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■ cat ${ }_{\mathrm{LS}} X \leq n$ if there is an open covering $U_{0}, \ldots, U_{n}$ by contractible in $X$ sets ( $X$-contractible).

- cat LS is a homotopy invariant.
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## Lusternik-Schnirelmann category

- cat ${ }_{\text {LS }} X \leq n$ if there is an open covering $U_{0}, \ldots, U_{n}$ by contractible in $X$ sets ( $X$-contractible).
- cat ${ }_{\mathrm{LS}}$ is a homotopy invariant.

Lusternik-Schnirelmann THEOREM:
cat $_{\text {LS }} M+1 \leq \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ for any smooth function on a manifold $M$.
■ REMARK. For a Morse function the low bound is the sum of the Betti numbers.

## Applications

Lusternik-Schnirelmann theorem (1929).
Solution to Poincare Problem (1905): Every Riemannian metric on $S^{2}$ has at least three closed geodesics.

The minimum (=3) occurs on ellipsoids.
Birkhoff (1927). There is at least one closed geodesic.
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#### Abstract

Theorem (Lusternik-Schnirelmann, 1929) For every cover of $S^{n}$ by $n+1$ open sets one of the sets contains an antipodal pair of points.


Follows from the computation cat $\mathbb{R}^{P^{n}}=n$ :

The Theorem is a reformulation of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem rediscovered in mid 30s: For every continuous map $f: S^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ there is $x \in S^{n}$ with $f(x)=f(-x)$.
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(Lusternik-Schnirelmann, 1929) For every cover of $S^{n}$ by $n+1$ open sets one of the sets contains an antipodal pair of points.
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■ $\operatorname{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}}\left(S^{1} \times S^{1}\right)=2$.
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## Manifolds with small category

```
Theorem
If cat \({ }_{\mathrm{LS}} M=1\) for a closed n-manifold, then \(M=S^{n}\).
```

Theorem (D.-Katz-Rudyak)
If cat $\mathrm{LS} M=2$ for a closed $n$-manifold, $n \geq 3$, then $\pi_{1}(M)$ is free.

## $\mathrm{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}}=3$

## Theorem (D.-Katz-Rudyak)

For every nonfree f.p. group $\pi$ there is a 4-manifold $M$ with $\pi_{1}(M)=\pi$ and cat $M=3$.

## Definition of the BS-class

■ The Berstein-Šchwarz class $\mathfrak{b}_{\pi} \in H^{1}(\pi ; I(\pi))$ of a group $\pi$ is the image of the generator under connecting homomorphism $H^{0}(\pi ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{1}(\pi ; I(\pi))$ in the long exact sequence generated by the short exact sequence of coefficients

$$
0 \rightarrow I(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0
$$

- For a complex $N$ with a map $f: N \rightarrow B \pi$ classifying the universal covering, the BS-class of $N$ is $\mathfrak{b}_{N}=f^{*} \mathfrak{b}_{\pi}$.


## Definition of the BS-class

■ The Berstein-Šchwarz class $\mathfrak{b}_{\pi} \in H^{1}(\pi ; I(\pi))$ of a group $\pi$ is the image of the generator under connecting homomorphism $H^{0}(\pi ; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{1}(\pi ; I(\pi))$ in the long exact sequence generated by the short exact sequence of coefficients

$$
0 \rightarrow I(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0
$$

■ For a complex $N$ with a map $f: N \rightarrow B \pi$ classifying the universal covering, the BS-class of $N$ is $\mathfrak{b}_{N}=f^{*} \mathfrak{b}_{\pi}$.

## Universality of the BS-class

The cup product $\alpha \smile \beta \in H^{p+q}(X ; A \otimes B)$ is defined for $\alpha \in H^{p}(X ; A)$ and $\beta \in H^{q}(X ; B)$ for any $\pi$-modules $A$ and $B$ where $\pi=\pi_{1}(X)$.

## Universality of the BS-class

The cup product $\alpha \smile \beta \in H^{p+q}(X ; A \otimes B)$ is defined for $\alpha \in H^{p}(X ; A)$ and $\beta \in H^{q}(X ; B)$ for any $\pi$-modules $A$ and $B$ where $\pi=\pi_{1}(X)$.

## Universality Theorem

For every $\pi$-module $L$, every cohomology class $\alpha \in H^{k}(\pi ; L)$ is the image of $\left(\mathfrak{b}_{\pi}\right)^{k}$ under a suitable coefficients homomorphism $\psi: I(\pi)^{k}=I(\pi) \otimes \cdots \otimes I(\pi) \rightarrow L$.

## Universality of the BS-class

The cup product $\alpha \smile \beta \in H^{p+q}(X ; A \otimes B)$ is defined for $\alpha \in H^{p}(X ; A)$ and $\beta \in H^{q}(X ; B)$ for any $\pi$-modules $A$ and $B$ where $\pi=\pi_{1}(X)$.

## Universality Theorem

For every $\pi$-module $L$, every cohomology class $\alpha \in H^{k}(\pi ; L)$ is the image of $\left(\mathfrak{b}_{\pi}\right)^{k}$ under a suitable coefficients homomorphism $\psi: I(\pi)^{k}=I(\pi) \otimes \cdots \otimes I(\pi) \rightarrow L$.

## Universality of the BS-class

The cup product $\alpha \smile \beta \in H^{p+q}(X ; A \otimes B)$ is defined for $\alpha \in H^{p}(X ; A)$ and $\beta \in H^{q}(X ; B)$ for any $\pi$-modules $A$ and $B$ where $\pi=\pi_{1}(X)$.

## Universality Theorem

For every $\pi$-module $L$, every cohomology class $\alpha \in H^{k}(\pi ; L)$ is the image of $\left(\mathfrak{b}_{\pi}\right)^{k}$ under a suitable coefficients homomorphism $\psi: I(\pi)^{k}=I(\pi) \otimes \cdots \otimes I(\pi) \rightarrow L$.

## Corollary

$$
c d(\pi)=\max \left\{n \mid \mathfrak{b}_{\pi}^{n} \neq 0\right\}
$$

## Proof of catLS $M=2$ Theorem

■ Assume that $\pi=\pi_{1}(M)$ is not free. Then $\operatorname{cd}(\pi) \geq 2$.

- Consider $f: M \rightarrow B \pi$ that induces iso on $\pi_{1}$. $\square$ Then $f^{*}: H^{2}(B \pi ; L) \rightarrow H^{2}(M ; L)$ is mono. - Then $\mathfrak{b}_{M}^{2} \neq 0$ for $\mathfrak{b}_{M}=f^{*}\left(\mathfrak{b}_{\pi}\right)$.
- By the (twisted) PD there is $\alpha$ such that $\mathfrak{b}_{M}^{2} \smile \alpha \neq 0$.

■ Then cat ${ }_{\text {LS }} M \geq 3$ by the cup-length inequality. Contradiction.
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## THEOREM.

For every complex $X$,

$$
\operatorname{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}} X \leq \operatorname{cd}\left(\pi_{1}(X)\right)+\left\lceil\frac{h d(X)-1}{2}\right\rceil
$$

Here $\lceil z\rceil$ is the smallest integer $n$ with $z \leq n$.
■ $h d(X)$ is the homotopical dimension of $X$.

## Analogy with dimension

- DEFINITION. For a space $X$, dim $X \leq n$ iff $X$ admits an arbitrary small locally finite open cover $\mathcal{V}$ with OrdV $\leq n+1$.
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## Hurewicz Mapping Theorem

■ Hurewicz Theorem for dimension. For $f: X \rightarrow Y$,

$$
\operatorname{dim} X \leq \operatorname{dim} Y+\max \left\{\operatorname{dim} f^{-1}(y)\right\}
$$

- In particular, $\operatorname{dim}(X \times Y) \leq \operatorname{dim} X+\operatorname{dim} Y$.
- For the product the cat ${ }_{\text {LS }}$-analog holds true: $\operatorname{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}}(X \times Y) \leq \operatorname{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}} X+\operatorname{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}} Y$.
- What about Hurewicz for cat ${ }_{\text {LS }}$ ? Does the inequality
hold for locally trivial bundles $X \xrightarrow{F} Y$ ?
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\operatorname{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}} X \leq \mathrm{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}} Y+\mathrm{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}} F
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hold for locally trivial bundles $X \xrightarrow{F} Y$ ?

## Hurewicz Mapping Theorem

■ The answer is 'No': $f: R P^{5} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{2}$ with fiber $S^{1}$. Then $5=\operatorname{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}} \mathbb{R} P^{5}>\mathrm{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}} C P^{2}+\mathrm{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}} S^{1}=2+1$.

## CONJECTURE

$$
\operatorname{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}} X \leq \operatorname{dim} Y+\operatorname{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}} F
$$

for locally trivial bundles $X \xrightarrow{F} Y$.

## CONJECTURE implies THEOREM

Apply the formula from CONJECTURE to $f$ in the Borel construction

$$
M \longleftarrow \widetilde{M} \times_{\pi} E \pi \xrightarrow{f} B \pi .
$$

where $\pi=\pi_{1}(M)$ and $\widetilde{M}$ is the universal cover of $M$.
Then

$$
\operatorname{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}}\left(\widetilde{M} \times_{\pi} E \pi\right) \leq \operatorname{dim} B \pi+\operatorname{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}} \widetilde{M} .
$$

Note that $\operatorname{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}}\left(\widetilde{M} \times_{\pi} E \pi\right)=\operatorname{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}} M$. Also cat ${ }_{\mathrm{LS}} \widetilde{M} \leq \operatorname{dim} M / 2$ by the Whitehead theorem. Thus, $\operatorname{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}} M \leq \operatorname{cd}(\pi)+\operatorname{dim} M / 2$ if Eilenberg-Ganea holds true.
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## Hilbert's 13th problem

■ Hilbert-13 (1900): "Prove that the equation of seventh degree $x^{7}+a x^{3}+b x^{2}+c x+1=0$ is not solvable by means of any continuous functions of only two variables." $\Leftrightarrow$ Each of the implicit functions $x=x(a, b, c)$ is not representable as a composition of functions of two variables.

- Kolmogorov's Superposition theorem (1957). Every function of $n$ variables can be represented as a composition of functions of two variables.
- Ostrand (1960) Kolmogorov's result is of dimension-theoretical nature.
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## Analogy with dimension

Ostrand: A cover $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ is called an $n$-cover if every $n$ elements of $\mathcal{U}$ form a cover.
Kolmogorov-Ostrand's Theorem. $\operatorname{dim} X \leq n \Leftrightarrow$ for any open cover $\mathcal{V}$ and for every $m \geq n$ there is an $(n+1)$-cover $U_{0}, \ldots, U_{m}$ such that $U_{i}=\coprod U_{i}^{\alpha}$ where $\left\{U_{i}^{\alpha}\right\}_{i, \alpha} \prec \mathcal{V}$.
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## cat ${ }_{\text {LS }}$-Analog of Kolmogorov-Ostrand Theorem.

cat $_{\text {LS }} X \leq n \Leftrightarrow$ for any $m \geq n$ there is an $(n+1)$-cover $U_{0}, \ldots, U_{m}$ by open $X$-contractible sets.

## Kolmogorov's trick

## Proposition

A family $\mathcal{U}$ that consists of $m$ subsets of $X$ is an $(n+1)$-cover of $X$ if and only if $\operatorname{Ord}_{x} \mathcal{U} \geq m-n$ for all $x \in X$.

Here $\operatorname{Ord}_{x} \mathcal{U}$ is the number of elements of $\mathcal{U}$ that contain $x$
Let cat ${ }_{\mathrm{LS}} X=n$ and cat $\mathrm{LS} Y=m$. Let $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{0}, \ldots, U_{m+n+1}\right\}$ be
an $(n+1)$-cover of $X$ and let $\mathcal{V}=\left\{V_{0}, \ldots, V_{m+n+1}\right\}$ be an
$(m+1)$-cover of $Y$ by $X$ and $Y$-contractible sets.
Indeed, given
( $x, y$ ), point $x$ is covered by $m+n+1-n$ sets. Then the corresponding $U_{i} \times V_{i}$ cover $x \times Y$.
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A family $\mathcal{U}$ that consists of $m$ subsets of $X$ is an $(n+1)$-cover of $X$ if and only if $\operatorname{Ord}_{x} \mathcal{U} \geq m-n$ for all $x \in X$.

Here $\operatorname{Ord}_{x} \mathcal{U}$ is the number of elements of $\mathcal{U}$ that contain $x$ Proof of cat ${ }_{\mathrm{LS}} X \times Y \leq \operatorname{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}} X+\operatorname{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}} Y$ :
Let cat ${ }_{\text {LS }} X=n$ and cat LS $Y=m$. Let $\mathcal{U}=\left\{U_{0}, \ldots, U_{m+n+1}\right\}$ be an $(n+1)$-cover of $X$ and let $\mathcal{V}=\left\{V_{0}, \ldots, V_{m+n+1}\right\}$ be an $(m+1)$-cover of $Y$ by $X$ and $Y$-contractible sets.
Claim: $\mathcal{W}=\left\{U_{i} \times V_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{m+n+1}$ is a cover of $X \times Y$. Indeed, given $(x, y)$, point $x$ is covered by $m+n+1-n$ sets. Then the corresponding $U_{i} \times V_{i}$ cover $x \times Y$.

## Ganea's Approach to catLs

$\square$ RECALL: Path fibration: $p: P X \rightarrow X, P X$ is the path space on $X$ (with fixed $x_{0} \in X$ ), $p(\phi)=\phi(0)$. The fiber of $p$ is the loop space $\Omega X$.
$X$ is contractible $\Leftrightarrow$ there is a section $s: X \rightarrow P X$.

- The $n$-th Ganea fibration $p_{n}: G_{n}(X) \rightarrow X$ is the fiber-wise join of $n+1$ copies of the path fibration. Thus, the fiber $p_{n}^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right)=*^{n+1} \Omega X$.
- REMARK. $G_{0}=P X, p_{0}=p$, and the fiber is $\Omega X$.
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## Ganea-Schwarz Approach to catLS

## THEOREM [Ganea-Schwarz]

$\operatorname{cat}_{\mathrm{LS}} X \leq n \Leftrightarrow p_{n}: G_{n}(X) \rightarrow X$ admits a section.
EXAMPLE $(n=0) . p_{0}: G_{0}(X)=P X \rightarrow X$ admits a section if and only if $X$ is contractible.
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