

DETERMINANT FUNCTORS FOR TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES
AND
CATEGORICAL RINGS

OCTOBERFEST 2023

ETTORE ALDROJANDI (FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY)

w/ C. LESTER (COLLEGE OF WOOSTER)

TAC 3⁹ (2023) 769–80

CATEGORIFICATION OF DETERMINANTS

- $f: k^m \rightarrow k^m$ homomorphism \leftrightarrow $n \times n$ matrix $A \in M_n(k)$

- $\wedge^n f: \wedge^n k \longrightarrow \wedge^n k$

$$\omega = v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_m \longmapsto \det(A) \omega$$

volume form

- For any finite-dim. vect. space V , and isomorphism $f: V \xrightarrow{\cong} W$

- * $\det(V) = (\wedge^{\dim(V)} V, \dim(V))$

- * $\det(f) = \wedge^{\dim(V)} f$

$$\det: \text{Vect}_k^{\text{f.d., iso}} \longrightarrow \text{Limes}_k^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

Objects ($\text{Limes}_k^{\mathbb{Z}}$)

$(L, n) : L \text{ 1-dim vector space } / k$
 $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

Morphisms ($\text{Limes}_k^{\mathbb{Z}}$)

$(L, n) \longrightarrow (L', n')$ isomorphism $L \xrightarrow{\cong} L'$
if $n = n'$ and \emptyset otherwise

Symmetric Structure ($\text{Limes}_k^{\mathbb{Z}}$)

- $(L, n) \otimes (L', n') := (L \otimes L', n+n')$
- $(L, n) \otimes (L', n') \xrightarrow{\text{comm.}} (L', n') \otimes (L, n)$, $u \otimes v \mapsto (-1)^{nn'} v \otimes u$

$\text{Limes}_k^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a Picard Groupoid (=Symm. categorical group) and

$\det : \text{Vect}_k^{\text{f.d., iso}} \longrightarrow \text{Limes}_k^{\mathbb{Z}}$

Categorifies the determinant

DETERMINANT FUNCTORS (DELIGNE, Le déterminant de la cohomologie '87)

- \mathcal{E} : exact category (w/ short exact sequences)
- \mathcal{P} : Picard Groupoid
- A determinant is a functor

$$\det : \text{iso } \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$$

equipped with associativity data

$$\det(\Delta) : \det(Z) + \det(X) \longrightarrow \det(Y)$$

for each exact sequence $\Delta : X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ satisfying

naturality

associativity

commutativity

TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES

- Additive category \mathcal{T}
- Equivalence $\Sigma : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ (*Suspension*)
- Class of distinguished triangles $\Delta : X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z \xrightarrow{h} \Sigma$
satisfying well known axioms $gf = hg = 0$
- A functor $F : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'$ between triangulated categories
is *exact* if:
 - $F\Sigma \simeq \Sigma F$
 - $F(\Delta) : F(X) \rightarrow F(Y) \rightarrow F(Z) \rightarrow \Sigma F(X)$
is distinguished

DETERMINANT FUNCTORS

(M.Bremner '06 - '11)

- \mathcal{T} : Triangulated category
- \mathcal{P} : Picard Groupoid
- A determinant is a functor

$$\det : \text{iso } \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$$

equipped with additivity data

$$\det(\Delta) : \det(Z) + \det(X) \longrightarrow \det(Y)$$

for each distinguished triangle

$$\Delta : X \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow \Sigma X$$

satisfying naturality, associativity, commutativity

Naturality:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \Delta: & X & \rightarrow & Y & \rightarrow & Z & \rightarrow \Sigma X \\
 \Xi \downarrow & \downarrow \text{hs} & & \downarrow \text{hs} & & \downarrow \text{hs} & \rightsquigarrow \\
 \Delta': & X' & \rightarrow & Y' & \rightarrow & Z' & \rightarrow \Sigma X'
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{c}
 \det(Z) + \det(X) \longrightarrow \det(Y) \\
 \downarrow \\
 \det(Z') + \det(X') \longrightarrow \det(Y')
 \end{array}$$

Commutativity:

$$\Delta_1: \quad X \rightarrow X \oplus Y \rightarrow Y \xrightarrow{\circ} \Sigma X \qquad \Delta_2: \quad Y \rightarrow X \oplus Y \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{\circ} \Sigma X$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \quad \det(Y) + \det(X) \xrightarrow{\text{comm.}} \det(X) + \det(Y)$$

$\det(X \otimes Y)$

Associativity:

For every octahedron

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \Delta_3 & & \Delta_9 & & \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \\ \Delta_1 \sim X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g'} & U & \xrightarrow{g''} & \Sigma X \\ \parallel & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow h & & \parallel \\ \Delta_2 \sim X & \xrightarrow{h} & Z & \xrightarrow{g'} & V & \xrightarrow{h''} & \Sigma X \\ & & \downarrow g_f & & \downarrow g' & & \\ & & W & & & & \\ & & \downarrow g'' & & & & \\ & & \Sigma Y & & & & \end{array}$$

Associativity:

For every octahedron

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \Delta_3 & & \Delta_9 & & \\ & & \downarrow s & & \downarrow t & & \\ \Delta_1 \sim X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{s'} & U & \xrightarrow{s''} & \Sigma X \\ \parallel & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow h & & \parallel \\ \Delta_2 \sim X & \xrightarrow{h} & Z & \xrightarrow{g'} & V & \xrightarrow{g''} & \Sigma X \\ & & \downarrow g'' & & \downarrow h' & & \downarrow \Sigma f \\ W & = & W & \xrightarrow{g''} & \Sigma Y & & \\ & & \downarrow g''' & & \downarrow h'' & & \\ \Sigma Y & \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} & \Sigma V & & & & \end{array}$$

Associativity:

For every octahedron

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \Delta_3 & & \Delta_4 & & \\
 & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \\
 \Delta_1 \sim X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g'} & U & \xrightarrow{g''} & \Sigma X \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow t & & \parallel \\
 & & \Delta_2 \sim X & \xrightarrow{h} & Z & \xrightarrow{t'} & V \xrightarrow{t''} \Sigma X \\
 & & & & \downarrow g' & & \downarrow \varepsilon_f \\
 & & & & W = W & \xrightarrow{g''} & \Sigma Y \\
 & & & & \downarrow g'' & & \downarrow t'' \\
 & & & & \Sigma Y & \xrightarrow{\Sigma f} & \Sigma U
 \end{array}$$

We must have :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & \det(z) & & & \\
 \det(\Delta_2) & \nearrow & \downarrow & \nwarrow & \det(\Delta_3) \\
 \det(V) + \det(X) & & & & \det(W) + \det(Y) \\
 & \uparrow & & & \uparrow \\
 \det(\Delta_4) + \text{id} & & & & \text{id} + \det(\Delta_1) \\
 & & \xrightarrow{\quad (\det(W) + \det(U)) + \det(X) \quad} & & \xrightarrow{\quad \det(W) + (\det(U) + \det(X)) \quad} \\
 & & \text{assoc.} & &
 \end{array}$$

UNIVERSAL DETERMINANT

Define natural isomorphisms $\det \Rightarrow \det' : \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$ to obtain a groupoid

$$\text{DET}(\mathcal{T}; \mathcal{P})$$

Theorem (Breuning '06) The 2-functor

$$\text{DET}(\mathcal{T}; -) : \text{Pic} \longrightarrow \text{GRPD}$$

is representable:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{P} \\ \downarrow \det & \nearrow \pi_\alpha & \nearrow f \\ V(\mathcal{T}) & & \end{array}$$

UNIVERSAL DETERMINANT

Define natural isomorphisms $\det \Rightarrow \det' : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$ to obtain a groupoid

$$\text{DET}(\mathcal{T}; \mathcal{P})$$

Theorem (Breuning '06) The 2-functor

$$\text{DET}(\mathcal{T}; -) : \text{Pic} \longrightarrow \text{GRPD}$$

is representable:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{P} \\ \downarrow \det & \nearrow \tilde{\pi}_\alpha & \\ V(\mathcal{T}) & = & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{P} \\ \downarrow \det & \nearrow \tilde{\pi}_\beta & \\ V(\mathcal{T}) & \xrightarrow{g} & \exists! f \end{array}$$



UNIVERSAL DETERMINANT

Define natural isomorphisms $\det \Rightarrow \det' : \mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$ to obtain a groupoid

$$\text{DET}(\mathcal{T}; \mathcal{P})$$

Theorem (Breuning '06) The 2-functor

$$\text{DET}(\mathcal{T}; -) : \text{Pic} \longrightarrow \text{GRPD}$$

is representable:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{P} \\
 \downarrow \det & \nearrow \tilde{\alpha} & \\
 V(\mathcal{T}) & = &
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{d} & \mathcal{P} \\
 \downarrow \det & \nearrow \tilde{\beta} & \\
 V(\mathcal{T}) & \xrightarrow{\exists! f} &
 \end{array}$$

Theorem (Muro, Tomks, Witte '08) There are natural isomorphisms with Neumann's K-Theory:

$$\pi_0 V(\mathcal{T}) \cong K_0(\mathcal{T})$$

$$\pi_1 V(\mathcal{T}) \cong K_1(\mathcal{T})$$

TENSOR TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES (Balmer, May, Keller-Neeman, ...)

$(\mathcal{T}, \otimes, \mathbb{I})$

\mathcal{T} -triangulated

minimal
requirements
(Balmer), but

$\otimes : \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ birexact & (symmetric) monoidal
with unit object \mathbb{I} .

more axioms can be considered (May, Keller-Neeman, ...)

Remark $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}$ -cats behave like 2-rigs, but very non canonically. Example:

$$X \otimes Z \longrightarrow (X \otimes Z) \oplus (Y \otimes Z) \longrightarrow Y \otimes Z \longrightarrow \Sigma(X \otimes Z)$$

||

||

||

$$X \otimes Z \longrightarrow (X \oplus Y) \otimes Z \longrightarrow Y \otimes Z \longrightarrow \Sigma(X \otimes Z)$$

TENSOR TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES (Balmer, May, Keller-Neeman, ...)

$(\mathcal{T}, \otimes, \mathbb{I})$

minimal requirements
(Balmer), but

\mathcal{T} -triangulated

$\otimes : \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ birexact & (symmetric) monoidal
with unit object \mathbb{I} .

more axioms can be considered (May, Keller-Neeman, ...)

Remark $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}$ -cats behave like 2-rigs, but very non canonically. Example:

$$X \otimes Z \longrightarrow (X \otimes Z) \oplus (Y \otimes Z) \longrightarrow Y \otimes Z \longrightarrow \Sigma(X \otimes Z)$$

$$\parallel \qquad \qquad \qquad \cong \downarrow \exists \qquad \qquad \parallel \qquad \qquad \parallel$$

$$X \otimes Z \longrightarrow (X \oplus Y) \otimes Z \longrightarrow Y \otimes Z \longrightarrow \Sigma(X \otimes Z)$$

TENSOR TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES (Balmer, May, Keller-Neeman, ...)

$(\mathcal{T}, \otimes, \mathbb{I})$

minimal requirements
(Balmer), but

more axioms can be considered (May, Keller-Neeman, ...)

\mathcal{T} -triangulated

$\otimes : \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ birexact & (symmetric) monoidal with unit object \mathbb{I} .

Remark $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}$ -cats behave like 2-rigs, but very non canonically. Example:

$$X \otimes Z \longrightarrow (X \otimes Z) \oplus (Y \otimes Z) \longrightarrow Y \otimes Z \longrightarrow \Sigma(X \otimes Z)$$

\parallel

$\cong \downarrow \exists$

\parallel

\parallel

$$X \otimes Z \longrightarrow (X \oplus Y) \otimes Z \longrightarrow Y \otimes Z \longrightarrow \Sigma(X \otimes Z)$$



non canonical distributor

Similarly for other "structural" map.

TENSOR TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES (Balmer, May, Keller-Neeman, ...)

$(\mathcal{T}, \otimes, \mathbb{I})$

minimal requirements
(Balmer), but

more axioms can be considered (May, Keller-Neeman, ...)

\mathcal{T} -triangulated

$\otimes : \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ birexact & (symmetric) monoidal with unit object \mathbb{I} .

Remark $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{T}$ -cats behave like 2-rigs, but very non canonically. Example:

$$X \otimes Z \longrightarrow (X \otimes Z) \oplus (Y \otimes Z) \longrightarrow Y \otimes Z \longrightarrow \Sigma(X \otimes Z)$$

$$\parallel \qquad \qquad \qquad \cong \downarrow \exists \qquad \qquad \parallel \qquad \qquad \parallel$$

$$X \otimes Z \longrightarrow (X \oplus Y) \otimes Z \longrightarrow Y \otimes Z \longrightarrow \Sigma(X \otimes Z)$$

Question

For $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes, \mathbb{I})$, is the universal determinant equipped with

$$V(\mathcal{T}) \times V(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow V(\mathcal{T}) \quad ?$$

BEHAVIOR WITH RESPECT TO BIEXACT (m -EXACT) FUNCTORS

- $F: \mathcal{T}_1 \times \mathcal{T}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is biexact if
 - (1) exact in each variable
 - (2) $F(\Sigma X, \Sigma Y) \rightarrow \Sigma F(X, Y)$
 $\downarrow \quad (-1) \quad \downarrow$
 $\Sigma F(\Sigma X, Y) \rightarrow \Sigma^2 F(X, Y)$
- Same for m -exact $F: \mathcal{T}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{T}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$
- GRPD-enriched multicategory (= 2-multicategory) TRCAT with
 $\underline{\underline{TRCAT}}(\mathcal{T}_1, \dots, \mathcal{T}_n; \mathcal{T}) = m\text{-exact functors } \mathcal{T}_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{T}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$
 and natural isomorphisms
 (Schnürer, '15)
- Same for Pic: multicategory (GRPDI-enriched) of Picard Groupoid Pic with
 $\underline{\underline{Pic}}(\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n; \mathcal{P}) = n\text{-monoidal functors \& nat. isomorphisms}$
- $V(\mathcal{T}_1) \times \dots \times V(\mathcal{T}_n) \rightarrow V(\mathcal{T})$ in Pic

MULTIDETERMINANTS

T_1, \dots, T_n — tricategories

\mathcal{P} — Picard Groupoid

- n -functor $\det : \text{iso } T_1 \times \dots \times \text{iso } T_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$
- $\det|_{T_i}$ is a determinant functor in each variable $i=1, \dots, n$

MULTIDETERMINANTS

T_1, \dots, T_n — triangulated Cts

\mathcal{P} — Picard Groupoid

- n -functor $\det : \mathrm{iso} T_1 \times \dots \times \mathrm{iso} T_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$
- $\det|_{T_i}$ is a determinant functor in each variable $i=1, \dots, n$
- Compatibility with $f_i : X_i \rightarrow X'_i \in T_i, \Delta_j \in T_j$

MULTIDETERMINANTS

T_1, \dots, T_n — triangulated Sets

\mathcal{P} — Picard Groupoid

- n -functor $\det : \text{iso } T_1 \times \dots \times \text{iso } T_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$
- $\det|_{T_i}$ is a determinant functor in each variable $i=1, \dots, n$
- $\Delta_i : x_i \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow z_i \rightarrow \sum x_i \text{ in } T_i$
- $\Delta_j : x_j \rightarrow y_j \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow \sum x_j \text{ in } T_j$
- Compatibility with $f_i : x_i \rightarrow x'_i \in T_i, \Delta_j \in T_j$

MULTIDETERMINANTS

T_1, \dots, T_n — tricategulated Sets

\mathcal{P} — Picard Groupoid

- n -functor $\det : \text{iso } T_1 \times \dots \times \text{iso } T_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$
- $\det|_{T_i}$ is a determinant functor in each variable $i=1, \dots, n$
- $\Delta_i : x_i \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow z_i \rightarrow \sum x_i$ in T_i Notation: $\det(x_1, \dots, x_n) = [x_1, \dots, x_n]$
- $\Delta_j : x_j \rightarrow y_j \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow \sum x_j$ in T_j

$$\begin{array}{c}
 [z_i, y_j] + [x_i, y_j] \leftarrow ([z_i, z_j] + [z_i, x_j]) + ([x_i, z_j] + [x_i, x_j]) \\
 \downarrow \text{comm + assoc} \\
 [y_i, z_j] + [y_i, x_j] \leftarrow ([z_i, z_j] + [x_i, z_j]) + ([z_i, x_j] + [x_i, x_j])
 \end{array}$$

- Compatibility with $f_i : x_i \rightarrow x'_i \in T_i, \Delta_j \in T_j$

UNIVERSAL MULTI DETERMINANT

Define natural isomorphisms $\det \Rightarrow \det^*: \mathcal{T}_1 \times \mathcal{T}_2 \times \dots \times \mathcal{T}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$

to obtain a groupoid $\text{DET}(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \dots, \mathcal{T}_n; \mathcal{P})$.

Recall:

$$(\text{symm.}) \text{ multicategory } \underline{\underline{M}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad \cong \quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad \cong \quad} \end{array} (\text{symm.}) \text{ monoidal category } \underline{\underline{M}}^\times$$

UNIVERSAL MULTI DETERMINANT

Define natural isomorphisms $\det \Rightarrow \det' : \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbb{T}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$

to obtain a groupoid $\text{DET}(\mathbb{T}_1, \mathbb{T}_2, \dots, \mathbb{T}_n; \mathcal{P})$.

Recall:

$$(\text{symm.}) \text{ multicategory } \underline{\underline{M}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad \cong \quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad \cong \quad} \end{array} (\text{symm.}) \text{ monoidal category } \underline{\underline{M}}^{\times}$$

Theorem (E.A., C.LESTER) There is an equivalence of groupoids

$$\text{DET}(\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_n; \mathcal{P}) \simeq \underline{\underline{\text{Pic}}}^{\times}(\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{T}_1), \dots, \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{T}_n); \mathcal{P})$$

That is, the object $(\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{T}_1), \dots, \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{T}_n))$ of $\underline{\underline{\text{Pic}}}^{\times}$ corepresents :

$$\text{DET}(\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_n; -) : \underline{\underline{\text{Pic}}}^{\times} \longrightarrow \text{GRPD}$$



UNIVERSAL MULTI DETERMINANT

Define natural isomorphisms $\det \Rightarrow \det^*: \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2 \times \dots \times \mathbb{T}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$

to obtain a groupoid $\text{DET}(\mathbb{T}_1, \mathbb{T}_2, \dots, \mathbb{T}_n; \mathcal{P})$.

Recall:

$$(\text{symm.}) \text{ multicategory } \underline{\underline{M}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad \cong \quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad \cong \quad} \end{array} (\text{symm.}) \text{ monoidal category } \underline{\underline{M}}^\times$$

Theorem (E.A., C.LESTER) There is an equivalence of groupoids

$$\text{DET}(\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_n; \mathcal{P}) \cong \underline{\underline{\text{Pic}}}^\times(V(\mathbb{T}_1), \dots, V(\mathbb{T}_n); \mathcal{P}) \quad (*)$$

That is, the object $(V(\mathbb{T}_1), \dots, V(\mathbb{T}_n))$ of $\underline{\underline{\text{Pic}}}^\times$ corepresents :

$$\text{DET}(\mathbb{T}_1, \dots, \mathbb{T}_n; -) : \underline{\underline{\text{Pic}}}^\times \longrightarrow \text{GRPD}$$

■

Theorem (E.A., C.LESTER) For each Picard groupoid \mathcal{P} (*) determines

$$\text{DET}(-; \mathcal{P}) : \underline{\underline{\text{TRCAT}}}^\times_{\text{Verdier}} \xrightarrow{\text{sp}} \text{GRPD}$$

■

VERDIER STRUCTURES

(Beilinson-Bernstein-Deligne / May / Keller-Neeman)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 x' & \rightarrow & y' & \rightarrow & z' & \rightarrow & \sum x' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 x & \rightarrow & y & \rightarrow & z & \rightarrow & \sum x \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 x'' & \rightarrow & y'' & \rightarrow & z'' & \rightarrow & \sum x'' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \sum x' & \rightarrow & \sum y' & \rightarrow & \sum z' & \xrightarrow{-1} & \sum^2 x'
 \end{array}$$

The \mathfrak{q} -diagram:

Each line is a distinguished triangle.

VERDIER STRUCTURES

(Beilinson-Bernstein-Deligne / May / Keller-Neeman)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 x' & \rightarrow & y' & \rightarrow & z' & \rightarrow & \sum x' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 x & \rightarrow & y & \rightarrow & z & \rightarrow & \sum x \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 x'' & \rightarrow & y'' & \rightarrow & z'' & \rightarrow & \sum x'' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \sum x' & \rightarrow & \sum y' & \rightarrow & \sum z' & \rightarrow & \sum^2 x'
 \end{array}$$

The Ψ -diagram:

Each line is a distinguished triangle.

Consider the triangle

$$x' \rightarrow y \rightarrow A \rightarrow \sum x'$$

VERDIER STRUCTURES

(Beilinson-Bernstein-Deligne / May / Keller-Neeman)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 x' & \rightarrow & y' & \rightarrow & z' & \rightarrow & \Sigma x' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 x & \rightarrow & y & \rightarrow & z & \rightarrow & \Sigma x \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 x'' & \rightarrow & y'' & \rightarrow & z'' & \rightarrow & \Sigma x'' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \Sigma x' & \rightarrow & \Sigma y' & \rightarrow & \Sigma z' & \rightarrow & \Sigma x'
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 x' & = & x' & & & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \textcircled{1} \\
 x & \rightarrow & y & \rightarrow & z & \rightarrow & \Sigma x \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 x'' & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & z & \rightarrow & \Sigma x'' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \Sigma x' & = & \Sigma x' & & & &
 \end{array}$$

The \mathcal{G} -diagram has a
Verdier structure
if there exist octahedra

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 x' & \rightarrow & y' & \rightarrow & z' & \rightarrow & \Sigma x' \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \textcircled{2} \\
 x' & \rightarrow & Y & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & \Sigma x' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 y'' & = & y' & & & & x'' \rightarrow A \rightarrow z \rightarrow \Sigma x'' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \parallel \\
 \Sigma y' & \rightarrow & \Sigma z' & & & & x'' \rightarrow Y'' \rightarrow z'' \rightarrow \Sigma x'' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 \Sigma z' & = & \Sigma z' & & & & \Sigma z' = \Sigma z' \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\
 \Sigma A & \rightarrow & \Sigma z & & & & \Sigma A \rightarrow \Sigma z
 \end{array}$$

\textcircled{3}

VERDIER STRUCTURES

(E. A., C. LESTER)

$F: T_1 \times \dots \times T_m \longrightarrow T$ multiexact admits a Verdier structure

if for all $\Delta_i \in T_i$, $\Delta_j \in T_j$, $i < j$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 F(x_i, x_j) & \longrightarrow & F(y_i, x_j) & \longrightarrow & F(z_i, x_j) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma F(x_i, x_j) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 F(x_i, y_j) & \longrightarrow & F(y_i, y_j) & \longrightarrow & F(z_i, y_j) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma F(x_i, y_j) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 F(x_i, z_j) & \longrightarrow & F(y_i, z_j) & \longrightarrow & F(z_i, z_j) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma F(x_i, z_j) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \Sigma F(x_i, x_j) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma F(y_i, x_j) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma F(z_i, x_j) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^2 F(x_i, x_j)
 \end{array}$$

admits a Verdier structure

CATEGORICAL RINGS

Def

A Picard groupoid $(\mathcal{P}, +, 0)$ is a **categorical ring** if there exists a second monoidal structure

$$\cdot : \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}$$

which is biexact, unital, associative.

This is the **biased** version: associativity, etc. require higher arity structures by composition. Alternatively:

Def

A categorical ring is a (commutative) monoid in Pic

This is the **unbiased** version.

Remark

Similarly, we consider a $\mathbb{T}\mathbb{T}\text{-cat}$ $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes, \mathcal{I})$ as a monoid in TFCAT

DET & \otimes

Theorem (E. A., C. Lester)

- $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes, I)$ - Tensor Triangulated cat.
- \otimes admits Verdier

Then the universal Picard groupoid $V(\mathcal{T})$ is a categorical ring

DET & \otimes

Theorem (E. A., C. Lester)

- $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes, I)$ - Tensor Triangulated cat.
- \otimes admits Verdier

Then the universal Picard groupoid $V(\mathcal{T})$ is a categorical ring

Idea of proof

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathcal{T} \\
 \text{det} \times \text{det} \downarrow & \nearrow \text{det} \circ \otimes & \downarrow \text{det} \\
 V(\mathcal{T}) \times V(\mathcal{T}) & \xrightarrow{\odot} & V(\mathcal{T})
 \end{array}$$



DET & \otimes

Theorem (E. A., C. Lester)

- $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes, \mathcal{I})$ - Tensor Triangulated cat.
- \otimes admits Verdier

Then the universal Picard groupoid $V(\mathcal{T})$ is a categorical ring

Idea of proof

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{\otimes} & \mathcal{T} \\
 \text{det} \times \text{det} \downarrow & \nearrow \text{det} \circ \otimes & \downarrow \text{det} \\
 V(\mathcal{T}) \times V(\mathcal{T}) & \xrightarrow{\odot} & V(\mathcal{T})
 \end{array}$$

■

As a Corollary, we get the well known fact

$$K_0(\mathcal{T}) \cong \pi_0 V(\mathcal{T}) \quad \text{ring}$$

$$K_1(\mathcal{T}) \cong \pi_1 V(\mathcal{T}) \quad K_0(\mathcal{T})\text{-bimodule}$$

Outlook

What does the Postnikov Invariant

$$\eta_{V(\tau)} \in THH^3(\pi_0 V(\tau), \pi_1 V(\tau))$$

say about (τ, \otimes, I) ?

OUTLOOK

What does the Postnikov Invariant

$$\eta_{V(\tau)} \in THH^3(\pi_0 V(\tau), \pi_1 V(\tau))$$

Say about (τ, \otimes, I) ?

THANK YOU!