Transitions between bursting modes in the integrated oscillator model for pancreatic β-cells
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ABSTRACT

Insulin-secreting β-cells of pancreatic islets of Langerhans produce bursts of electrical impulses, resulting in intracellular Ca 2+ oscillations and pulsatile insulin secretion. The mechanism for this bursting activity has been the focus of mathematical modeling for more than three decades, and as new data are acquired old models are modified and new models are developed. Comprehensive models must now account for the various modes of bursting observed in islet β-cells, which include fast bursting, slow bursting, and compound bursting. One such model is the Integrated Oscillator Model (IOM), in which β-cell electrical activity, intracellular Ca 2+, and glucose metabolism interact via numerous feedforward and feedback pathways. These interactions can produce metabolic oscillations with a sawtooth time course or a pulsatile time course, reflecting very different oscillation mechanisms. In this report, we determine conditions favorable to one form of oscillations or the other, and examine the transitions between modes of bursting and the relationship of the transitions to the patterns of metabolic oscillations. Importantly, this work clarifies what can be expected in experimental measurements of β-cell oscillatory activity, and suggests pathways through which oscillations of one type can be converted to oscillations of another type.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Insulin is secreted by β-cells that, in mammals, make up the majority of the cells in pancreatic micro-organs called islets of Langerhans (Heller, 2015). This hormone is essential for glucose uptake by muscle and fat cells, and dysfunction or loss of β-cell mass is responsible for abnormal blood glucose homeostasis and diabetes (see Satin et al. (2015) for review). As with the secretion of many other hormones, insulin secretion is pulsatile (Nunemaker and Satin, 2014). Early reports of peripheral insulin measurements in fasted humans found oscillations with a period of approximately 15 min (Lang et al., 1979), but more recent reports using improved detection methods and measurements of insulin in the hepatic portal circulation from rodents (Matveyenko et al., 2008), pigs (Kjems et al., 2001), and humans (Song et al., 2000) show oscillations with periods of 4–6 min. In addition to these oscillations, slower ultradian oscillations with periods of 1 to 2 h have been observed in humans (Sturis et al., 1991). The liver plays a key role in glucose homeostasis by releasing glucose between meals and suppressing hepatic glucose production during and shortly after meals. A number of studies have demonstrated that insulin pulses, rather than constant insulin, facilitate the liver’s response to the insulin (Bratusch-Marrain et al., 1986; Matveyenko et al., 2012). The clinical importance of insulin oscillations has been established by studies showing that the regularity of insulin oscillations is disrupted in patients with type II diabetes and their near relatives (Lang et al., 1981; O’Rahilly et al., 1988).

The mechanism for insulin oscillations has been debated for decades (Satin et al., 2015). A central question in this debate is whether the electrical bursting and downstream insulin pulses are driven by oscillations in glucose metabolism or whether they are driven by Ca 2+ feedback onto ATP production/hydrolysis or onto Ca 2+-activated K+ channels. Following the publication of the first mathematical model of bursting in β-cells in 1983 (Chay and Keizer, 1983), numerous models have been developed that reflect one of these paradigms (Bertram et al., 2004; 2007a; Bertram and Sherman, 2004; Cha et al., 2011; Diederichs, 2006; Fridlyand et al., 2003; Keizer and Magnus, 1989; Smolen and Keizer, 1992). A recent model, called the Integrated Oscillator Model (IOM), is a hybrid in which Ca 2+ feedback onto an enzyme at the end of glycolysis can be a key factor in driving oscillations, but in which...
oscillations in glycolysis can also occur independently of Ca²⁺ feedback (McKenna et al., 2016). In a companion paper (McKenna and Bertram, 2018), we employed a fast-slow analysis of the IOM to uncover the details of the bursting mechanisms, but only touched on the question of which conditions are necessary for bursting to be driven by glycolytic oscillations or by the feedback of Ca²⁺ onto glycolysis. We address the question in this report. In particular, we determine values of key parameters for which intrinsic glycolytic oscillations occur, and values for which bursting oscillations are a product of Ca²⁺ feedback onto glycolysis. The distinct behaviors are found in overlapping regions of parameter space, and we analyze the transitions that occur between the different regions. The parameters varied in the examination are the maximal conductance of the Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ current \( g_{K(Ca)} \) and the maximum flux rate through the pyruvate dehydrogenase reaction \( V_{PDH} \). They were chosen because of their pivotal roles in the electrical and metabolic portions of the model, respectively.

The origin of β-cell oscillations, whether due to intrinsic glycolytic oscillations or Ca²⁺ feedback, is important to know when interpreting the many experimental findings that have been published about β-cell oscillations. For example, numerous in vitro islet recordings of compound bursting electrical activity or compound Ca²⁺ oscillations have been published (Arredouani et al., 2002; Bertram et al., 2007b; Cook, 1983; Henquin et al., 1982; Zhang et al., 2003). These oscillations consist of fast bursts (usually less than 30 s in duration) clustered into episodes (duration of several minutes), with each episode separated by a long (two or three minutes) silent phase. Fig. 1 depicts representative slow (A), fast (B), and compound (C) bursting rhythms. Compound oscillations are thus a composition of fast and slow oscillations.

Do these compound Ca²⁺ oscillations reflect glycolytic oscillations, or are they essential to the burst mechanism? As another example, measurements of the glycolytic metabolite fructose 1,6-bisphosphate (FBP) during slow bursting showed a sawtooth pattern, with a slow rise during the silent phase of a burst and a slow decline during the active or spiking phase (Merrins et al., 2016). Is this pattern, which reflects oscillations driven by Ca²⁺ feedback onto glycolysis, always expected during slow bursting oscillations? In this paper, we show that compound bursting is always driven by glycolytic oscillations, whereas slow bursting can reflect either self-sustained glycolytic oscillations or Ca²⁺ feedback onto glycolysis. Furthermore, we show that the FBP concentration can have either a pulsatile or sawtooth pattern during slow bursting, and this time course serves as a fingerprint for the oscillation mechan

### Mathematical model

In this article, we study a modified version of the IOM, consisting of two modules. The first module describes the β-cell electrical activity and Ca²⁺ dynamics. The second module describes the production and utilization of glycolysis and adenosine triphosphate (ATP). Computer codes for the model can be downloaded from http://www.math.fsu.edu/~bertram/software/islet.

#### The electrical and calcium module

The β-cell electrical activity is described with a conductance-based model, where the rate of change of the cell’s membrane potential \( V \) is determined by

\[
\frac{dV}{dt} = -C[I_{Ca} + I_{K} + I_{K(Ca)} + I_{K(ATP)}],
\]

where \( C \) is the membrane capacitance, \( I_{Ca} \) is a Ca²⁺ current responsible for the upstroke of action potentials, \( I_{K} \) is a delayed-rectifying K⁺ current responsible for the downstroke of action potentials, \( I_{K(Ca)} \) is a Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ current that plays a key role in packaging action potentials into bursts, and \( I_{K(ATP)} \) is a K⁺ current that is inactivated by ATP and is thereby regulated by the glucose level.

The Ca²⁺ current is assumed to change instantaneously with changes in \( V \), and is described by

\[
I_{Ca} = g_{Ca} m_{\infty}(V - V_{Ca}),
\]

where \( g_{Ca} \) is the maximal conductance, \( V_{Ca} \) is the Ca²⁺ Nernst potential, and \( m_{\infty} \) is the activation function given by the increasing sigmoidal

\[
m_{\infty}(V) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp((V_{m} - V)/s_{m})}.
\]

Values for the shape parameters \( v_{m} \) and \( s_{m} \), as well as other parameters, are given in Table 1.

The activation, \( n \), of the delayed rectifying K⁺ current has the first-order kinetics

\[
\frac{dn}{dt} = \frac{n_{\infty}(V) - n}{\tau_{n}},
\]

where \( n_{\infty}(V) \) is a sigmoid of the form (2), but with shape parameters \( v_{n} \) and \( s_{n} \). The delayed rectifying current is

\[
I_{K} = g_{K} n(V - V_{K}).
\]

Activation of the K(Ca) current is through binding of Ca²⁺ ions to intracellular binding sites with activation function \( q_{\infty}(c) \), where \( c \) is the free intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration. The K(Ca) current is then

\[
I_{K(Ca)} = g_{K(Ca)} q_{\infty}(c)(V - V_{K})
\]

where

\[
q_{\infty}(c) = \frac{c^{2}}{k_{d}^{2} + c^{2}}.
\]

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( g_{K(Ca)} )</td>
<td>varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{Ca} )</td>
<td>25 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( s_{Ca} )</td>
<td>12 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tau_{n} )</td>
<td>20 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g_{Ca} )</td>
<td>1000 pS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( g_{Ca} )</td>
<td>2700 pS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{ATP} )</td>
<td>25000 pS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{dd} )</td>
<td>0.5 μM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{d} )</td>
<td>17 μM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{d1} )</td>
<td>1 μM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{d2} )</td>
<td>25 μM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_{PDH} )</td>
<td>0.01 l/mol·A·s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{MCA} )</td>
<td>0.2 l/mol·s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{B} )</td>
<td>2 × 10⁻⁴ l/mol·ms⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha )</td>
<td>5.1 × 10⁻⁸ l/mol·A·s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Parameters for the electrical/calcium module.
Table 2: Parameters for the metabolic module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f_{\text{m}}$</td>
<td>0.001 μM M⁻¹ s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_{\text{PFK}}$</td>
<td>0.01 μM s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_{\text{F6P}}$</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{\text{m}}$</td>
<td>30 μM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{\text{f}}$</td>
<td>1 μM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{\text{m}}$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_{\text{F6P}}$</td>
<td>varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{\text{F6P}}$</td>
<td>200 μM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{\mu}$</td>
<td>300 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{\text{tot}}$</td>
<td>3000 μM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The maximal conductance, $g_{\text{m}}(\text{Ca})$, is one of the key parameters that we vary to explore the types of electrical bursts produced by the model.

The current is described by

$$I_{\text{Ca}}(\text{ATP}) = g_{\text{m}}(\text{ATP}) o_\infty(\text{ADP}, \text{ATP})(V - V_K),$$

where the activation function, $o_\infty$, depends on the concentrations of cytosolic adenosine diphosphate (ADP) as well as ATP, and is given by

$$o_\infty(\text{ADP}, \text{ATP}) = \frac{0.08 + 0.89(\frac{\text{MgADP}}{K_{\text{MgADP}}} + 0.16(\frac{\text{MgADP}}{K_{\text{MgADP}}}))}{(1 + (\frac{\text{MgADP}}{K_{\text{MgADP}}} + 0.16(\frac{\text{MgADP}}{K_{\text{MgADP}}}))}.$$

Here, MgADP = 0.165ADP, ADP³⁻ = 0.135ADP, and ATP⁴⁻ = 0.05ATP.

During action potentials Ca²⁺ enters the cell through Ca²⁺ channels and is removed from the cell through pumps in the plasma membrane. The Ca²⁺ also flows into and out of the mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum (ER). The dynamics of the free cytosolic Ca²⁺ concentration are given by

$$\frac{dc}{dt} = f_{\text{Ca}}(J_{\text{mem}} - J_m - J_{\text{er}}).$$

where $f_{\text{Ca}}$ is the fraction of Ca²⁺ ions not bound to buffers, and $J_{\text{mem}}$, $J_m$, and $J_{\text{er}}$ represent the Ca²⁺ flux densities across the plasma membrane, into the mitochondria, and into the ER, respectively. They are described by

$$J_{\text{mem}} = \left[ \frac{\alpha}{V_{\text{cyt}}} k_{\text{PMCA}}^\infty \right],$$

$$J_m = k_{\text{SERCA}}\frac{C_{\text{ER}} - C}{C - C},$$

$$J_{\text{er}} = k_{\text{calc}}(C_{\text{ER}} - C).$$

The parameter $V_{\text{cyt}}$ is the volume of the cytosolic compartment and $\alpha$ converts current to ion flux. The $k$ parameters reflect the strength of Ca²⁺ pumps or Na⁺-Ca²⁺ exchanger, while $p_{\text{leak}}$ reflects the leak of Ca²⁺ across the ER membrane.

The free Ca²⁺ concentration in the mitochondria and ER is described by

$$\frac{dc}{dt} = f_{\text{Ca}}\sigma_m J_m.$$

$$\frac{dc}{dt} = f_{\text{Ca}}\sigma_m J_{\text{er}},$$

where the $\sigma$ parameters are ratios of cytosolic volume to ER or mitochondria volumes.

The metabolic module

For the metabolic module, we use a simplified model that focuses on the allosteric enzyme phosphofructokinase (PFK), which is the basis for oscillations in glycolysis (Smolen, 1995). Parameter values for the model are given in Table 2. The cytosolic concentrations of the enzyme’s substrate fructose 6-phosphate (F6P) and product fructose 1,6-bisphosphate (FBP) are described by

$$\frac{dF6P}{dt} = 0.3(J_{\text{CGK}} - J_{\text{PFK}}).$$

$$\frac{dF6P}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} J_{\text{PFK}},$$

where the parameter $J_{\text{CGK}}$ is the glucose-dependent glucokinase reaction rate, $J_{\text{PFK}}$ is the PFK reaction rate, and $J_{\text{PDH}}$ is the pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) reaction rate. The complicated PFK rate depends on its substrate F6P as well as on ATP, adenosine monophosphate (AMP), and most importantly its product FBP. The positive feedback of FBP onto PFK is responsible for glycolytic oscillations (Smolen, 1995). The PFK reaction rate is

$$J_{\text{PFK}} = v_{\text{PFK}} \frac{w_{\text{F6P}} + K_{\text{PFK}} \sum_{i,j,k,l} J_{i,j} w_{jkl}}{\sum_{i,j,k,l} J_{i,j} w_{jkl}},$$

with weights

$$w_{jkl} = \frac{(\text{AMP}/K_1)^3(\text{F6P}/K_2)^3(\text{F6P}/K_3)^4(\text{ATP}/K_4)^4}{f_{1f_{jkl}} f_{jkl} f_{jkl} f_{jkl}},$$

where AMP = ATP² and the PDH reaction rate also has a key feedback pathway, with facilitation of the reaction by mitochondrial Ca²⁺ as discussed in Bertram et al. (2018). This reaction is described by

$$J_{\text{PDH}} = v_{\text{PFK}} S_{\text{m}}(a_{\text{ATP}} - a_{\text{ADP}}) \sqrt{\text{F6P}},$$

where $v_{\text{PFK}}$ is one of the key parameters that we vary to explore the impact of changing the glycolytic efflux rate. The Ca²⁺ feedback is through the $s_m$ function,

$$s_m(a_{\text{ATP}}) = \frac{a_{\text{ATP}}}{K_{\text{PDH}} + a_{\text{ATP}}},$$

The details of mitochondrial metabolism are not included in this model. Instead, we use a phenomenological model, in which ATP production is driven by the output of the glycolytic subsystem, $J_{\text{PDH}}$. The newly synthesized ATP comes at the expense of ADP, where the ADP concentration is described by

$$\frac{d\text{ADP}}{dt} = \frac{\text{ATP} - \exp\left(1 + 2.25 \frac{\text{F6P}}{0.073 + J_{\text{m}}}}(1 - \frac{c}{0.25})\right)\text{ADP}}{\text{t}_{\text{e}}}.$$

The dependence on the cytosolic Ca²⁺ concentration reflects the effect of Ca²⁺ flux across the mitochondrial inner membrane on the mitochondrial membrane potential (Keizer and Magnus, 1989). It is assumed that the sum of the cytosolic nucleotides is conserved, so

$$\text{ATP} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ A_{\text{tot}} + \sqrt{4\text{ADP}^2 + (A_{\text{tot}} - \text{ADP})^2} - \text{ADP} \right].$$

where $A_{\text{tot}}$ is the total nucleotide concentration.

Results

Slow Ca²⁺ oscillations can be produced by very different metabolic mechanisms

The IOM can, for the appropriate parameter choices, produce slow oscillations in the intracellular Ca²⁺ concentration that resemble those often observed in Ca²⁺ measurements from pancreatic islets (Zhang et al., 2003), and these slow oscillations are driven by two entirely different mechanisms. Fig. 2 shows the time courses of Ca²⁺, ATP, and FBP for $v_{\text{PDH}} = 0.009$ μM/s (left column) and $v_{\text{PDH}} = 0.002$ μM/s (right column). The Ca²⁺ and ATP time series are remarkably similar, but the FBP time courses are quite different. For low $v_{\text{PDH}}$ the FBP time course exhibits a sawtooth pattern (Fig. 2F), whereas for larger $v_{\text{PDH}}$ there are pulses of FBP appearing from an almost-zero baseline (Fig. 2E). This substantial difference in the FBP time course is indicative of the fact that there are distinct mechanisms driving the slow Ca²⁺ oscillations (see companion paper, McKenna and Bertram (2018), for a fast-slow analysis of the oscillation mechanisms).
Both types of oscillations have been observed experimentally, though in different preparations. Pulses of FBP were observed in measurements from muscle extracts (Tornheim, 1997), which contain the same isof orm of the rhythmogenic enzyme phosphofructokinase (PFK-M) that is dominant in β-cells (Yaney et al., 1995). In contrast, sawtooth FBP oscillations were observed in islets, using a Förster Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) biosensor (Merrins et al., 2013; 2016). Thus, the PFK-M isoform, found in both muscle extracts and islet β-cells can contribute to metabolic oscillations resulting in either pulsatile or sawtooth FBP patterns, reflecting different oscillation mechanisms.

Our goals are to characterize conditions in which one can expect the different FBP patterns, and to determine the role played by the PFK enzyme in each.

**Pulsatile FBP occurs when the glycolytic efflux is sufficiently large**

We begin by exploring the ($g_{K(Ca)}$, $v_{PDH}$)-parameter space, where $g_{K(Ca)}$ and $v_{PDH}$ were chosen for the key roles they play in the electrical and metabolic components of the model. More precisely, variations in $g_{K(Ca)}$ alter the active phase duration from tens of seconds (when $g_{K(Ca)}$ is large) to several minutes (when $g_{K(Ca)}$ is small). The mechanism for this effect was described using fast-slow analysis (Bertram and Sherman, 2004). The parameter $v_{PDH}$ controls the maximum efflux out of glycolysis. Thus, $v_{PDH}$ determines the degree to which FBP can build up and feed back onto PFK in a regenerative manner. It is this positive feedback, which results in depletion of the substrate F6P, that is responsible for intrinsic PFK-induced oscillations (Smolen and Keizer, 1992). The greater the value of $v_{PDH}$ the less the positive feedback of FBP there will be onto PFK.

Fig. 3 shows the results of running a grid of simulations over the range $g_{K(Ca)} \in [0, 850]$ pS and $v_{PDH} \in [0, 0.01]$ μM/ms. We observe that FBP has a pulsatile time course for larger values of $v_{PDH}$ and smaller values of $g_{K(Ca)}$. The pulsatile and sawtooth regions of the parameter plane are separated by a bistable region (shaded, which we denote as BR), where FBP may have pulsatile or sawtooth behavior, depending on the initial conditions.

**The relationship between oscillations in the glycolytic subsystem and the shape of the FBP time course**

What is the basis for the qualitatively different FBP time courses? What determines the boundary between sawtooth and pulsatile FBP time courses in Fig. 3? The answers must lie within the glycolytic subsystem that produces intrinsic glycolytic oscillations, i.e., oscillations that persist when the variables in the electrical module are clamped. We note that the glycolytic subsystem (9) depends explicitly on $v_{PDH}$ and implicitly on $g_{K(Ca)}$. More precisely, $g_{K(Ca)}$ plays a role in determining the intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ level, c. For a fixed c, the equilibrium mitochondrial Ca$^{2+}$ level is $c_m = \frac{(k_{um} + K_{NaCa})C}{K_{NaCa}}$ which, in turn, affects the glycolytic efflux via the PDH reaction rate, $j_{PDH}$, and the Ca$^{2+}$ feedback via $s_{ac}$ (see Eqs. (12), (13)). Cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ also affects the equilibrium ATP production through Eqs. (14) and (15). Finally, the nucleotides AMP, ADP, and ATP influence PKF activity through Eqs. (10) and (11). Thus, $g_{K(Ca)}$ affects glycolysis indirectly through its influence on c. In what follows, we isolate the glycolytic subsystem (9) by clamping c at a fixed value and assuming that ATP is at equilibrium, and perform bifurcation studies of the intrinsic oscillations with respect to c and $v_{PDH}$.

We first set $v_{PDH} = 0.009 \mu$M/ms and construct a bifurcation diagram of the glycolytic subsystem with c as a bifurcation parameter. Fig. 4A shows that for low values of c the glycolytic subsystem is stationary. Lower values of c produce higher equilibrium values of FBP, due to the influence of c on $j_{PDH}$; with lower values of c the efflux through glycolysis is low, so FBP builds up. At $c = 0.04 \mu$M there is a subcritical Hopf bifurcation (HB, blue square), giving rise to a branch of unstable periodic solutions that meets a branch of stable periodic solutions at a saddle-node of periodic bifurcation (SNP, red diamonds). Thus, for c values to the right of the SNP point, the attractor of the glycolytic subsystem is a limit cycle.

Fig. 4B shows the two-parameter continuations of the Hopf and SNP bifurcations in the (c, $v_{PDH}$) plane. The HB and SNP curves partition the plane into three regions, each characterized by different dynamics: in region A (below the SNP curve) the equilibrium is
unique attractor; in region $C$ (above the HB curve) the equilibrium is unstable and the limit cycle is the only attractor; in region $B$ (between the two curves) both the steady state and the limit cycle are stable. This figure, then, characterizes the asymptotic structure of the glycolytic subsystem with the input variable $c$ clamped and the input nucleotide variables such as ATP constant at their corresponding equilibrium values. The oscillations present in region $C$ are intrinsic to the glycolytic subsystem.

We return now to the full IOM, where $c$ and the nucleotides vary over time. The top panel of Fig. 4C shows $c$ and FBP time courses for a $(\delta_{G(Ca)}, v_{PDH})$ parameter combination that results in a pulsatile FBP pattern, while the bottom panel corresponds to a sawtooth FBP time course. In the first case, compound bursting is produced, consisting of fast bursts grouped into episodes that occur during each FBP pulse. Panel D shows, for each of these two cases, the range of $c$ values taken on during oscillations. From this panel we see that when FBP oscillations are sawtooth, the projection of the orbit lies in region $A$ of the $(c, v_{PDH})$ plane, corresponding to the case where glycolysis is stationary. In the case of the pulsatile FBP time course in Fig. 4C the projection into the $(c, v_{PDH})$ plane lies in region $C$, where the glycolytic subsystem is oscillatory.

More generally, if the periodic orbit of the IOM lies in region $A$ of Fig. 4B then FBP will have a sawtooth shape, and the oscillations would stop if $c$ were clamped. If the projection of the periodic orbit lies in region $C$ then FBP will have a pulsatile shape due to the presence of intrinsic glycolytic oscillations that would persist even if $c$ were clamped. If it crosses through region $B$ then the FBP time course could be sawtooth or pulsatile.

Too much glycolytic flux destroys the intrinsic glycolytic oscillations

Fig. 4A–D shows that intrinsic glycolytic oscillations only occur if the PDH reaction rate is sufficiently large. If $v_{PDH}$ is too small, then the FBP level will build up to such a high level that the PFK reaction will always stay elevated and there will be no glycolytic oscillations. But can $v_{PDH}$ be too large to permit glycolytic oscillations? It seems reasonable that with very large efflux through glycolysis the FBP level should be too low to provide the necessary positive feedback onto PFK for glycolytic oscillations. This is in fact what happens. There is a second HB in the glycolytic subsystem, which occurs for large $v_{PDH}$, and above which the glycolytic subsystem is stationary.

Fig. 4E shows two examples of bursting oscillations produced by the IOM with $\delta_{G(Ca)} = 800$ pS and both with large values of $v_{PDH}$. In the bottom example ($v_{PDH} = 0.02 \mu$M/ms) compound bursting is produced, but now the FBP pulses are much smaller than those produced during compound bursting in Fig. 4C (with $v_{PDH} = 0.009 \mu$M/ms). The top panel uses an even larger maximum efflux value of $v_{PDH} = 0.05 \mu$M/ms, and now fast bursting is produced. In this case, there are no FBP pulses and FBP stays near zero since the glycolytic efflux rate is so high. When the projections of these orbits are plotted in the $(c, v_{PDH})$ plane (Fig. 4F), the compound bursting rhythm lies in region $C$ where there are intrinsic glycolytic oscillations. In contrast, the fast bursting projection lies in a new region of stationary attractors, region $D$, which is separated from region $C$ by the upper curve of Hopf bifurcations.

In summary, the transition from fast bursting to compound bursting shown in Fig. 4C when $v_{PDH}$ was increased from 0.002 to 0.02 µM/ms occurs at a critical level of 0.009 µM/ms where the limit cycle bifurcates into a stable compound bursting pattern. Further increases in the bifurcation parameter $v_{PDH}$ then lead to a change to a second harmonic limit cycle, which is unstable in the limit.
μM/ms to 0.009 μM/ms occurred because the lower threshold for intrinsic glycolytic oscillations was crossed, turning on the oscillations. The transition from compound bursting oscillations back to fast bursting when \(v_{PDH}\) was increased from 0.02 μM/ms to 0.05 μM/ms occurred because the upper threshold for intrinsic glycolytic oscillations was crossed, turning off the oscillations.

**Bursting patterns and their relationship to metabolic activity**

The parameter \(g_{K(Ca)}\) affects the cell's electrical activity directly through the ionic current \(I_{K(Ca)}\) (Eq. 4). The parameter \(v_{PDH}\) affects the electrical activity indirectly through its effects on ATP production and downstream inhibitory effects of ATP on the \(K(\text{ATP})\) current (Eq. 5). We now determine how variations of these parameters affect the bursting patterns produced by the model cell. For the purposes of quantification, we use the following definitions.

We say that the bursting rhythm is

- **fast** if the period is less than 2 min;
- **slow** if the period is greater than 2 min;
- **compound** if the burst pattern consists of both long and short interburst intervals, and if the ratio of the longest to the shortest is greater than 1.25.

The results of this classification are shown in the circle plot of Fig. 5, where the color and size of the circles indicate the type and duration of the bursting, respectively.

The first observation we make is that compound bursting only occurs between the lower and upper thresholds for pulsatile FBP oscillations. Thus, during compound oscillations the FBP time course will be pulsatile. This prediction can be tested experimentally by simultaneously measuring either the membrane potential or \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) (to see if compound oscillations are produced) together with a FRET indicator of the FBP concentration.

A second observation is that pulsatile FBP oscillations can occur coincident with either fast, slow, or compound bursting oscillations, since all three types occur between the lower and upper thresholds for pulsatile FBP. Both fast and slow bursting can also occur coincident with a sawtooth FBP time course (bottom and top regions of the figure). Thus, unless compound oscillations are produced, it is not possible to determine from the \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) time course alone whether or not the glycolytic oscillator is active, as was seen in Fig. 2.

A third observation from Fig. 5 is that the burst period decreases with increases in \(g_{K(Ca)}\), up until the point at which compound oscillations are induced or the system becomes silent. In the former case, the glycolytic oscillations are sufficiently large that they begin to periodically initiate and terminate electrical bursting oscillations through their effect on the \(K(\text{ATP})\) current. An analysis of compound bursting and the contribution of \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) pulses to the glycolytic oscillations that underlies it was performed previously (Watts et al., 2014). Once the bursting is converted to compound bursting the oscillation period increases dramatically as the compound burst period matches the period of the intrinsic glycolytic oscillator. For large or small values of \(v_{PDH}\) there are no glycolytic oscillations, and increasing \(g_{K(Ca)}\) eventually terminates all electrical activity and the model cell comes to rest.

Finally, we point out the large-period oscillations that occur at \(g_{K(Ca)} = 950\) pS and 1000 pS, with \(v_{PDH} = 0.04\) μM/ms. For these parameter combinations the silent phase between burst episodes becomes extremely long, suggesting that the system is close to a homoclinic bifurcation. The precise nature of this bifurcation is an open question. Indeed, for larger values of \(v_{PDH}\), the system is at rest with no electrical or metabolic oscillations.

Fig. 6 shows \(c\) and FBP time courses for a range of values of \(g_{K(Ca)}\) and \(v_{PDH}\), complementing the quantification of Fig. 5. The value of \(v_{PDH}\) is the same along elements of each row of the figure, while \(g_{K(Ca)}\) increases from left to right. Panel A corresponds to a point in the upper left of Fig. 5. Since this is above the upper threshold for pulsatile FBP, the FBP time course exhibits a sawtooth pattern. The FBP values are very low, however, since the glycolytic efflux is very large (\(v_{PDH} = 0.05\) μM/ms). The bursting is slow because \(g_{K(Ca)}\) has a small value. When \(g_{K(Ca)}\) is increased from 50 pS to 600 pS (panel B) the burst period decreases dramatically, but the FBP time course retains the sawtooth pattern. At \(g_{K(Ca)} = 900\) pS all electrical activity stops since the \(K^+\) current is so large that electrical impulses are not triggered.

The second row of Fig. 6 has less glycolytic efflux, with \(v_{PDH}\) reduced to 0.035 μM/ms. This is below the upper threshold for pulsatile FBP oscillations, so the bursting along this row is coincident with FBP pulses. At the smallest value of \(g_{K(Ca)}\) shown oscillations are slow, but are now organized by the intrinsic glycolytic oscillator (in contrast to panel A). Increasing \(g_{K(Ca)}\) again speeds up the oscillations (panel E), but now at \(g_{K(Ca)} = 900\) pS compound bursting is produced and the period increases significantly (panel F). In contrast, panel C shows that for the same value of \(g_{K(Ca)}\) (but a larger value of \(v_{PDH}\)), there is no electrical activity at all.

The third row of Fig. 6 has even less glycolytic efflux (\(v_{PDH} = 0.02\) μM/ms), and as a result the FBP pulses are much larger than in the second row. Interestingly, the slow bursts in panel G seem to split into two shorter bursts in panel H, creating compound oscillations. As \(g_{K(Ca)}\) is further increased the number of oscillations per episode increases, and the duration of each active phase decreases (panel I).

In the last row of Fig. 6 the glycolytic efflux rate is sufficiently low that the system is below the lower threshold for FBP pulses and intrinsic glycolytic oscillations. Moreover, the low glycolytic efflux means that the FBP levels are also much higher than in the other panels of Fig. 6. Panel J shows slow bursting with a low \(g_{K(Ca)}\) that becomes much faster when \(g_{K(Ca)}\) is increased to 600 pS (panel K). At the largest \(g_{K(Ca)}\) value shown all electrical activity stops (panel L), just as in panel C which was also outside the window for intrinsic glycolytic oscillations. We note that the mean FBP level increases with \(g_{K(Ca)}\) along this row, even though \(v_{PDH}\) is fixed. This is because the mean \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) level is lower at higher values of \(g_{K(Ca)}\), and the lower \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) results in less glycolytic efflux, causing FBP to increase.

**Diazoxide and KCl as tools for studying islet oscillations**

We showed in Fig. 2 that slow \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) oscillations, and the accompanying ATP oscillations, can look quite similar regardless of whether the glycolytic oscillator is on or off. Is the shape of the FBP timecourse the only way to determine whether the glycolytic
oscillator is activated? Indeed, there is another way to determine this.

The protocol consists of two steps.

1. We first add the pharmacological agent diazoxide (Dz) to an islet in stimulatory glucose. This agent activates K(ATP) channels in β-cells, thus increasing the K(ATP) current and terminating all spiking activity. We simulated the application of Dz at \( t = 10 \text{ min} \) by increasing \( \Delta K_{\text{ATP}} \) from 25,000 \( \mu \text{pS} \) to 37,000 \( \mu \text{pS} \).

2. In the second step, we induce cell depolarization by adding KCl, which increases the \( K^+ \) Nernst potential and elevates the calcium concentration. We simulated the addition of KCl at \( t = 40 \text{ min} \) by increasing \( V_K \) from −75 mV to −60 mV.

The results of this protocol are shown in Fig. 7 for \( v_{PDH} = 0.002 \mu \text{M/ms} \) (panel A), \( v_{PDH} = 0.009 \mu \text{M/ms} \) (panel B), \( v_{PDH} = 0.015 \mu \text{M/ms} \) (panel C), and \( v_{PDH} = 0.05 \mu \text{M/ms} \) (panel D).

For \( v_{PDH} = 0.002 \mu \text{M/ms} \) (Fig. 7A) the glycolytic oscillator is not initially engaged, so the FBP oscillations have a sawtooth shape. When Dz is applied the electrical bursting and large \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) oscillations stop, and so do the FBP oscillations. The FBP now increases to a plateau. When KCl is added, the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) concentration increases and the FBP level crashes. The rise of FBP with Dz application reflects the fact that the decline in \( c \) shuts off most glycolytic flux through its action on the PDH enzyme, so FBP builds up. When \( c \) is elevated with KCl it increases flux through glycolysis, so that the FBP level rapidly declines. This simulation can be thought of in terms of Fig. 4B, D, F. Prior to the application of Dz the system moves within region \( A \), below the curve of Hopf bifurcations. Addition of Dz stops the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) oscillations, and with the now constant \( c \) the system still lies within this region. The addition of KCl increases \( c \), but the system remains in region \( A \).

In the second panel of the figure the same procedure is used, only this time with a larger value of the glycolytic flux \( (v_{PDH} = 0.009 \mu \text{M/ms}) \). The model cell is initially bursting with pulsatile glycolytic oscillations. When Dz is applied the electrical bursting again stops, along with the metabolic oscillations (after transient damped oscillations). The metabolic oscillations can be rescued, however, by increasing the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) concentration with KCl. In terms of Fig. 4B, D, F, the system initially moved within region \( C \), but with Dz application it fell into region \( A \), where there are no glycolytic oscillations. The addition of KCl increases \( c \) so that the system again lies within region \( A \), thus restarting the glycolytic oscillator.

For \( v_{PDH} = 0.015 \mu \text{M/ms} \) (Fig. 7C), the FBP oscillations persist even though the electrical bursting and large \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) oscillations have stopped. Instead, there are small subthreshold \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) oscillations (see inset), reflecting small fluctuations in the cell’s membrane potential due to the continuing metabolic oscillations (the oscillatory ATP acts on K(ATP) channels). When the cell is depolarized at \( t = 40 \text{ min} \) by the simulated application of KCl, the \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) concentration is also increased and subthreshold oscillations persist, as do FBP oscillations. Prior to the application of Dz the system moves within region \( C \). Upon application of Dz the fluctuations in \( c \) are much smaller, and the mean continues to lie in region \( C \). When the addition of KCl is simulated, the system moves rightward in the diagram, again staying within region \( C \). Thus, under all conditions the glycolytic subsystem is in an oscillatory state.
The final panel, with $v_{\text{DIS}} = 0.05 \mu M/\text{ms}$ (Fig. 7D), is the opposite of the second panel. Here, the glycolytic oscillations are initially very small and have a sawtooth shape, since the system lies in region $\mathcal{D}$ of Fig. 4B, D, F. Application of Dz stops the bursting and large Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations, but activates the glycolytic oscillator, so there are now pulsatile FBP oscillations. This occurs because the reduction in c moved the system into region $\mathcal{C}$ where the glycolytic oscillator is active. When application of KCl is simulated the elevation in c terminates the FBP oscillations, since the system now once again lies in region $\mathcal{D}$.

Thus, the model predicts that when bursting oscillations are driven by an intrinsic glycolytic oscillator, metabolic oscillations may or may not persist with the application of Dz, and thus sub-threshold Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations may or may not be produced. However, if metabolic oscillations are terminated, they can be rescued with cell depolarization via KCl. In contrast, in conditions where bursting is not driven by an intrinsic glycolytic oscillator, the application of Dz may actually activate the glycolytic oscillator, but depolarization by the application of KCl will inactive it. Thus, application of KCl facilitates metabolic oscillations (in the presence of Dz) when the cell initially has an active glycolytic oscillator, and it suppresses metabolic oscillations when the glycolytic oscillator is not initially activated.

**Slow Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations can be produced with different PFK isoforms**

The muscle isoform of the allosteric enzyme PFK, called PFK-M, is capable of producing glycolytic oscillations. This was demonstrated in muscle extracts (Tornheim and Lowenstein, 1973), and is the basis for the intrinsic glycolytic oscillations produced by our model that lead to pulsatile FBP. However, two other isoforms of PFK are expressed in $\beta$-cells: PFK-C is prominent in the brain, while PFK-L is prominent in the liver. These two isoforms of PFK have a lower affinity for FBP feedback (Bosca et al., 1982), and a higher affinity for ATP (Dunaway et al., 1988; Foe and Kemp, 1985). Although all three isoforms are expressed in $\beta$-cells, the isoform responsible for most of the PFK activity is PFK-M (Yaney et al., 1995).

What if the fraction of M-type PFK were reduced, what effect would that have on the parameter window for intrinsic glycolytic oscillations? We explored this in an earlier publication, but in the model used for that study there was no Ca$^{2+}$ feedback onto glycolysis (Bertram et al., 2007a). We therefore re-examine the question here, noting that up to this point the assumption has been that all of the PFK is M-type. We now consider the possibility that some fraction, $M_{\text{frac}} \in [0, 1]$, of the total PFK is of M-type and the remainder is of C-type. The total PFK flux then is

$$J_{\text{FKM}} = M_{\text{frac}}J_{\text{FKM}-M} + (1 - M_{\text{frac}})J_{\text{FKM}-C}, \quad (16)$$

where $J_{\text{FKM}}$ and $J_{\text{FKM}-C}$ are the portion of flux due to the M- and C-type isoforms, respectively. The two fluxes, $J_{\text{FKM}}$ and $J_{\text{FKM}-C}$, are defined as in (10) but with different values for the parameters $K_2$ and $K_4$. The C-type isoform has a lower affinity for FBP, so $K_2 = 2 \mu M$ for $J_{\text{FKM}-C}$ rather than $1 \mu M$, and a higher affinity for ATP, so $K_4 = 100 \mu M$ rather than $1000 \mu M$.

Fig. 8A shows two examples of slow Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations. In each case the oscillations are produced in spite of replacement of some M-type PFK with C-type. In the top example, only 40% of the PFK is M-type, while in the bottom example none of the PFK is of M-type. Clearly, in this model slow Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations do not require M-type PFK for their production. Note, however, that in both cases the FBP oscillations have a sawtooth form since the glycolytic oscillator is not active.

Replacing some fraction of PFK-M with PFK-C causes a change in the window for intrinsic glycolytic oscillations. To see this, we return to the glycolytic subsystem, treating c as a parameter as in Fig. 4D. In Fig. 8B we show the upper and lower threshold curves for glycolytic oscillations for five values of $M_{\text{frac}}$. As the fraction of M-type PFK is reduced, the upper threshold curve moves downward, reducing the size of the window for intrinsic glycolytic oscillations. By $M_{\text{frac}} = 0.35$ the upper and lower threshold curves have coalesced, and as $M_{\text{frac}}$ is decreased further the region of intrinsic glycolytic oscillations decreases in size and becomes restricted to larger values of the cytosolic Ca$^{2+}$ concentration. Thus, the likelihood that slow Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations are driven by intrinsic glycolytic oscillations in the full IOM declines as PFK-M is replaced by PFK-C. Moreover, if the percentage of M-type PFK falls below about 25% it becomes very unlikely or impossible for this to occur.

Fig. 8 demonstrates first that slow Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations should be possible in islets in which most or all of the M-type PFK is replaced with another isoform. This prediction was made recently with a somewhat different $\beta$-cell model (McKenna et al., 2016). The figure also demonstrates that slow Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations that are produced in $\beta$-cells under this condition should be coincident with a sawtooth FBP time course since there will be no intrinsic glycolytic oscillations. In fact, data have shown that slow Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations can occur in islets in which the PFK-M protein has been genetically knocked out (Richard et al., 2007), where it is expected that other PFK isoforms will be upregulated. It has not been determined whether FBP oscillates in these islets and, if so, whether the timecourse has a sawtooth shape.

**Discussion**

The $\beta$-cells of pancreatic islets exhibit a wide range of oscillatory behavior, as observed in voltage traces, Ca$^{2+}$ imaging, oxygen utilization, NADH, and mitochondrial membrane potential time courses (Bertram et al., 2007b). These data motivated the development of the Dual Oscillator Model (Bertram et al., 2004), in which oscillations in glycolysis drive the electrical and Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations of the cells. Data published later showing a sawtooth time course of the glycolytic metabolite FBP (Merrins et al., 2013; 2016) forced a re-thinking of the oscillation mechanism, resulting in the development of the Integrated Oscillator Model in which Ca$^{2+}$ feedback onto glycolysis is the driving force behind the oscillations (McKenna et al., 2016), and which is analyzed in the companion paper (McKenna and Bertram, 2018). In the current paper using the IOM, we investigated how transitions can be made between the two types of oscillations. Since the model reflects the interaction of electrical and metabolic subsystems, bifurcation parameters from these two subsystems were used in the analysis.

We found that the transitions made between the two modes of oscillation in the full model can largely be understood from the glycolytic subsystem alone. In particular, there are lower and upper thresholds for intrinsic glycolytic oscillations. Between the thresholds, glycolytic oscillations are produced and can persist with the Ca$^{2+}$ clamped, and these yield pulses of FBP. Outside of the thresholds any oscillations in metabolism that occur are due to Ca$^{2+}$ feedback and would stop immediately if Ca$^{2+}$ is clamped. The FBP time course in this case has a sawtooth shape, as reported in experiments (Merrins et al., 2013; 2016).

While this result, and the match to experimental data, may suggest that metabolic oscillations and the accompanying electrical and Ca$^{2+}$ oscillations in islet $\beta$-cells are due to Ca$^{2+}$ feedback, there are caveats. First, the data published thus far only show FBP oscillations when the islets exhibit slow bursting. No data have been published showing FBP oscillations during compound bursting, which is a prominent behavior in islets. One of the main results of this report is that, in the IOM, compound bursting is always due to intrinsic glycolytic oscillations. (We have shown previously that compound bursting can be produced by a model without glycolytic oscillations (Bertram et al., 2008), but this was in
a limited parameter range and there is no experimental evidence that this alternate mechanism occurs in β-cells.) Thus, we predict that if FBP were measured in a compound bursting islet, then the FBP* time course would be pulsatile, not sawtooth.

Another caveat to the proposition that metabolic oscillations are always driven by Ca2+ feedback are data showing oscillations when the Ca2+ level is not oscillating. These data include the observation of oscillations in the number of K(ATP) channel openings in β-cells bathed in a low, sub-stimulatory, concentration of glucose (Dryselius et al., 1994). A later study focused again on K(ATP) channel activity, but this time measuring K(ATP) channel conductance in a β-cell from an intact islet bathed in a stimulatory level of glucose. In both cases, the cell-attached configuration of the patch clamp technique was used, which clamps the membrane potential and thereby also clamps the Ca2+ level in the cell.

Subthreshold Ca2+ oscillations have been measured in islets exposed to a low glucose level (Bertram et al., 2007b). This preparation is similar to exposure of islets to a stimulatory glucose concentration along with the K(ATP) channel activator diazoxide, in that lowering the glucose level opens K(ATP) channels, just as diazoxide does. In another experiment, diazoxide was added to islets in stimulatory glucose just as in our simulations, and the autofluorescence of the adenine dinucleotide NAD(P)H was measured as a readout of metabolism (Merrins et al., 2010). It was found that in some cases the metabolic oscillations persisted during the application of diazoxide, as in Fig. 7C. In some cases where metabolic oscillations did not persist, they could be rescued by depolarizing the islet with KCl, as in Fig. 7B. Finally, in some cases the NAD(P)H oscillations were not rescued by KCl, as in Fig. 7A, D. In a later study (Merrins et al., 2016), the fluorescent sensor PKAR was used to detect the level of FBP. It was found that the PKAR signal initially had a sawtooth pattern, and upon application of D2 it stopped oscillating and increased significantly. When KCl was applied later the PKAR level crashed. Our model (Fig. 7A) resembles this experimental behavior.

The experimental data therefore support a model in which metabolic oscillations in islets are sometimes driven by Ca2+ feedback and sometimes due to an intrinsic glycolytic oscillator. We have demonstrated that the oscillation mechanism depends on parameter values in both the electrical and metabolic subsystems, and manipulations such as changes in the glucose level or the clamping of Ca2+ can move the system from one oscillatory regime to the other. In fact, oscillations can persist even after the partial or complete replacement of the rhythmic enzyme PKF-M with a non-rhythmicogenic isoform (Fig. 8).

The first model for electrical bursting in β-cells was very simple, with oscillations driven by Ca2+ feedback onto Ca2+-activated K+ channels (Chay and Keizer, 1983). Although it was the foundation for all subsequent β-cell models, the model provided a burst mechanism that could account for only one form of bursting, and was not particularly robust to parameter changes. The IOM is much more complex and one may ask why this complexity is needed. We believe that the answer is now apparent: the payoff for this complexity is a much greater range of potential activity patterns, and a much greater robustness in the generation of slow or compound oscillations. Indeed, mouse islets produce slow oscillations in activity under various physiological conditions, and even following genetic modification to knock out K(ATP) channels (Düfer et al., 2004; Seghers et al., 2000) or the PKF-M isoform (Richard et al., 2007). This robustness is perhaps a safeguard to ensure that insulin secretion is pulsatile, which is more effective at lowering the blood glucose level than constant insulin secretion (Bratusch-Marrain et al., 1986; Matveyenko et al., 2012).
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