DS: down syndrome

TD: typically developing

# Bessel Fourier Reconstruction (BFOR)

## 2D cortical thickness

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

Yellow: outer cortical surface Blue: inner cortical surface

Chung et al. 2003 NeuroImage 18:198-213

#### Bessel Fourier reconstruction (BFOR) on cortical thickness

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

-0.2

5

10

25

15

20

 $Z_{lmn}(r,\theta,\varphi)$  $= S_l(\sqrt{\lambda_{ln}}r)Y_{lm}(\theta,\varphi)$  $S_l(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2x}} J_{l+1/2}(x)$  $Z_{0,0,1}$  $Z_{3,0,2}$  $Z_{1,0,2}$ Spherical Bessel Function of 1st Kind  $Z_{3,1,2}$  $Z_{4,0,2}$ 0.8  $Z_{4,4,2}$ 0.6 0.4 0.2

 $Z_{5,0,2}$ 

 $Z_{5,2,3}$ 

 $Z_{6,2,3}$ 

#### Multi-shell reconstruction in diffusion weighted imaging

(a) BFOR

5 shells, 126 data points

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

(d) SPFI with Signal Extrapolation

![](_page_26_Picture_5.jpeg)

 $P_0$  image

(b) BFOR with Signal Extrapolation

![](_page_26_Picture_8.jpeg)

(e) DPI

![](_page_26_Picture_10.jpeg)

Hosseinbor et al. 2013 Neuolmage 64:650-670

Hyper Spherical Harmonic (SPHARM) Representation

#### Flatland by Edwin A. Abbott, 1884

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

Connected in 3D

Question: Connect disconnected structures

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

Connected in 4D

Question: Connect disconnected structures

#### 3D stereographic projection

#### 4D stereographic projection

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

### 4D stereographic projection

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

Hyper Spherical harmonic representation

**3D** coordinates 
$$S = (S_1, S_2, S_3)$$
  
 $S_i = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} C_{nlm}^i Z_{nl}^m (\beta, \theta, \phi)$   
 $Z_{nl}^m (\beta, \theta, \phi) = 2^{l+1/2} \sqrt{\frac{(n+1)\Gamma(n-l+1)}{\pi\Gamma(n+l+2)}} \Gamma(l+1) \sin^l \beta C_{nl}^{l+1} (\cos \beta) Y_l^m (\theta, \phi)$   
Gegenbauer polyonomials

#### Hosseinbor et al., 2015 Medical Image Analysis 22:89-101

SPHARM mean squared error.	1764 parameters
	MSE <sub>SPHARM</sub>
Left Amygdala	0.0843 ± 0.0183
Right Amygdala	0.0941 ± 0.0165
Left Hippocampus	$0.364 \pm 0.732$
Right Hippocampus	0.192 ± 0.314
HyperSPHARM mean squared error.	r. 140 parameters
	MSE <sub>HSH</sub>
Left Amygdala	0.147 ± 0.609
Left Amygdala Right Amygdala	0.147 ± 0.609 0.148 ± 0.632
Left Amygdala Right Amygdala Left Hippocampus	$0.147 \pm 0.609$ $0.148 \pm 0.632$ $0.129 \pm 0.511$

#### Multi-shell reconstruction in diffusion weighted imaging

#### 5 shells, 126 data points

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

(d) BFOR

(c) HSH N = 4

 $P_0$  image

Hosseinbor et al., 2015 Medical Image Analysis 21:15-28

# What Next?

Extremely complex multiple disconnected anatomical structures

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Challenge:

Parameterize the whole white matter fibers using HyperSPHARM.

## Standard brain parcellation with 116 regions

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Precentral gyrus

# 9-layer hierarchical brain parcellation

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

# Hierarchical nested connectivity

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Extremely dense brain network

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

+25000 nodes

+0.6 billion connections

HyperSPHARM representation in  $\mathbb{R}^3 \otimes \mathbb{R}^3$ 

#### http://nbiasite.wordpress.com

#### NONSTANDARD BRAIN IMAGE ANALYSIS

ORGANIZERS PROGRAM VENUE REGISTRATION

![](_page_41_Picture_3.jpeg)

Satellite Meeting of 2018 OHBM Singapore

June 22-23, 2018