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Recent engine test data and results of computational analysis show that the engine inlet acoustic liner splices could have a significant impact on aircraft certification flight noise and cabin noise levels. The phenomenon of scattering of acoustic duct modes by axial liner splices is investigated. Previous studies, invariably, follow the frequency domain approach. The present study, however, uses the time domain approach. It is demonstrated that time domain computation yields results that are in close agreement with frequency domain results. The scattering phenomenon under consideration is very complex. This study concentrates on the effects of four parameters. They are the width of the splices, the frequency of the incident duct mode, the number of splices and the length of splices. Based on the computed results, the conditions under which scattered wave modes would significantly increase the intensity of transmitted waves are identified. It is also found that surface scattering by liner splices has the tendency to distribute energy equally to all the cut-on scattered azimuthal modes. On the other hand, for each scattered azimuthal mode, the high order cut-on radial mode, generally, has the highest intensity. More over, scattering by liner splices is a local phenomenon. It is confined primarily to an area of the duct adjacent to the junction between the hard wall near the fan face and the spliced liner.

I. Introduction

Scattering takes place when an acoustic duct mode propagates into a region of a circular duct lined with sound absorbing material and hard wall splices. An incident duct mode is often scattered into a multitude of other azimuthal and radial modes depending on the number and width of the splices. If the frequency of the incident duct mode is slightly higher than the cut-on frequency, the energy flux of the scattered acoustic modes may be many decibels higher than that of the incident acoustic mode at the exit end of the spliced liner. This is a most undesirable situation.

Recently, the phenomenon of duct mode scattering by axial liner splices has attracted a good deal of interest. In an early work, Fuller1,2 used duct mode expansions to investigate the scattering effect. More recently, Regan and Eaton3 studied the problem using finite element formulation in the frequency domain. The finite element method leads immediately to a large matrix system as in the case of Fuller. To obtain an accurate solution of a very large matrix system, as it turns out, is non-trivial. Elnady, Boden and Glav4 devised a point matching method to model the spliced liner surface. This method again results in the need to solve a large matrix system. In a follow-up work, Elnady and Boden5 used the point matching method to study the effect of hard wall splices for both locally reacting and non-locally reacting liners. They concluded that non-locally reacting liners were less affected by the presence of hard strips than locally reacting liners.

Bi, Pagneux and Lafarge6 studied sound propagation in ducts with varying cross-section and with nonuniform impedance. They expanded their solutions using the propagating duct modes as basis functions. As in most eigenfunction expansion methods, the proper choice of a set of basis functions is crucial. However, there is no obvious and simple choice. Bi et al. considered the use of rigid wall duct modes. But they are not the natural modes
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of a lined duct. The use of locally parallel duct modes with liners might seem to be a better choice. Unfortunately, for eigenmodes of a lined duct, there is no guarantee that the computed modes form a complete set of basis functions. In addition, the computation of these modes is by no means straightforward. Moreover, this expansion method will once more lead to a large matrix that may be dense and not easy to solve. In a related work by Bi, Pagneux, Lafarge and Auregan, this duct mode expansion method was used to analyze the scattering of duct modes by rigid splices in lined ducts. Preliminary results for an incident mode at slightly above the cut-on frequency were reported. The influence of liner length, the azimuthal mode order of the incident duct mode, as well as axial non-uniformities were briefly considered.

McAlpine et al. investigated the effect of spliced intake liner at supersonic fan tip speed. They employed a numerical code named ACTRAN for their computation. The ACTRAN code is based on the finite element method. For incident duct modes at typical blade passage frequency (BPF), their three-dimensional finite element simulation required considerable amount of computing resources. To reduce computing requirements to a manageable level, they studied the problem at half BPF of a typical commercial turbofan engine. The number of splices was also reduced to half while the liner length increased to twice the typical lengths. McAlpine et al. also used the ACTI3S Airbus France boundary element code in their study. They reported that the finite element ACTRAN code and the boundary element ACTI3S code yielded similar results for certain cases in their investigation. A major conclusion of their study is that fan speed is a critical factor of the splice scattering phenomenon and that thinner splices would reduce the level of scattered tones.

Recently, Tester et al. performed a validation test of the Cargill analytical method for duct mode scattering by liner splices. The Cargill method is based on the Kirchhoff approximation. Its main advantage is simplicity. The validation test was carried out by comparing the results obtained by the Cargill method with those of the ACTRAN finite element code. Tester et al. reported that the ACTRAN and Cargill results agreed well for the no-flow case except for some minor discrepancies in the back-scattered modes. The back-scattered modes are of secondary importance compared to the forward scattered modes. When a mean flow up to Mach 0.4 was included, the agreement between the ACTRAN and Cargill results was still acceptable except again for the field dominated by back-scattering. Encouraged by the agreement, Tester et al. plan to improve the Cargill method so as to provide a rapid methodology for evaluating splices scattering effects.

In this work, a specially designed time-domain code based on the 7-point stencil time marching Dispersion-Relation-Preserving (DRP) scheme is used to compute the scattered and transmitted acoustic duct modes. Previous works on this subject invariably use the frequency-domain approach. This study intends to demonstrate that the accuracy of the time-domain solution is, at least, comparable to that obtained by frequency domain codes. One advantage of time domain approach over frequency domain method is that multiple frequencies and broadband input can be calculated in a single computation. Further, nonlinear effects may also be included. In this paper, however, the primary objective is to study the axial splice scattering phenomenon. These other computational issues will not be considered and will be left to a future work. In addition to the DRP scheme, advanced computational aeroacoustics (CAA) boundary conditions are used in the computation. They include time-domain impedance boundary conditions, ghost-point method (for enforcing boundary conditions) as well as the Perfectly Matched Layer (PML).

The results of a parametric study are reported in this paper. The study focuses on four parameters of the acoustic scattering phenomenon. First, is the width of the axial splices. Our interest is to examine its effect on the energy flux of the scattered and transmitted wave modes. Our study suggests that if the width of the splices is not too narrow, the scattered modes essentially comprise of all the cut-on azimuthal modes and that the sound power levels (PWLs) of the scattered modes are nearly the same. This finding is consistent with the equal energy assumption widely used in duct aeroacoustics. However, if the splice width is narrow, PWL of the scattered duct modes is negligible. The second parameter investigated is the frequency of the incident duct mode. The results of our study show that the total PWL of all the scattered modes would be significant relative to the transmitted incident mode if the frequency is slightly above the cut-on frequency. On the other hand, if the frequency is well above cut-on frequency, then the increase in the total PWL of the scattered modes is relatively negligible.

The third parameter examined in this study is the number of splices. The results of our investigation reveal that a larger number of splices would result in a reduction in the PWL of the scattered acoustic wave modes. This is important from the point of view of minimizing noise radiation. An explanation for the reduction is provided. The fourth parameter investigated is the length of the axial splices and the location at which most of the scattering takes place. Extensive computed results show that acoustic scattering by axial liner splices is a local phenomenon. Most of the scattering takes place primarily near the junction of the duct where the incident wave mode first encounters the spliced liner. Thus a long axial splice and a medium length splice have practically the same effect.
The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II presents the computational model and numerical methods used in this work. Since a time domain approach is followed, the formulation of the time domain impedance boundary condition and its implementation for spliced liner are described. To ensure the numerical simulation is accurate and of high quality, the numerical code is validated by comparing the computed results with those of Tester et al. Tester et al.’s results are calculated by the ACTRAN code. Section III reports the results of a parametric study. This study focuses on the effects of splice width, the frequency of the incident duct mode, the number and length of the splices on the scattering phenomenon. Section IV summarizes the overall results of this work.

II. Computational Model and Numerical Methods

In this section, we will introduce the physical and computational model used in this investigation. This is followed by a description of the computational methods. The present computer code is validated by comparing computed results with those of Tester et al. Tester et al. employed the ACTRAN code that is based on the finite element method.

A. Computational Model

![Figure 1. Computation model.](image1)

![Figure 2. Spliced liner model.](image2)
The computational model, consisting of a circular duct encased by spliced liner and hard walls, is as shown in Figure 1. A three-dimensional sketch of the spliced liner section is given in Figure 2. The model was used previously by Regan and Eaton, McAlpine et al. and Tester et al. The hard wall on the right represents the space between the fan blade and the spliced liner. The hard wall on the left represents the hard wall segment at the inlet of the intake duct of a jet engine. The duct is assumed to have a constant diameter $D$ (radius $a = D/2$). The duct carries a uniform mean flow at Mach number $M_d$. A spinning duct mode of azimuthal mode number $m$ and frequency $(\text{blade passage frequency or interaction tone frequency})$ propagates upstream from the fan face on the right of Figure 1. The length of the liner is taken to be $L$. The resistance and reactance of the liner are $R$ and $X$, respectively. It will be assumed that the number of hard wall splices is $N_{seg}$.

A spinning duct mode propagates upstream from the hard wall region of the duct on the right to the spliced liner segment. Acoustic scattering takes place as soon as the incident duct mode reaches the junction between the hard wall and the spliced liner. The $N_{seg}$ rigid splices scatter the incident duct mode with azimuthal mode number $m$ into spinning duct modes of the same frequency but with azimuthal mode number equal to $m \pm j N_{seg}$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$). In addition to generating upstream propagating duct modes, there is back scattering of rigid wall duct modes. Of interest is the intensity of the transmitted acoustic waves in the hard wall region upstream of the spliced liner.

**B. Governing Equations**

Dimensionless variables are used in the computation. The scales used for non-dimensionalization purpose are,

- $D$ (diameter of the duct) = length scale,
- $a_0$ (speed of sound of gas) = velocity scale,
- $D/a_0$ = time scale,
- $\rho_0$ (gas density) = density scale,
- $\rho_0 a_0^2$ = pressure scale,
- $\rho_0 a_0$ = impedance scale.

The duct is assumed to carry a uniform mean flow (in the positive $x$ direction) at a Mach number $M_d$. The acoustic disturbances inside the duct are governed by the linearized Euler equations. In cylindrical coordinates $(r, \theta, x)$ and velocity components $(v, w, u)$, these equations are,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + M_d \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \frac{v}{r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0,$$

(1)

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + M_d \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r},$$

(2)

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + M_d \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r},$$

(3)

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + M_d \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r},$$

(4)

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + M_d \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \frac{v}{r} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0.$$}

(5)

For a spinning duct mode with azimuthal mode number $n$, the solution may be written in the form,
where $\text{Re}\{\}$ is the real part of $\{\}$.

Substitution of (6) into (1) to (5) gives the governing equations for $(\ddot{U}_n, \ddot{V}_n, \ddot{W}_n, \ddot{P}_n)$

\begin{align}
\frac{\partial \ddot{U}_n}{\partial t} + M_d \frac{\partial \ddot{U}_n}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \ddot{V}_n}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \ddot{V}_n + \frac{\partial \ddot{W}_n}{\partial x} &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial \ddot{V}_n}{\partial t} + M_d \frac{\partial \ddot{V}_n}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{r} \ddot{V}_n &= \left[ \partial \ddot{P}_n \partial \right]_x \\
\frac{\partial \ddot{V}_n}{\partial t} + M_d \frac{\partial \ddot{V}_n}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{r} \ddot{V}_n &= \left[ \partial \ddot{P}_n \partial \right]_r \\
\frac{\partial \ddot{W}_n}{\partial t} + M_d \frac{\partial \ddot{W}_n}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{r} \ddot{W}_n + \frac{\partial \ddot{W}_n}{\partial x} &= 0 \\
\frac{\partial \ddot{P}_n}{\partial t} + M_d \frac{\partial \ddot{P}_n}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{r} \ddot{P}_n + \frac{\partial \ddot{P}_n}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \ddot{W}_n}{\partial x} &= 0.
\end{align}

C. Time Domain Boundary Conditions at the Spliced Liner Surface

At the spliced liner surface, part of the surface is liner material and part of the surface is hard wall. On the part of the surface that is hard wall, the boundary condition is,

\begin{equation}
r = a, \quad v = 0 \quad (a = D/2).
\end{equation}

On the part of the surface with liner materials, time-domain impedance boundary condition is used. Let the surface impedance be $(e^{\omega t}$ time dependence assumed)

\begin{equation}
Z = R[iX]
\end{equation}

where $R$ and $X$ are the dimensionless resistance and reactance. Here the three parameters time domain impedance boundary condition of Tam and Auriault\textsuperscript{10} will be used. The reactance which may be positive or negative is parametrized by,

\begin{equation}
X = X_\parallel + X_\bot
\end{equation}

where $\parallel$ is the angular frequency of the incident sound. Numerical stability requires that $R > 0$, $X_\parallel < 0$ and $X_\perp > 0$. Here for a given impedance value $Z$ and frequency $\omega$, the two parameters of the model $X_\parallel$ and $X_\perp$ are computed by the following formulas,
It is easy to check that (15) satisfies (14) and the constraints on $X_{[1]}$ and $X_1$. Upon incorporating the Myers\textsuperscript{11} convective term, the time domain impedance boundary condition on the liner surface in a grazing flow at Mach $M_d$ is,

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + M_d \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = R \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - X_{[1]}v + X_1 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2}$$

(16)

Now (12) and (16) will be combined into a single spliced liner boundary condition. Let $N_{seg}$ be the number of axial splices. Then the angle $\theta_{HW}$ subtended by a hard wall splice is equal to $2W/D$ where $W$ is the width of a splice. Azimuthally, the spliced liner surface is a periodic function with period $2\pi/N_{seg}$.

Let $G(\phi)$ be a periodic function with period $2\pi/N_{seg}$ as shown in Figure 3. $G(\phi)$ takes the value of 1 for $0 < \phi < (2\pi N_{seg} - \theta_{HW})$ and zero for $(2\pi N_{seg} - \theta_{HW}) < \phi < 2\pi N_{seg}$. The graph of $G(\phi)$ may be expanded in a Fourier series in $\phi$.

$$G(\phi) = \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} c_s e^{isN_{seg}\phi}$$

(17)

where

$$c_s = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{2} e^{i\phi_{HW} N_{seg}} & s \neq 0 \\ \frac{i}{2} e^{i\phi_{HW} N_{seg}} & s = 0 \end{cases}$$

(18)

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Figure3.png}
\caption{Graph of function $G(\phi)$.}
\end{figure}
Now the combined boundary condition of (12) and (16) for the spliced liner may be written as

$$X_1 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} + R \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \square X_1 v = G(f) \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + M_d \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$$

(19)

It is easy to verify that on the liner surface, where \( G(f) = 1.0 \), (19) reduces to (16). On the surface of the rigid splices, where \( G(f) = 0 \), (19) reduces to

$$X_1 \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} + R \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \square X_1 v = 0.$$  

(20)

It is straightforward to show, if the initial conditions

$$v = 0, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad t = 0$$

(21)

are imposed, the solution of (20) is \( v = 0 \), which is the rigid wall boundary condition.

For convenience of enforcing boundary condition (19) at \( r = D/2 \), we will rewrite this equation as a system of first order equation in \( t \) by introducing an auxiliary variable \( q \) defined by

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = q$$

(22)

(19) may now be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} = \square \left[ R \frac{X_1}{X_1} q + \frac{X_1}{X_1} v \left( \frac{1}{X_1} G(f) \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \frac{v}{r} + \frac{1}{X_1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \right].$$

(23)

In deriving (23), use has been made of (5). Finally, by replacing the time derivative of \( v \) in (2) at \( r = D/2 \) by \( q \) according to (22), a time derivative free boundary condition is derived.

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial r} = \square M_d \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}.$$  

(24)

In summary, the spliced liner surface boundary conditions at \( r = D/2 \) are (22), (23) and (24).

**D. Computational Algorithm**

Due to scattering by the liner splices, the sound field inside the duct would consist of many azimuthal modes. The mode numbers are spaced by \( N_{seg} \) apart. The full solution inside the duct may be expressed in the form,

$$u(r, \theta, x, t) = \sum_{m = -\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \tilde{u}_{m+j}(r, x, t) e^{i(m+j)N_{seg} \theta} \right]$$

(25)

where \( m \) is the azimuthal mode number of the incident duct mode.

The incident and scattered modes are coupled by the spliced liner surface boundary conditions (22), (23) and (24). It is easy to see that only surface boundary condition (23) causes mode coupling. The amplitude functions of the incident and scattered duct modes of (25), i.e., \( \left( \tilde{u}_{m+j}, \tilde{v}_{m+j}, \tilde{w}_{m+j}, \tilde{p}_{m+j} \right) \) are calculated by (8) to (11) with \( n \) replaced by \( m + jN_{seg} \). The values of \( \tilde{v}_{m+j} \) at \( r = D/2 \) are, however, not computed this way, since at this \( r \) location
(8) has been effectively replaced by boundary condition (24). Each value of \( \hat{v}_{m+j} \) is computed by a time marching method according to (22). Upon equating the azimuthal Fourier components in \( \hat{q} \) (22) becomes

\[
\frac{\partial \hat{v}_{m+j}}{\partial t} = \hat{q}_{m+j}.
\]  

(26)

To enforce boundary condition (24), the ghost point method\(^\text{12}\) is employed. For this purpose, a row of ghost value of \( p \) at \( k = M + 1 \) is introduced. \( k \) is the mesh index in the \( r \)-direction. \( k = M \) corresponds to \( r = D/2 \) as shown in Figure 4. In the boundary region, at or adjacent to \( k = M \), 7-point backward difference stencils are used to compute the \( r \)-derivative. The \( r \)-derivative stencils for all the variables, with the exception for \( p \), terminate at \( k = M \) (see Figure 4). Those for \( p \) terminate at the ghost point \( k = M + 1 \). The discretized form of (24) for each azimuthal mode, is (\( l \) is the mesh index in the \( x \)-direction; superscript \( n \) is the time level).

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{r} \sum_{s=3}^{1} a_{s}^{15} \hat{p}_{m+j}^{(n)} \mid _{\ell,M+s} &= \frac{M}{r} \sum_{x=3}^{3} a_{x} \hat{q}_{m+j}^{(n)} \mid _{\ell,M} \\
\frac{1}{r} \sum_{s=3}^{1} a_{s}^{15} \hat{v}_{m+j}^{(n)} \mid _{\ell,x,M+s} &= \frac{M}{r} \sum_{x=3}^{3} a_{x} \hat{q}_{m+j}^{(n)} \mid _{\ell,x,M} \\
\end{align*}
\]  

(27)

Thus on solving for the ghost value, \( (\hat{p}_{m+j})^{(n)} \mid _{\ell,M+1} \), it is found

\[
(\hat{p}_{m+j})^{(n)} \mid _{\ell,M+1} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{s=3}^{1} a_{s}^{15} \hat{q}_{m+j}^{(n)} \mid _{\ell,M} \\
\]  

(27)

This is the formula by which the ghost values are found.

To implement the remaining boundary condition (23), the first step is to substitute (25) into (23) and equate terms according to the azimuthal mode number. This leads to an infinite system of equations. A finite system may be derived by dropping the azimuthal modes outside the range of importance. Suppose the scattered modes are limited to \(-J \leq j \leq K\), then (23) leads to
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This is the equation for $\hat{q}_{m+j}$.

In this work, governing equations (8) to (11) for each retained azimuthal mode ($m – J \leq n \leq m + K$) as well as (26) and (28) are solved computationally by discretizing the system of equations according to the 7-point stencil DRP scheme\textsuperscript{13}. To suppress possible numerical instability and to remove spurious short waves, artificial selective damping\textsuperscript{14} is added to the discretized equations. It is known that time domain impedance boundary condition with Myers\textsuperscript{15} convective term included is subjected to Kelvin-Helmholtz instability\textsuperscript{10}. This instability is suppressed by artificial selective damping. The computation is carried out on a Cartesian mesh in the $x - r$ plane within the computation domain as shown in Figure 5. For each azimuthal mode, the axis boundary treatment developed by Shen and Tam\textsuperscript{33} is imposed. This boundary treatment eliminates the apparent singularity of the system of equations (8) to (11) at the axis ($r = 0$). At the duct wall ($r = D/2$) outside the spliced liner region, boundary condition (12) is used. This boundary condition is again enforced by the ghost point method.

At the two open ends of the computation domain (see Figure 5), Perfectly Matched Layers (PML), as proposed by Hu\textsuperscript{16} are added. The PML absorb all outgoing waves. On the right boundary, split variables are used to allow the incident duct mode to enter the computation domain from the PML region.

![Figure 5. The computational Domain](image)

To start the computation, all variables are set equal to zero inside the computation domain. The incident acoustic wave mode propagates into the computation domain from the right. This begins the transient period of the computation. The computation continues and the numerical solution is monitored at a number of well-chosen locations. A stopping criterion is set based on how close the solution is to its former value at the monitoring stations after a period according to the incident wave. Numerical results are measured only after further computation over a few more periods.

E. Code Validation

For the purpose of validating the present time-domain code, the recently published results of Tester et al\textsuperscript{9} are used. Tester et al computed their results by means of the ACTRAN code. ACTRAN is based on a finite element formulation. In the work of Tester et al., there is no discussion of mesh design and numerical resolution. It will, therefore, be assumed that a very fine mesh and long computing time were used to obtain their results.

The resolution of the present time-domain code was tested by comparing the numerical results with exact solution for the case of a spinning acoustic mode propagating down a hard wall duct. Comparisons of the mode
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shape including more than one radial mode were made. Excellent agreements were found. The tests were conducted over a range of frequencies and azimuthal mode numbers. In addition to direct comparisons with exact analytical solution for hard wall duct modes, grid refinement tests were also carried out for ducts with spliced liners. The final mesh size adopted for the code yielded numerical solutions that were quite insensitive to mesh refinement.

Two cases are considered in the paper by Tester et al. One is at zero flow Mach number. The other case is at $M_d = 0.4$. The incident duct mode has a frequency equal to 1.1 cut-on frequency. Other details of their model problem are as follows,

Radius of duct (denoted by $a$) = 50 inches
Impedance of liner, $Z = 2 + i$ (time factor = $e^{-iWt}$)
Length of liner ($L$) = 24 inches ($L/a = 0.48$)
Number of splices = 2
Width of splices = 3 inches
Azimuthal mode number of incident wave = 26

1. No Mean Flow Case

In this case, the frequency of the incident wave is 1331.9 Hz. Figure 6 shows a comparison of the results of the present time domain code and those of ACTRAN. This figure shows the axial distribution of Sound Power Level (PWL) in dB (Re: PWL of incident wave mode at $x/a = 0.48$.) The spliced liner extends from $x/a = 0.0$ to 0.48. In this figure, the full lines are the results of time domain computation and the dashed lines are the results of Tester et al. It is evident that the numerical results are in close agreement. This is true for the PWL of the total transmitted sound as well as the incident and the individual scattered azimuthal modes. At the end of the spliced liner region ($x/a = 0.0$), the incident wave mode is significantly damped. Its PWL is about 4 dB below the PWL of the scattered wave modes. In the present computation, a grid refinement has been performed. The results reported here are regarded as grid converged.

![Figure 6. Axial distribution of transmitted wave energy. Flow Mach number = 0. Solid lines — present results; Dashed lines — ACTRAN results by Tester et al.](image)

2. Mach Number 0.4 Case

In this case, the frequency of the incident duct mode is 1220.7 Hz. Figure 7 shows a comparison of the present computed axial distributions of PWL and those of Tester et al. There are good agreements with respect to the total transmitted acoustic power as well as the PWL of individual scattered azimuthal modes. This is true over the entire
length of the acoustic liner. However, in the absence of error estimates from the ACTRAN code computation, it is not possible to ascertain the reason for the slight differences in the two sets of computed results.

![Figure 7. Axial distribution of transmitted wave energy. Flow Mach number = 0.4. Solid lines — present results; Dashed lines — ACTRAN results by Tester et al.](image)

III. A Parametric Study

A parametric study focused on four parameters of the spliced liner acoustic scattering phenomenon has been carried out. The study concentrates on the following effects and questions.

A. Effect of Splice Width

![Figure 8. Comparison of the transmitted wave energy flux (PWL) for splices with width = 3”, 1”, 0.5”, 0”.](image)
In this study, the effect of the width of the splices on the effectiveness of acoustic scattering is examined. Figure 8 shows a comparison of the axial distribution of the total transmitted acoustic power (PWL) for the test case specified in section IIE (the same test conditions as Tester et al.) at a mean flow Mach number 0.4 and different splice widths. In addition to the case with splice width equal to 3” (shown in Figure 7), the cases of 1”, 0.5” and 0” (uniform liner) are also shown. Clearly, as anticipated, the intensity of scattered acoustic waves increases with splice width, all other variables are held fixed. For splice width 0.5” and narrower, there is little acoustic scattering.

Figure 9. Distribution of total transmitted PWL in azimuthal modes upstream of the spliced liner.
Two 3” splices.

Figure 10. Distribution of total transmitted PWL in azimuthal modes upstream of the spliced liner.
Two 1” splices.
Figure 11. Distribution of total transmitted PWL in azimuthal modes upstream of the spliced liner. Two 0.5” splices.

Figure 12. Distribution of transmitted PWL in radial modes for azimuthal mode $m = 0$ in the region upstream of the spliced liner. Two 3” splices.

To obtain a better understanding of the scattering phenomenon, the distribution of total transmitted wave energy (PWL) for all azimuthal modes at the hard wall region upstream of the acoustic liner is plotted in Figure 9 for the splices with 3” width. It is easy to see that the scattered wave energy is concentrated in the propagating azimuthal modes (based on an equivalent solid wall duct consideration). The PWL of the propagating modes of the scattered waves is almost the same. In this case, the level of each scattered mode is higher than that of the incident wave mode. Figures 10 and 11 show similar results for splices with a width of 1” and 1/2”, respectively. Again, most of the transmitted energy of the scattered modes is concentrated on the propagating modes. The level of the propagating modes is again nearly constant. In both of these cases, the incident wave mode has amplitude higher than that of the scattered modes.
Figures 12, 13 and 14 show the distribution of PWL in radial modes for the $m = 0, 8$ and $16$ azimuthal modes of Figure 9. These figures reveal that most of the acoustic energy of an azimuthal mode is concentrated in the high order propagating radial modes. This energy concentration in the high order radial modes turns out to be true in all the cases computed in the present parametric study. Since the energy of low order modes is concentrated near the duct wall whereas that of the high order modes is spread out more evenly over the cross section of the duct, the scattering phenomenon has the tendency to equalize energy distribution in a duct.

![Figure 13: Distribution of transmitted PWL in radial modes for azimuthal mode $m = 8$ in the region upstream of the spliced liner. Two 3" splices.](image1)

![Figure 14: Distribution of transmitted PWL in radial modes for azimuthal mode $m = 16$ in the region upstream of the spliced liner. Two 3" splices.](image2)

**B. Effect of Frequency**

How important is frequency as a parameter of the spliced liner scattering phenomenon? To examine the effect of frequency, 3 cases are studied using the Tester et al. problem but at 1.5, 1.1 and 0.9 cut-on frequency. Figure 15
shows the axial distribution of the PWL of the incident wave mode, the sum of the PWL of all waves and the PWL of a few selected scattered waves at a 1.5 cut-on frequency. It is clear from the results shown that at high frequency the amplitude of the total acoustic wave is nearly the same as that of the incident wave mode \((m = 26)\). Thus acoustic scattering is not important. Figure 16 shows the distribution of PWL of all the transmitted waves in azimuthal modes. The PWL of the scattered wave modes is over 30 dB below that of the incident mode.

**Figure 15.** Axial distribution of transmitted wave energy. Flow Mach number = 0.4. Two 3” splices. Frequency = 1664 Hz; frequency = 1.5 cut-on frequency

The case of incident wave at a slightly above cut-on frequency is shown in Figure 17. It is to be noted that at this frequency the total PWL of the transmitted scattered waves is 19 dB higher than that of the incident mode. Figure 18
shows similar axial distribution at 0.9 cut-on frequency. In this case the incident wave mode is heavily damped. At the end of the liner region, only the scattered waves remain. Figure 19 shows the distribution of PWL of the total transmitted waves in azimuthal modes. The intensity of the scattered waves is comparable to the case at 1.1 cut-on frequency. Acoustic scattering is, without question, important for incident mode at below cut-on frequency.

Figure 17. Axial distribution of transmitted wave energy. Flow Mach number = 0.4. Two 3” splices. Frequency = 1221 Hz ; frequency = 1.1 cut-on frequency

Figure 18. Axial distribution of transmitted wave energy. Flow Mach number = 0.4. Two 3” splices. Frequency = 998.8 Hz ; frequency = 0.9 cut-on frequency
C. Effect of Number of Splices

Suppose the total width of hard wall splices is fixed. Is it advantageous to use a larger number of narrower splices? For instance, in the problem considered by Tester et al., the total splice width is 6”. By keeping the total width at 6”, one can use two 3” splices or four 1.5” splices. Figure 20 shows the axial distributions of the PWL of the total transmitted waves for both cases. It is evident that there is more than 3 dB less transmitted sound when four splices are used. In other words, there is a definite advantage in using a larger number of splices for a fixed total width. As another example, Figure 21 shows the results of the same problem but for a total splice width of 12”. In this figure, the axial distributions of the PWL of the transmitted waves for two 6” splices and four 3” splices are provided. It is clear that the use of more splices has an advantage of slightly over 3 dB.

Figure 19. Distribution of total transmitted PWL in azimuthal modes upstream of the spliced liner.
Frequency = 998.8 Hz; frequency = 0.9 cut-on frequency

Figure 20. Axial distribution of transmitted wave energy. Flow Mach number = 0.4.
Total width of splices = 6”. Frequency = 1221 Hz; frequency = 1.1 cut-on frequency
Figure 21. Axial distribution of transmitted wave energy. Flow Mach number = 0.4. Total width of splices = 12”. Frequency = 1221 Hz; frequency = 1.1 cut-on frequency

Figure 22. Distribution of total transmitted PWL in azimuthal modes upstream of the spliced liner. Two 3” splices. Frequency = 1221 Hz.
Figure 23. Distribution of total transmitted PWL in azimuthal modes upstream of the spliced liner. Four 1.5” splices. Frequency = 1221 Hz.

Figure 24. Distribution of total transmitted PWL in azimuthal modes upstream of the spliced liner. Two 6” splices. Frequency = 1221 Hz.
The reason why there is an advantage in using a larger number of splices can be found by examining the distribution of PWL of the total transmitted waves in azimuthal modes. Figures 22 and 23 show the distribution for the case of two 3” spliced and four 1.5” splices. For both cases, the transmitted acoustic energy is associated mainly with the propagating modes as mentioned before. It is to be noted that the levels of the propagating azimuthal modes are about the same for both cases. Now for the four splices configuration, the scattered azimuthal modes have mode numbers separated by 4 (i.e. \( m = 26 \pm 4j, j = 0,1,2,\ldots \)) while those for the 2 splices configuration have mode numbers separated by 2 (i.e. \( m = 26 \pm 2j, j = 0,1,2 \ldots \)). Thus there are nearly twice as many propagating azimuthal modes for the two splices configuration as the four splices configuration. This difference accounts for 3 dB less transmitted wave energy for the four splices configuration. Figures 24 and 25 show similar distribution of PWL in azimuthal modes for the two 6” splices and the four 3” splices configurations. Again, the two splice configuration has nearly twice as many propagating azimuthal modes. This results in 3 dB more in the total transmitted acoustic energy.

D. Effect of Splice Length

Extensive computation of the spliced liner acoustic scattering phenomenon suggests that scattering is confined mainly to the region close to the junction between the hard wall and the spliced liner near the fan face. To demonstrate that scattering is, indeed, a local phenomenon, the scattering problem of Tester et al. is reconsidered with the modification that the splices have a length shorter than the acoustic liner. Figure 26 shows a three-dimensional view of the modified configuration of the spliced liner. Figure 27 shows the computation domain of the modified configuration. Figure 28 shows the axial distributions of PWL of the total transmitted acoustic waves for the four cases with hard wall splice length equal to 24” (same length as the liner), 12”, 6” and 0” (no splice). It is readily seen that the transmitted acoustic energy is nearly identical for splice length of 12” and 24”. The axial distribution differs only slightly for splice length of 6”. This result strongly indicates that most of the acoustic scattering takes place over a length of approximately 6” to 8”.

Figure 25. Distribution of total transmitted PWL in azimuthal modes upstream of the spliced liner. Four 3” splices. Frequency = 1221 Hz.
Figure 26. Modified spliced liner model.

Figure 27. Modified computational model.

Figure 29 shows the distribution of the PWL of the transmitted sound in azimuthal modes at the upstream hard wall region of the duct for splice length equal to 6”, 12” and 24”. Again, it is readily seen the intensities of the scattered propagating wave modes are nearly the same regardless whether the splice length is 12” or 24”. The intensities are slightly reduced for the 6” length splices. The fact that there is almost no difference between the 12” and 24” long splices further confirms the belief that acoustic scattering is quite local. There is little scattering beyond the first 12” measured from the downstream end of the acoustic liner.
Figure 28. Axial distribution of transmitted wave Energy. Flow Mach number = 0.4.
Two 3” wide splices. Length of splices = 24”, 12”, 6”, 0”. Frequency = 1221 Hz.

Figure 29. Distribution of total transmitted PWL in azimuthal modes upstream of the spliced liner.
Two 3” wide splices. Length of splices = 24”, 12”, 6”. Frequency = 1221 Hz.

IV. Summary
The scattering of acoustic duct modes by axial liner splices is a rather complex phenomenon. It is influenced by a host of parameters. This work concentrates on the study of four aspects of the phenomenon. The effect of splice width is first examined. It is found that scattering increases with splice width. However, for a typical modern day jet engine, scattering is not important if the splice width is less than 0.5 inches (1.77 cm). Extensive computations
indicate that scattering by liner splices tends to result in relatively uniform distribution of scattered wave energy inside the duct. It is observed that all the scattered cut-on azimuthal modes, generally, have nearly equal amplitude. In addition, for each cut-on azimuthal mode, the high order cut-on radial mode consistently has the high amplitude in all the computed results. These high order radial modes have a more even energy distribution across the cross-section of the duct whereas low order radial modes concentrate their energy and fluctuations close to the duct wall.

Whether the scattering phenomenon under study significantly increases the transmitted wave energy depends strongly on the incident mode frequency. For duct modes at a frequency much higher than the cut-on frequency, the amplitude of the incident mode is, generally, not damped by the liner. Because of this, the total energy of the scattered modes is small compared to that of the incident mode at the inlet of the duct. Thus scattering by liner splices have little effect on the radiated noise. At slightly above cut-on frequency, an incident duct mode would be heavily damped unless the liner is very short. On the other hand, the cut-on scattered modes would not experience significant damping by the liner. In this case, the scattered wave modes could cause a large increase in the transmitted acoustic wave energy when compared with that of the liner without splices. This is extremely undesirable. At below cut-on frequency, the energy of the scattered cut-on modes at the duct inlet is usually larger than that of the incident mode. To minimize the effect of scattering, it is recommended that liner splices be installed far away from the fan face.

If the surface area of liner splices is kept fixed, then it is advantageous to use a large number of narrow splices. This is because the azimuthal mode number of the scattered mode are spaced further apart when there is a larger number of splices. In other words, when there are more splices, although they are narrower, there are fewer scattered cut-on azimuthal modes. Since the magnitudes of the energy of the scattered cut-on azimuthal modes tend to be more or less the same, the result is that the transmitted wave energy is less when there are more splices.

The present study establishes that acoustic scattering by liner splices is a local phenomenon. For modern commercial jet engines, most scattering takes place over a short length of the splices (approximately 12 inches in length). The remaining length of the splices does not seem to cause further increase in the energy of the scattered waves.

Finally, a search of the literature reveals that most previous works on scattering by liner splices use the frequency domain approach in their computation. The present investigation is one of the first to perform all computations in the time domain. It is demonstrated that time domain results are as accurate as those of high quality frequency domain computation. Time domain computation has the intrinsic advantages that nonlinear effects as well as broadband sound may easily be included in the calculation. However, due to the limited scope of this work, these advantages have yet to be demonstrated.
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