

1 Analysis and Applications, Vol. 5, No. 2 (2007) 1–16
 © World Scientific Publishing Company



3 **STOCHASTIC SOLUTIONS OF THE TWO-DIMENSIONAL**
 4 **PRIMITIVE EQUATIONS OF THE OCEAN AND ATMOSPHERE**
 5 **WITH AN ADDITIVE NOISE**

7 B. EWALD^{*,¶}, M. PETCU^{‡,§,||} and R. TEMAM^{†,‡,**}

**Department of Mathematics
 Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA*

*†Laboratoire d'Analyse Numérique et EDP
 Université de Paris-Sud, Orsay, France*

*‡The Institute for Scientific Computing and Applied Mathematics
 Indiana University, 831 East Third Street
 Bloomington, Indiana 47405, USA*

*§Université de Genève, Section de Mathématiques
 Genève, Switzerland*

¶ewald@math.fsu.edu

||mpetcu@indiana.edu

***temam@indiana.edu*

19 Received 28 December 2006

Revised 22 January 2006

21 The aim of this article is to establish the existence and uniqueness of stochastic solutions
 22 of the two-dimensional equations of the ocean and atmosphere. White noise is additive,
 23 and the solutions are strong in the probabilistic sense. Finally, from the point of view of
 24 partial differential equations, they are of the type z -weak, that is bounded in $L^\infty(L^2)$
 25 together with their derivative in z .

Keywords:

27 Mathematics Subject Classification 2000:

1. Introduction

29 The mathematical theory of the Primitive Equations (PEs) for the ocean and the
 30 atmosphere has made substantial progress since the early articles [10, 11]. For the
 31 most recent developments, see the review article [17] and the subsequent articles
 32 by Cao and Titi [4] and by Kobelkov [9]. The object of the present article and of
 33 the companion article [7], is to study the existence and uniqueness of stochastic
 34 solutions to these equations driven by an additive white noise; the space dimension
 35 two is considered in this article and the space dimension three in [7]. Note that these
 two articles are devoted to the concept of strong solutions, strong in the probabilistic

2 *B. Ewald, M. Petcu & R. Temam*

1 sense, that is, the solutions defined pathwise. The concept of weak solutions defined
 2 by martingales will be investigated elsewhere. As we explain below, the white noise
 3 is additive, i.e. of the form dW/dt , and strong solutions are obtained by requiring
 4 enough spatial regularity on W (as in, e.g., [1, 2]).

5 We start, in Sec. 2, by presenting the two-dimensional Primitive Equations with
 6 periodic boundary conditions as in [12] and give their functional formulation. We
 7 also state a result of existence and uniqueness of (semi) weak solutions which will be
 8 the starting point for the stochastic case. This result of existence and uniqueness
 9 of solutions is an unpublished result of Ziane which will appear in [13], but we
 10 prove here, in Sec. 3, a slightly more general version of it. We proceed in Sec. 2 by
 11 introducing the probability spaces and the driving white noise. Finally, in Sec. 3,
 12 we consider the actual two-dimensional PEs driven by a white noise as well as prove
 13 and state the main result of existence and uniqueness of solution.

14 We consider the equations for the ocean; the equations would be the same for the
 15 atmosphere if we use the potential temperature instead of the usual temperature,
 16 (see, e.g., [8] or [6]) and if the vertical coordinate is the pressure. The coupled
 17 ocean-atmosphere pertain to the same methods. Furthermore, we consider only the
 18 space periodic case. All the other cases (ocean with different boundary conditions,
 19 atmosphere or coupled ocean-atmosphere) are treated in the same way at the price
 20 of some modifications in the notations which are described briefly below and with
 21 full details in [17].

2. The Two-Dimensional Space Periodic Primitive Equations

23 For the sake of simplicity and to follow [12], we do not consider the salinity; intro-
 24 ducing the salinity would not produce any additional technical difficulty. In this
 25 case, the density ρ is a linear function of the temperature T .

26 Because of the hydrostatic equation, it is not possible to produce a solution
 27 that is space periodic in all variables without restriction. For that reason, ρ, p
 28 (the pressure) and T below represent the deviation from a stratified solution. In
 29 what follows, $\bar{\rho}$ is the stratification profile for which $N^2 = -(g/\rho_0)(d\bar{\rho}/dz)$ is a
 30 constant, and, as usual, by the hydrostatic equation and the equation of state,
 31 $d\bar{p}/dz = -g\bar{\rho}$ and $\bar{\rho} = \rho_0(1 - \alpha(\bar{T} - T_0))$, ρ_0, T_0 being reference values of ρ and T
 32 (of the same order as $\bar{\rho}$ and \bar{T}). Furthermore, the periodic (disturbance) solutions
 33 that we consider present certain symmetries that are described below (see (2.2)
 34 below). We refer the reader to [12, 17] for more details on the physical background.
 35 The PEs that we consider here are written in nondimensional form (see [12]), and
 they read:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{Ro} v + \frac{1}{Ro} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \nu_v \Delta u + F_u, \quad (2.1a)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{Ro} u = \nu_v \Delta v + F_v, \quad (2.1b)$$

Stochastic Solutions of the 2D PEs of the Ocean and Atmosphere 3

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = -\rho, \quad (2.1c)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0, \quad (2.1d)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z} - \frac{N^2}{Ro} w = \nu_\rho \Delta \rho + F_\rho. \quad (2.1e)$$

1 All the independent variables (t, x, z) and the dependent variables (u, v, w, ρ, p)
 2 are dimensionless, as are the forcing and source terms (F_u, F_v, F_ρ) . Here, (u, v, w)
 3 are the three components of the velocity vector and, as we have mentioned, p
 4 and ρ denote the pressure and density deviations, respectively, from the pre-
 5 scribed stratified state. The (dimensionless) parameters are the Rossby number
 6 Ro ; N , which is related to the Burger number; and the (eddy) Reynolds numbers
 7 ν_v and ν_ρ .

8 Some motivations on the physical background and the derivation of these equa-
 9 tions are given in [12]. The two spatial directions are $0x$ and $0z$, corresponding
 10 to the west-east and vertical directions in the so-called f -plane approximation for
 11 geophysical flows (see [12]); $\Delta = \partial^2/\partial x^2 + \partial^2/\partial z^2$.

12 We work in a limited domain $\mathcal{M} = (0, L_1) \times (-L_3/2, L_3/2)$, and we assume
 13 space periodicity with period \mathcal{M} , that is, all functions are taken to satisfy

$$f(x + L_1, z, t) = f(x, z, t) = f(x, z + L_3, t) \quad (2.2)$$

14 when extended to \mathbb{R}^2 .

Moreover, we assume that the following symmetries hold:

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, z, t) &= u(x, -z, t), & F_u(x, z, t) &= F_u(x, -z, t), \\ v(x, z, t) &= v(x, -z, t), & F_v(x, z, t) &= F_v(x, -z, t), \\ \rho(x, z, t) &= -\rho(x, -z, t), & F_\rho(x, z, t) &= -F_\rho(x, -z, t), \\ w(x, z, t) &= -w(x, -z, t), & p(x, z, t) &= p(x, -z, t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

15 Here, u, v and p are said to be even in z , and w and ρ odd in z .

16 Our aim is to solve the problem (2.1a)–(2.1e) with initial data

$$u = u_0, \quad v = v_0, \quad \rho = \rho_0, \quad \text{at } t = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Hence the natural function spaces for this problem are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V = \left\{ U = (u, v, \rho) \in (\dot{H}_{\text{per}}^1(\mathcal{M}))^3, \right. \\ \left. u, v \text{ even in } z, \rho \text{ odd in } z, \int_{-L_3/2}^{L_3/2} u(x, z') dz' = 0 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

$$H = \text{closure of } V \text{ in } (\dot{L}^2(\mathcal{M}))^3. \quad (2.6)$$

4 *B. Ewald, M. Petcu & R. Temam*

1 Here the dot above \dot{H}_{per}^1 or \dot{L}^2 denotes the functions with average in \mathcal{M} equal
2 to zero. These spaces are endowed with Hilbert scalar products; in H the scalar
3 product is

$$(U, \tilde{U})_H = (u, \tilde{u})_{L^2} + (v, \tilde{v})_{L^2} + \kappa(\rho, \tilde{\rho})_{L^2}, \quad (2.7)$$

5 and in \dot{H}_{per}^1 and V the scalar product is (using the same notation when there is no
ambiguity):

$$((U, \tilde{U})) = ((u, \tilde{u})) + ((v, \tilde{v})) + \kappa((\rho, \tilde{\rho})). \quad (2.8)$$

Here, we have written $d\mathcal{M}$ for $dx dz$, and

$$9 \quad ((\phi, \tilde{\phi})) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial z} \right) d\mathcal{M}; \quad (2.9)$$

the positive constant κ is defined below. We have

$$11 \quad |U|_H \leq c_0 \|U\|, \quad \forall U \in V, \quad (2.10)$$

13 where $c_0 > 0$ is a positive constant related to κ and the Poincaré constant
in $\dot{H}_{\text{per}}^1(\mathcal{M})$. More generally, the c_i, c'_i, c''_i will denote various positive constants.
Inequality (2.10) implies that $\|U\| = ((U, U))^{1/2}$ is indeed a norm on V .

15 Let us recall that we can express the diagnostic variables w and p in terms of
the prognostic variables u, v and ρ . For each $U = (u, v, \rho) \in V$, we can determine
17 uniquely $w = w(U)$ from (2.1d):

$$w(U) = w(x, z, t) = - \int_0^z u_x(x, z', t) dz', \quad (2.11)$$

19 since $w(x, 0) = 0$, w being odd in z . Furthermore, writing that, by periodicity and
antisymmetry, $w(x, -L_3/2, t) = \pm w(x, L_3/2, t) = 0$, we also have

$$21 \quad \int_{-L_3/2}^{L_3/2} u_x(x, z', t) dz' = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

As for the pressure, we obtain from (2.1d),

$$23 \quad p(x, z, t) = p_s(x, t) - \int_0^z \rho(x, z', t) dz', \quad (2.13)$$

25 where $p_s = p(x, 0, t)$ is the surface pressure. Thus, we can uniquely determine the
pressure p in terms of ρ up to p_s .

27 We then derive the variational formulation of problem (2.1a)–(2.1e). For that
purpose, we consider a test function $\tilde{U} = (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{\rho}) \in V$ and we multiply (2.1a),
(2.1b) and (2.1e), respectively by \tilde{u}, \tilde{v} and $\kappa \tilde{\rho}$, where the constant κ (which was
29 already introduced in (2.7) and (2.8)) will be chosen later. We add the resulting

1 equations and integrate over \mathcal{M} . We use (2.1c) and (2.1d) for the term involving ρ ,
and we arrive at:

$$3 \quad \frac{d}{dt}(U, \tilde{U})_H + a(U, \tilde{U}) + b(U, U, \tilde{U}) + \frac{1}{Ro}e(U, \tilde{U}) = (F, \tilde{U})_H, \quad \forall \tilde{U} \in V. \quad (2.14)$$

Here, we have set

$$\begin{aligned} a(U, \tilde{U}) &= \nu_v((u, \tilde{u})) + \nu_v((v, \tilde{v})) + \kappa\nu_\rho((\rho, \tilde{\rho})), \\ e(U, \tilde{U}) &= \frac{1}{Ro} \int_{\mathcal{M}} (u\tilde{v} - v\tilde{u}) \, d\mathcal{M} + \frac{1}{Ro} \int_{\mathcal{M}} (\rho\tilde{w} - \kappa N^2 w\tilde{\rho}) \, d\mathcal{M}, \\ b(U, U^\#, \tilde{U}) &= \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left(u \frac{\partial u^\#}{\partial x} + w(U) \frac{\partial u^\#}{\partial z} \right) \tilde{u} \, d\mathcal{M} + \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left(u \frac{\partial v^\#}{\partial x} + w(U) \frac{\partial v^\#}{\partial z} \right) \tilde{v} \, d\mathcal{M} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left(u \frac{\partial \rho^\#}{\partial x} + w(U) \frac{\partial \rho^\#}{\partial z} \right) \tilde{\rho} \, d\mathcal{M}. \end{aligned}$$

We now choose $\kappa = 1/N^2$ and it can easily be seen that:

$$\begin{aligned} a : V \times V &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is bilinear, continuous,} \\ e : V \times V &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is bilinear, continuous,} \\ a + e &\text{ is coercive, } a(U, U) + e(U, U) \geq c_1 \|U\|^2, \forall U \in V, c_1 > 0, \\ b &\text{ is trilinear, continuous from } V \times V_2 \times V \text{ into } \mathbb{R}, \\ &\text{and from } V \times V \times V_2 \text{ into } \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

5

where V_2 is the space $V \cap (H_{\text{per}}^2(\mathcal{M}))^3$ (which is closed in $(H_{\text{per}}^2(\mathcal{M}))^3$). Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} b(U, \tilde{U}, U^\#) &= -b(U, U^\#, \tilde{U}), \\ b(U, \tilde{U}, \tilde{U}) &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

7

when $U, \tilde{U}, U^\# \in V$ with \tilde{U} or $U^\#$ in V_2 . We also have the following (see [10–12]):

Lemma 2.1. *There exists a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that, for all $U \in V$, $\tilde{U} \in V_2$ and $U^\# \in V$:*

$$\begin{aligned} |b(U, U^\#, \tilde{U})| &\leq c_2 \|U\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|U\|^{1/2} \|U^\#\| \|\tilde{U}\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\tilde{U}\|^{1/2} \\ &\quad + c_2 \|U\| \|U^\#\|^{1/2} \|U^\#\|_{V_2}^{1/2} \|\tilde{U}\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\tilde{U}\|^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

9

Alternatively, we can introduce the linear and bilinear operators A, B, E from V into V' , defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle AU, \tilde{U} \rangle &= a(U, \tilde{U}), \quad \forall U, \tilde{U} \in V, \\ \langle EU, \tilde{U} \rangle &= e(U, \tilde{U}), \quad \forall U, \tilde{U} \in V, \\ \langle B(U, \tilde{U}), U^\# \rangle &= b(U, \tilde{U}, U^\#), \quad \forall U, \tilde{U} \in V, U^\# \in V_2, \end{aligned}$$

11

6 *B. Ewald, M. Petcu & R. Temam*

1 and we then write (2.14) as a functional differential equation:

$$\frac{dU}{dt} + AU + B(U, U) + EU = F, \quad (2.18)$$

3 which we supplement with the initial condition

$$U(0) = U_0. \quad (2.19)$$

5 The usual terminology in PDEs and fluid mechanics is to call weak solutions, the
 7 solutions of (2.18)–(2.19) which belong to $L^\infty(0, t_1; H) \cap L^2(0, t_1; V)$, $\forall t_1 > 0$, and
 9 strong solutions, the solutions belonging to $L^\infty(0, t_1; V) \cap L^2(0, t_1; V_2)$, $\forall t_1 > 0$. It
 11 was shown (see [17] and the references therein) that, for the incompressible Navier–
 13 Stokes equations in space dimension two, (2.18) and (2.19) possess a unique strong
 solution defined for all time (with suitable hypotheses on the data). Concerning the
 weak solutions, existence for all time has been shown (see, e.g., [10, 11, 17]), but,
 unlike the Navier–Stokes, the uniqueness of the two-dimensional weak solutions has
 not been proven. Instead, we have a result of existence and uniqueness of (semi)
 weak solutions which we now recall.

Theorem 2.2. *Given $U_0 \in H$, with $U_{0z} = \partial U_0 / \partial z \in L^2(\mathcal{M})^3$, and $F \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$ with $F_z = \partial F / \partial z \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\mathcal{M})^3)$, there exists a unique solution of (2.18) – (2.19), defined for all $t > 0$ and satisfying:*

$$\begin{aligned} U &\in \mathcal{C}([0, t_1]; H) \cap L^2(0, t_1; V), \quad \forall t_1 > 0, \\ U_z &\in \mathcal{C}([0, t_1]; L^2(\mathcal{M})^3) \cap L^2(0, t_1; H^1(\mathcal{M})^3), \quad \forall t_1 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

15 As indicated before, this unpublished result of Ziane will be included in [13].
 However, we show below in Sec. 3 a result slightly more general than Theorem 2.2.

17 **Remark 2.3.** Before we proceed, we would like to explain how Eq. (2.18) relates
 19 to the initial equations (2.1a)–(2.1e). For that purpose, we introduce the orthogonal
 projector P from $L^2(\mathcal{M})^3$ onto H . It is easy to see that if $U = (u, v, \rho) \in L^2(\mathcal{M})^3$,
 then

$$21 \quad PU = (u - \bar{u}, v, \rho), \quad (2.20)$$

where \bar{u} is the average

$$23 \quad \bar{u}(x) = \frac{1}{L_3} \int_{-L_3/2}^{L_3/2} u(x, z') dz'. \quad (2.21)$$

25 The domain $D(A)$ of A in H is the same as the space denoted V_2 before, and for
 $U \in D(A)$,

$$AU = -(\nu_v \Delta(u - \bar{u}), \nu_v \Delta v, \kappa \nu_\rho \Delta \rho).$$

27 Hence, with $w = w(U)$ and $p = p(U)$, defined as explained in (2.11)–(2.13), the sec-
 ond and third components of Eq. (2.18) are the same as (2.1b) and (2.1e), whereas

1 the first component of (2.18) expresses the fact that the projection P of Eq. (2.1a)
is satisfied:

$$3 \quad P\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{Ro}v + \frac{1}{Ro}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}\right) = P(\nu_v\Delta u + F_u). \quad (2.22)$$

Alternatively,

$$5 \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{Ro}v + \frac{1}{Ro}\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \nu_v\Delta u + F_u + \phi, \quad (2.23)$$

7 where $\phi = \phi(x, t) \in (I - P)(L^2(\mathcal{M})^3)$. According to (2.13), p is not fully determined
by the knowledge of ρ , as $p_s = p_s(x, t)$ remains unknown. Hence, by changing p
(that is, p_s), we can in fact choose $\phi = 0$ in (2.23) and rewrite this equation as

$$9 \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{Ro}v - \frac{1}{Ro}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \int_0^z \rho(z') dz' + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} p_s = \nu_v\Delta u + F_u. \quad (2.24)$$

11 Here, $p_s = p_s(x, t)$ is defined up to a function of t which could be determined if we
impose, e.g., $\int_{-L_3/2}^{L_3/2} p_s(x, t) dx = 0$. Furthermore, once u, v, ρ, w are determined by
Eqs. (2.18), (2.19) and (2.11), Eq. (2.24) precisely determines $p_s = p_s(x, t)$. This
13 remark will be useful in the understanding of the component of the white noise on
the orthogonal of H in $L^2(\mathcal{M})^3$, that is $(I - P)(L^2(\mathcal{M})^3)$; see Remark 3.4.

15 3. The Stochastic Primitive Equations

Our aim is now to consider the stochastic version of Theorem 2.2. We denote by
17 $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ a probability space^a with expectation E . The process $W = W(t, \omega), t \geq 0$,
 $\omega \in \Omega$, is an H -valued stochastic process defined on the probability space (for
19 instance, a Wiener process, cf. [5]), subject to the following regularity in space and
time: for \mathbb{P} -a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$,

$$21 \quad W(\cdot, \omega) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; V), \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$23 \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} W(\cdot, \omega) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; V). \quad (3.2)$$

25 Furthermore, the mapping $\omega \mapsto W(\cdot, \omega)$ is measurable with respect to the Borel
measures generated by the corresponding spaces.

27 We also have a filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, that is, the \mathcal{F}_t are σ -subalgebras of \mathcal{A} which
increase in t and are right-continuous in t . The Wiener process W will be adapted to
the filtration, and the initial condition U_0 must be measurable with respect to \mathcal{F}_0 .

^aIn this article, Ω denotes the probability space and not the angular velocity of the earth, as is
usual in geophysical fluid mechanics.

8 *B. Ewald, M. Petcu & R. Temam*

1 We are now interested in solving the Ito differential equation:

$$dU = -(AU + B(U, U) + EU - F)dt + dW, \quad (3.3)$$

3 or, in short, with obvious notations,

$$dU = -N(U)dt + dW, \quad (3.4)$$

5 with

$$U(0) = U_0. \quad (3.5)$$

7 For that purpose, we will perform the change of unknown function $\tilde{U} = U - W$.
 In this way, the white noise dW/dt disappears and the equation for \tilde{U} is a statistical
 9 equation, that is an equation similar to (2.18) with the probabilistic parameter ω ;
 namely

$$11 \quad \frac{d\tilde{U}}{dt} + A\tilde{U} + B(W, \tilde{U}) + B(\tilde{U}, W) + B(\tilde{U}, \tilde{U}) + B(W, W) + E\tilde{U} = \tilde{F}, \quad (3.6)$$

with

$$13 \quad \tilde{U}(0) = \tilde{U}_0 = U_0 - W(0), \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$15 \quad \tilde{F} = -(AW + EW) + F. \quad (3.8)$$

The resolution of (3.6)–(3.8) will be similar to that of (2.18), (2.19), provided we
 17 assume enough regularity on W . In fact, the only difference between (2.18) and
 (3.3) is the occurrence of the terms (linear in \tilde{U}) $B(W, \tilde{U})$ and $B(\tilde{U}, W)$.

19 Due to (3.8) and the hypotheses (3.1)–(3.2) on W , we can prove the following
 lemma:

21 **Lemma 3.1.**

$$\tilde{U}_0 \in H, \quad \tilde{U}_{0z} \in L^2(\mathcal{M})^3, \quad (3.9)$$

$$23 \quad \tilde{F}, \tilde{F}_z \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; V'). \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. For (3.9) and due to (3.7), it suffices to notice that $W(0) \in H$ and $W_z(0) \in$
 25 $L^2(\mathcal{M})^3$.

For (3.10), due to (3.8), it suffices to show that each of the following terms
 27 separately belong to $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\mathcal{M})^3)$, as well as their derivatives in z : AW , EW ,
 $B(W, W)$. The result follows promptly for AW and AW_z (for the latter, it suffices
 29 to observe that ΔW_z is in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\mathcal{M})^3)$). The result is also easy for EW and
 $(EW)_z$. The lemma is proven. \square

31 Having established the properties of \tilde{F} and \tilde{U}_0 , we now show the existence and
 uniqueness of solutions of (3.6)–(3.8) which will imply the main result, the existence

1 and uniqueness of solutions of (3.3)–(3.5). Note also that, when $W = 0$, Eqs. (3.6)–
 2 (3.8) are the same as (3.3)–(3.5) and (2.18)–(2.19) so that, in fact, the following
 3 theorem is a generalization of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 3.2. *There exists a unique solution \tilde{U} of (3.6)–(3.8) such that*

$$5 \quad \tilde{U} \text{ and } \tilde{U}_z \in \mathcal{C}([0, t_1]; H) \cap L^2(0, t_1; V), \quad \forall t_1 > 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. For the existence of solutions, the proof of this theorem as well as that
 7 of Theorem 2.2 is based on the obtention of formal *a priori* estimates which are
 8 established by assuming enough regularity on \tilde{U} .

9 (a) We start with the *a priori* estimates concerning \tilde{U} and continue in point (b)
 10 with the *a priori* estimates concerning \tilde{U}_z .

11 We take the scalar product of (3.6) with \tilde{U} in the duality between V and V'
 12 and, taking (2.16) into account we find:

$$13 \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\tilde{U}|_H^2 - a(\tilde{U}, \tilde{U}) + b(\tilde{U}, W, \tilde{U}) + b(W, W, \tilde{U}) + e(\tilde{U}, \tilde{U}) = (\tilde{F}, \tilde{U})_H.$$

We now take into account the coercivity of $a + e$ (see (2.15)) and this yields:

$$15 \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\tilde{U}|_H^2 + c_1 \|\tilde{U}\|^2 \leq |\tilde{F}|_{V'} \|\tilde{U}\| + |b(\tilde{U}, W, \tilde{U})| + |b(W, W, \tilde{U})|.$$

Since

$$17 \quad b(\tilde{U}, W, \tilde{U}) = \int_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{u} \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} \cdot \tilde{U} d\mathcal{M} + \int_{\mathcal{M}} w \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} \cdot \tilde{U} d\mathcal{M},$$

the first term of $b(\tilde{U}, W, \tilde{U})$ can be estimated as:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{u} \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} \cdot \tilde{U} d\mathcal{M} \right| &\leq \|\tilde{u}\|_{L^4} \cdot \left\| \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} \right\|_{L^2} \cdot \|\tilde{U}\|_{L^4} \leq c \left\| \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})} \|\tilde{U}\|_H \|\tilde{U}\| \\ &\leq \frac{c_1}{4} \|\tilde{U}\|^2 + c'_1 \|W\|^2 \|\tilde{U}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the c'_i continue to denote various positive constants.

The second term of $b(\tilde{U}, W, \tilde{U})$ is estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathcal{M}} w(\tilde{U}) \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} \cdot \tilde{U} d\mathcal{M} \right| &\leq \|w(\tilde{U})\|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})} \left\| \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} \right\|_{L^4(\mathcal{M})} \|\tilde{U}\|_{L^4(\mathcal{M})} \\ &\leq c'_2 \|\tilde{U}\|^{3/2} \cdot \left\| \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} \right\|_{L^2}^{1/2} \left\| \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} \right\|^{1/2} \|\tilde{U}\|_H^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{c_1}{4} \|\tilde{U}\|^2 + c'_3 \left\| \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} \right\|_{L^2}^2 \left\| \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} \right\|^2 \|\tilde{U}\|_H^2. \end{aligned}$$

10 *B. Ewald, M. Petcu & R. Temam*

We have now to estimate $b(W, W, \tilde{U})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |b(W, W, \tilde{U})| &= \left| \int_{\mathcal{M}} u^b \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} \cdot \tilde{U} d\mathcal{M} + \int_{\mathcal{M}} w^b \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} \cdot \tilde{U} d\mathcal{M} \right| \\ &\leq c'_4 |u^b|_{L^4} \left| \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} \right|_{L^2} |\tilde{U}|_{L^4} + |w^b|_{L^4} \left| \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} \right|_{L^2} |\tilde{U}|_{L^4} \\ &\leq c'_5 \|W\|^2 |\tilde{U}|_H^{1/2} \|\tilde{U}\|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{c_1}{4} \|\tilde{U}\|^2 + c'_6 \|W\|^{8/3} |\tilde{U}|_H^{2/3}, \end{aligned}$$

1 where $W = (u^b, v^b, w^b)$.

Taking into account all the above estimates, we find:

$$3 \quad \frac{d}{dt} |\tilde{U}|_H^2 + c_1 \|\tilde{U}\|^2 \leq g_1 |\tilde{U}|_H^2 + g_2, \quad (3.12)$$

where g_1 and g_2 are the following functions:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= g_1(t) = c'_3 \left| \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} \right|_{L^2}^2 \left\| \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} \right\|^2 + c'_6 \|W\|^{8/3}, \\ g_2 &= g_2(t) = c'_6 \|W\|^{8/3} + |\tilde{F}|_{V'}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We classically derive from (3.12) that

$$5 \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{The norms of } \tilde{U} \text{ in } L^\infty(0, t_1; H) \text{ and } L^2(0, t_1; V) \\ &\text{are bounded in terms of the data, } \forall t_1 > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

(b) We now continue with the *a priori* estimates concerning \tilde{U}_z . For that purpose, we differentiate (2.1a), (2.1b) and (2.1e) with respect to z and then multiply these equations by u_z, w_z and $\kappa \rho_z$ respectively, and integrate over \mathcal{M} . By adding the resulting equations, we find (compare to (3.6)):

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\tilde{U}_z|_H^2 + a(\tilde{U}_z, \tilde{U}_z) + e(\tilde{U}_z, \tilde{U}_z) + b(W_z, \tilde{U}, \tilde{U}_z) \\ &\quad + b(\tilde{U}, W_z, \tilde{U}_z) + b(\tilde{U}_z, W, \tilde{U}_z) + b(\tilde{U}_z, \tilde{U}, \tilde{U}_z) \\ &\quad + b(W_z, W, \tilde{U}_z) + b(W, W_z, \tilde{U}_z) = (\tilde{F}_z, \tilde{U}_z)_H. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.10), (2.15) (coercivity of $a + e$), (2.16), and the Schwarz inequality, we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\tilde{U}_z|_H^2 + c_1 \|\tilde{U}_z\|^2 &\leq c_0 |\tilde{F}_z|_{V'} \|\tilde{U}_z\| + |b(W_z, \tilde{U}, \tilde{U}_z)| + |b(\tilde{U}, W_z, \tilde{U}_z)| \\ &\quad + |b(\tilde{U}_z, W, \tilde{U}_z)| + |b(\tilde{U}_z, \tilde{U}, \tilde{U}_z)| + |b(W_z, W, \tilde{U}_z)| \\ &\quad + |b(W, W_z, \tilde{U}_z)|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

We can now estimate the trilinear terms from the right-hand side of (3.14) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bullet |b(W_z, \tilde{U}, \tilde{U}_z)| &= \left| \int_{\mathcal{M}} u_z^b \frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial x} \cdot \tilde{U}_z d\mathcal{M} + w_z^b \frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial z} \tilde{U}_z d\mathcal{M} \right| \\
 &= \left| \int_{\mathcal{M}} u_z^b \tilde{U}_x \tilde{U}_z d\mathcal{M} - \int_{\mathcal{M}} u_x^b \tilde{U}_z \tilde{U}_z d\mathcal{M} \right| \\
 &\leq c'_7 \int_{\mathcal{M}} |W_z| |\tilde{U}_x| |\tilde{U}_z| d\mathcal{M} + c'_7 \int_{\mathcal{M}} |W_x| |\tilde{U}_z|^2 d\mathcal{M} \\
 &\leq c'_8 |W_z|_{L^4} |\tilde{U}_x|_{L^2} |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^4} + c'_8 |W_x|_{L^2} |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^4}^2 \\
 &\leq c'_9 \|W_z\| |\tilde{U}_x|_{L^2} |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\tilde{U}_z\|^{1/2} + c'_9 |W_x|_{L^2} |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^2} \|\tilde{U}_z\| \\
 &\leq \frac{c'_1}{12} \|\tilde{U}_z\|^2 + c'_{10} \|W_z\|^{4/3} |\tilde{U}_x|_{L^2}^{4/3} |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^2}^{2/3} + c'_{11} |W_x|_{L^2}^2 |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^2}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

1 We then estimate the following term:

$$\bullet |b(\tilde{U}, W_z, \tilde{U}_z)| = \left| \int_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{u} \frac{\partial W_z}{\partial x} \cdot \tilde{U}_z d\mathcal{M} + \int_{\mathcal{M}} w(\tilde{U}) \frac{\partial W_z}{\partial z} \cdot \tilde{U}_z d\mathcal{M} \right|. \quad (3.15)$$

The first term of (3.15) can be bounded in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{u} \frac{\partial W_z}{\partial x} \cdot \tilde{U}_z d\mathcal{M} \right| &\leq \int_{\mathcal{M}} |\tilde{u}| \cdot |W_{xz}| \cdot |\tilde{U}_z| d\mathcal{M} \leq |\tilde{u}|_{L^4} |W_{xz}|_{L^2} |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^4} \\
 &\leq c'_{12} |\tilde{U}|_H^{1/2} \|\tilde{U}\|^{1/2} \|W_z\| \cdot |\tilde{U}_z|^{1/2} \|\tilde{U}_z\|^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \frac{c'_1}{12} \|\tilde{U}_z\|^2 + c'_{13} |\tilde{U}|_H^{2/3} \|\tilde{U}\|^{2/3} \|W_z\|^{4/3} |\tilde{U}_z|^{2/3}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The second term of (3.15) requires a different treatment for the integrals in the vertical and, respectively, the horizontal direction:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \int_{\mathcal{M}} w(\tilde{U}) W_{zz} \tilde{U}_z d\mathcal{M} \right| &\leq \int_0^{L_1} |w(\tilde{U})|_{L_x^\infty} |W_{zz}|_{L_z^2} |\tilde{U}_z|_{L_z^2} dx \\
 &\leq c'_{14} \int_0^{L_1} |\tilde{U}_x|_{L_z^2} |W_{zz}|_{L_z^2} |\tilde{U}_z|_{L_z^2} dx \\
 &\leq c'_{15} |\tilde{U}_x|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})} |W_{zz}|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})} |\tilde{U}_z|_{L_z^2} |L_x^\infty| \\
 &\leq c'_{16} |\tilde{U}_x|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})} \|W_z\| \|\tilde{U}_z\| \\
 &\leq \frac{c'_1}{12} \|\tilde{U}_z\|^2 + c'_{17} \|\tilde{U}\|^2 \|W_z\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

3 Here and below, L_x^2 is $L^2(0, L_1)$ and L_z^2 is $L^2(-L_{3/2}, L_{3/2})$. We also used the fact that in dimension one, we have the Sobolev embedding $H_x^1 \subset L_x^\infty$, which implies:

$$5 \quad |\tilde{U}|_{L_x^\infty(L_z^2)} \leq c |\tilde{U}|_{H_x^1(L_z^2)} \leq c \|\tilde{U}\|.$$

12 *B. Ewald, M. Petcu & R. Temam*

The third and fourth trilinear functional forms from the right-hand side of (3.14) are now estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bullet |b(\tilde{U}_z, W, \tilde{U}_z)| &= \left| \int_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{u}_z \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} \cdot \tilde{U}_z dU + \int_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{w}_z \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} \cdot \tilde{U}_z d\mathcal{M} \right| \\
 &\leq c|W_x|_{L^2} |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^2} \|\tilde{U}_z\| + c|\tilde{U}_x|_{L^2} |W_z|^{1/2} \|W_z\|^{1/2} |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\tilde{U}_z\|^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \frac{c_1}{12} \|\tilde{U}_z\|^2 + c'_{18} |W_x|_{L^2}^2 |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^2}^2 + c'_{19} |\tilde{U}_x|_{L^2}^{4/3} |W_z|_{L^2}^{2/3} \|W_z\|^{2/3} \\
 &\quad + c'_{20} |\tilde{U}_x|_{L^2}^{4/3} |W_z|_{L^2}^{2/3} \|W_z\|^{2/3} |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^2}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bullet |b(\tilde{U}_z, \tilde{U}, \tilde{U}_z)| &= \left| \int_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{u}_z \frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial x} \cdot \tilde{U}_z d\mathcal{M} + \int_{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{w}_z \frac{\partial \tilde{U}}{\partial z} \cdot \tilde{U}_z d\mathcal{M} \right| \\
 &\leq c'_{21} |\tilde{U}_x|_{L^2} |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^2} \|\tilde{U}_z\| \\
 &\leq \frac{c_1}{12} \|\tilde{U}_z\|^2 + c'_{22} |\tilde{U}_x|_{L^2}^2 |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^2}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

We also find:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bullet |b(W_z, W, \tilde{U}_z)| &= \left| \int_{\mathcal{M}} u_x^b \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} \cdot \tilde{U}_z d\mathcal{M} + \int_{\mathcal{M}} w_z^b \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} \cdot \tilde{U}_z d\mathcal{M} \right| \\
 &\leq c'_{23} \int_{\mathcal{M}} |W_x| |W_z| |\tilde{U}_z| d\mathcal{M} \\
 &\leq c'_{24} |W_x|_{L^2} |W_z|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|W_z\|^{1/2} |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\tilde{U}_z\|^{1/2} \\
 &\quad + c'_{24} |W_z|_{L^2} |W_x|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|W_x\|^{1/2} |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\tilde{U}_z\|^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \frac{c_1}{12} \|\tilde{U}_z\|^2 + c'_{25} (|W_x|_{L^2}^{4/3} |W_z|_{L^2}^{2/3} + |W_z|_{L^2}^2) \|W_z\|^{2/3} |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^2}^{2/3} \\
 &\leq \frac{c_1}{12} \|\tilde{U}_z\|^2 + c'_{25} (|W_x|_{L^2}^{4/3} |W_z|_{L^2}^{2/3} + |W_z|_{L^2}^2) \|W_z\|^{2/3} (1 + |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^2}^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

The last trilinear form in (3.12) is estimated as follows, using again a different treatment for the vertical and horizontal directions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bullet |b(W, W_z, \tilde{U}_z)| &= \left| \int_{\mathcal{M}} u^b \frac{\partial W_z}{\partial x} \cdot \tilde{U}_z d\mathcal{M} + \int_{\mathcal{M}} w^b \frac{\partial W_z}{\partial z} \cdot \tilde{U}_z d\mathcal{M} \right| \\
 &\leq \int_{\mathcal{M}} |u^b| |W_{xz}| |\tilde{U}_z| d\mathcal{M} + \int_0^{L_1} |w^b|_{L_z^\infty} |W_{zz}|_{L_z^2} |\tilde{U}_z|_{L_z^2} dx \\
 &\leq c'_{26} |W|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|W\|^{1/2} \|W_z\| |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^2}^{1/2} \|\tilde{U}_z\|^{1/2} \\
 &\quad + c'_{27} |W_x|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})} |W_{zz}|_{L^2(\mathcal{M})} \|\tilde{U}_z\|_{L_z^2} |L_x^\infty \\
 &\leq \frac{c_1}{12} \|\tilde{U}_z\|^2 + c'_{28} |W|_H^{2/3} \|W\|^{2/3} \|W_z\|^{4/3} (1 + |\tilde{U}_z|_H^2) \\
 &\quad + c'_{29} \|W\|^2 \|W_z\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

1

Gathering all the above estimates, we find:

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^2}^2 + c_1 \|\tilde{U}_z\|^2 \leq g_3(t) |\tilde{U}_z|_{L^2}^2 + g_4(t),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g_3(t) = & c_1'' \{ \|W_z\|^{4/3} |\tilde{U}_x|_{L^2}^{4/3} + |W_x|_{L^2}^2 + |\tilde{U}|_H^{2/3} \|\tilde{U}\|^{2/3} \|W_z\|^{4/3} \\ & + |W_z|_{L^2}^2 \|W_z\|^{2/3} + |W_x|_{L^2}^{4/3} |W_z|_{L^2}^{2/3} \|W_z\|^{2/3} + |\tilde{U}_x|_{L^2}^2 \\ & + |W|_H^{2/3} \|W\|^{2/3} \|W_z\| \}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_4(t) = & c_2'' \{ |\tilde{F}_z|_V^2 + \|W_z\|^{4/3} |\tilde{U}_x|_{L^2}^{4/3} + |\tilde{U}|_H^{2/3} \|\tilde{U}\|^{2/3} \|W_z\|^{4/3} + \|\tilde{U}\|^2 \|W_z\|^2 \\ & + |W_z|_{L^2}^2 \|W_z\|^{2/3} + |W_x|_{L^2}^{4/3} |W_z|_{L^2}^{2/3} \|W_z\|^{2/3} + |W|_H^{2/3} \|W\|^{2/3} \|W_z\|^{4/3} \\ & + \|W\|^2 \|W_z\|^2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

1 From the assumption on F and W (see Lemma 3.1) and the previous estimates
 2 on \tilde{U} , we know that g_3 and g_4 belong to $L^1(0, t_1)$, for every $t_1 > 0$, and that we can
 3 bound the norms of these functions in $L^1(0, t_1)$ in terms of the data. Hence, using
 4 the Gronwall lemma, we find

5 *The norms of \tilde{U}_z in $L^\infty(0, t_1; H)$ and* (3.16)
 $L^2(0, t_1; V)$ are bounded in terms of the data, $\forall t_1$.

(c) *Existence of solutions*

7 Using the Galerkin method based on the suitable Fourier series expansions (in V
 8 and H), and repeating the calculations leading to (3.13) and (3.16), we classically
 9 obtain a solution \tilde{U} of (3.6)–(3.8) such that

$$\tilde{U} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{U}_z \in L^\infty(0, t_1; H) \cap L^2(0, t_1; V), \quad \forall t_1 > 0.$$

11 Passing then from $L^\infty(0, t_1; H)$ to $\mathcal{C}([0, t_1]; H)$ as stated in (3.11) can be made using
 12 classical techniques (see e.g. [15, 17]).

13 (d) To conclude the proof of Theorem 3.2, we need to show the uniqueness of \tilde{U} .

14 For that purpose, let \tilde{U}_1 and \tilde{U}_2 be two solutions of (3.6)–(3.8) and let $\tilde{U} =$
 15 $\tilde{U}_1 - \tilde{U}_2$. Subtracting the corresponding equations (3.6)–(3.7) from each other, we
 16 find

17
$$\frac{d\tilde{U}}{dt} + A\tilde{U} + B(W, \tilde{U}) + B(\tilde{U}, W) + B(\tilde{U}_1, \tilde{U}) + B(\tilde{U}, \tilde{U}_2) + E\tilde{U} = 0, \quad (3.17)$$

We take the scalar product of (3.17) with \tilde{U} , and use (2.15)–(2.17). We find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\tilde{U}|_H^2 + c_1 \|\tilde{U}\|^2 &= -b(\tilde{U}, W + \tilde{U}_2, \tilde{U}) \\ &\leq c_3'' \left| \frac{\partial(W + \tilde{U}_2)}{\partial x} \right|_{L^2} |\tilde{U}|_H \|\tilde{U}\| + c_4'' |\tilde{U}_x|_{L^2} \\ &\quad \times \left| \frac{\partial(W + \tilde{U}_2)}{\partial z} \right|_{L^2}^{1/2} \left\| \frac{\partial(W + \tilde{U}_2)}{\partial z} \right\|^{1/2} |\tilde{U}|_H^{1/2} \|\tilde{U}\| \end{aligned}$$

14 B. Ewald, M. Petcu & R. Temam

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{c_1}{2} \|\tilde{U}\|^2 + c_5'' \left\| \frac{\partial(W + \tilde{U}_2)}{\partial x} \right\|_{L^2}^2 |\tilde{U}|_H^2 + c_6'' \\ &\quad \times \left\| \frac{\partial(W + \tilde{U}_2)}{\partial z} \right\|_H \left\| \frac{\partial(W + \tilde{U}_2)}{\partial z} \right\| |\tilde{U}|_H. \end{aligned}$$

1 Hence,

$$\frac{d}{dt} |\tilde{U}|_H^2 \leq c'' \left\{ \left\| \frac{\partial(W + \tilde{U}_2)}{\partial x} \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| \frac{\partial(W + \tilde{U}_2)}{\partial z} \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \frac{\partial(W + \tilde{U}_2)}{\partial z} \right\| \right\} |\tilde{U}|_H^2. \quad (3.18)$$

3 By the properties of W and \tilde{U} , the function $t \rightarrow \|W(t) + \tilde{U}_2(t)\|$ is integrable. Then, (3.18), $\tilde{U}(0) = 0$ and the Gronwall lemma imply that $\tilde{U}(t) = 0, \forall t > 0$.

5 Theorem 3.2 is thus proved and, as we have mentioned, this gives also a proof of Theorem 2.2. \square

7 We now conclude by restating Theorem 3.2.2 in terms of U for Eqs. (3.3) and (3.5), and this is the main result of this article, namely the existence and uniqueness of solution of the 2D Primitive Equations with an additive white noise.

11 **Theorem 3.3.** *We consider the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ and the process $W = W(t, \omega)$ satisfying the hypotheses (3.1) and (3.2). We are given $U_0 \in H$ with $U_{0z} = \partial U_0 / \partial z \in L^2(\mathcal{M})^3$ and $F \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; H)$ with $F_z = \partial F / \partial z \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\mathcal{M})^3)$.*

13 *Then, there exists a unique solution U of (3.3)–(3.5) (the 2D Primitive Equations with an additive white noise), such that*

$$15 \quad U \text{ and } U_z \in \mathcal{C}([0, t_1]; H) \cap L^2(0, t_1; V), \quad \forall t_1 > 0. \quad (3.19)$$

17 **Remark 3.4.** This remark concerns the interpretation of (3.3). First, we observe, from the stochastic point of view, that (3.3) and (3.5) amount to the following,

$$W(t) = U_0 - \int_0^t [AU(s) + B(U(s), U(s)) + EU(s) - F(s)] ds + \int_0^t dW(s), \quad (3.20)$$

where the last integral is an Ito integral. From the PDE point of view, (3.20) is valid in V' , for every t (or in H if the solution U enjoys additional regularity properties). Hence, as in (2.22)–(2.24), the first component of (3.20) is equivalent in $H^{-1}(\mathcal{M})$ (or $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ with more regularity), to:

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &+ \int_0^t \left[u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{Ro} v + \frac{1}{Ro} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \right] ds \\ &= \int_0^t (\mu_v \Delta u + F_u) ds + \int_0^t dW(s) + \phi, \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

19 with $\phi = \phi(x, t)$; as for (2.24) we can assume that $\phi = 0$, by changing p_s . Also there is no component of p_s related to the underlined Ito integral if W is chosen

1 as in (3.1) and (3.2). If we replace V by $H^{-1}(\mathcal{M})^3$ for the hypotheses on W , in
 particular, if instead of (3.1) and (3.2), we assume that

$$3 \quad W(\cdot, \omega), \frac{\partial W}{\partial z}(\cdot, \omega) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{-1}(\mathcal{M})^3), \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.e. } \omega \in \Omega, \quad (3.22)$$

then p ($\partial p_s / \partial x$) will contain a contribution from the white noise (the Ito integral)
 and we have (since $(I - P)u = 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p_s}{\partial x} &= (I - P) \int_0^t \left[u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + w \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} - \frac{1}{Ro} v - \frac{1}{Ro} \int_0^z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rho(x, z', s) dz + \mu_v \Delta u + F_u \right] ds \\ &+ \int_0^t dW(s). \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Acknowledgments

5 This work was started while the authors attended the workshop on “Mathemat-
 ical and Geophysical Fluid Dynamics: Analytic and Stochastic Methods” held at
 7 the American Institute of Mathematics at Palo-Alto, California, in February 2006.
 It was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under the grants
 9 NSF-DMS-0305110 and NSF-DMS-0604235 and by the Research Fund of Indiana
 University.

References

- 11
 13 [1] H. Bessaih and F. Flandoli, 2-D Euler equation perturbed by noise, *NoDEA Nonlinear
 Differential Equations Appl.* **6**, (1999) 35–54.
 15 [2] A. Bensoussan and R. Temam, Equations stochastiques du type Navier–Stokes,
J. Funct. Anal. **13** (1973) 195–222.
 17 [3] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn and L. Nirenberg, Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions
 of the Navier–Stokes equations, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **35**, (1982) 771–831.
 19 [4] C. Cao and E. Titi, Global well-posedness of the three-dimensional viscous
 primitive equations of large scale ocean and atmosphere dynamics, Ver. 2,
 arXiv:math.ap/0503028 (2005).
 21 [5] G. DaPrato and J. Zabczyk, *Ergodicity for Infinite-Dimensional Systems*, Vol. 229,
 London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series (Cambridge University Press,
 23 Cambridge, 1996).
 25 [6] B. Ewald and R. Temam, Maximum principle for the primitive equations of the
 atmosphere, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **7**(2) (2001) 343–362.
 27 [7] B. Ewald, M. Petcu and R. Temam, Stochastic solutions of the three-dimensional
 primitive equations of the ocean and atmosphere, in preparation.
 29 [8] A. E. Gill, *Atmosphere-Ocean Dynamics* (Academic Press, New-York, 1982).
 [9] G. Kobelkov, Existence of a solution “in whole” for the large-scale ocean dynamics
 equations, to appear in *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* (2006).
 31 [10] J. L. Lions, R. Temam and S. Wang, New formulations of the primitive equations of
 the atmosphere and applications, *Nonlinearity* **5** (1992) 237–288.
 33 [11] J. L. Lions, R. Temam and S. Wang, On the equations of the large-scale ocean,
Nonlinearity **5** (1992) 1007–1053.

16 *B. Ewald, M. Petcu & R. Temam*

- 1 [12] M. Petcu, R. Temam and D. Wirosoetisno, Existence and regularity results for the
3 Primitive Equations in two space dimensions, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **3**(1) (2003)
115–131.
- 5 [13] M. Petcu, R. Temam and M. Ziane, Some mathematical problems in fluid dynamics,
7 in *Handbook of Numerical Analysis*, special volume on *Computational Methods for the
Ocean and the Atmosphere*, ed. P. G. Ciarlet (Elsevier, 2007).
- 9 [14] R. Temam, Behaviour at time $t = 0$ of the solutions of semi-linear evolution equations,
11 *J. Diff. Equ.* **17** (1982) 73–92.
- 13 [15] R. Temam, *Navier–Stokes Equations* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978); Reedition
15 in the AMS-Chelsea Series (AMS, Providence, 2001).
- [16] R. Temam and J. Tribbia, (guest eds.), *Handbook of Numerical Analysis*, special vol-
ume on *Computational Methods for the Ocean and the Atmosphere* (Elsevier, 2007).
- [17] R. Temam and M. Ziane, Some mathematical problems in geophysical fluid dynamics,
in *Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Dynamics*, eds. S. Friedlander and D. Serre, Vol. 3
(Elsevier, 2004), pp. 535–658.