

> restart, t0 := time() :
 > H0 := binomial(n, k)^7;

$$H0 := \binom{n}{k}^7 \quad (1)$$

> H := H0 / (2 * n + 3 * k);

$$H := \frac{\binom{n}{k}^7}{2n + 3k} \quad (2)$$

The telescoper L in Q(n)[Sn] of H is very large. Instead of computing L all at once, lets try to find factors of L, one at a time.

Factors correspond to submodules or quotient modules. Lets try to find some.

Finding a natural submodule N of M.

Let Omega = Q(n, k) * H0. This is a Q(n,k)[Sn, Sn^(-1), Sk, Sk^(-1)]-module.

Let M = Omega / Delta_k(Omega). This is a Q(n)[Sn, Sn^(-1)]-module.

Goal: compute the telescoper of H, which is the minimal annihilator L for the image of H in M.

If you apply Sn or Sk to an element of Omega, then H0 gets multiplied by:

> R1 := simplify(convert((subs(n = n + 1, H0) / H0), GAMMA));

$$R1 := - \frac{(n + 1)^7}{(-n + k - 1)^7} \quad (1.1)$$

> R2 := simplify(convert((subs(k = k + 1, H0) / H0), GAMMA));

$$R2 := - \frac{(-n + k)^7}{(k + 1)^7} \quad (1.2)$$

Let N be the image of Q(n)[k][Sn, Sn^(-1)] * H0 in M.

This is a natural submodule of M that does NOT contain H. To see this, note that Sn, Sn^(-1), Sk, Sk^(-1) can only introduce denominators of the form

n + integer, k + integer, k-n + integer

but not 2n + 3k + integer.

The minimal annihilator of H in M/N is a right-factor R the telescoper L.

Computing the annihilator R of the image of H in M/N.

If $R(H)$ is zero in M/N , then $R(H)$ is in N , which means the denominator $2n + 3k$ seen in H is gone. To get rid of this denominator, we need to cancel it against something with the same denominator.

> $H_shift := subs(n = n + 3, k = k - 2, H);$

$$H_shift := \frac{\begin{pmatrix} n + 3 \\ k - 2 \end{pmatrix}^7}{2n + 3k} \quad (2.1)$$

H_shift and $Sn^3(H)$ have the same image in M because k -shifts act trivially on M .

Next, we want to find r in $Q(n)$ such that $r * H$ has the same residue as H_shift (so that their denominators cancel).

> $RatFunction := simplify(convert(H_shift / H, GAMMA)) :$

$r := factor(subs(k = -2/3 * n, RatFunction));$ # quotient of residues

$$r := \frac{1338925209984 (n + 2)^7 (n + 1)^7 n^7 (2n + 3)^7}{78125 (5n + 12)^7 (5n + 9)^7 (5n + 6)^7 (5n + 3)^7} \quad (2.2)$$

> $R := Sn^3 - r;$ # should cancel out $2 \cdot n + 3 \cdot h$

$$R := Sn^3 - \frac{1338925209984 (n + 2)^7 (n + 1)^7 n^7 (2n + 3)^7}{78125 (5n + 12)^7 (5n + 9)^7 (5n + 6)^7 (5n + 3)^7} \quad (2.3)$$

> $R := collect(primpart(R, Sn), Sn, factor);$ # make R fraction-free.

$$R := 78125 Sn^3 (5n + 12)^7 (5n + 9)^7 (5n + 6)^7 (5n + 3)^7 - 1338925209984 (n + 2)^7 (n + 1)^7 n^7 (2n + 3)^7 \quad (2.4)$$

Even though the telescoper L of H is very large, we found an order-3 right-factor of L with practically zero CPU time!

The corresponding left-factor is the telescoper of $R(H)$.

Lets compute this next.

R annihilates H in M/N , therefore, $R(H)$ is in N .

A basis of N .

N is the image of $Q(n)[k][Sn, Sn^{(-1)}] * H_0$ in M .

Reducing modulo $\Delta_k(\Omega)$, standard procedure in telescoping algorithms, will simplify every element of N to this form:

$$R * H_0$$

with R in $Q(n)[k]$ and $\text{degree}(R, k) \leq 6$. So this is a $Q(n)$ -basis of N :

Basis = $\{1, k, k^2, k^3, k^4, k^5, k^6\}$ (where we omitted the factor H_0).

Conclusion: N is a $Q(n)[Sn]$ -module of dimension 7.

So any element of N has an annihilator of order ≤ 7 .

L = the annihilator of R(H) times R.

Reducing R(H) to express it in terms of a basis of N.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &> RH := lcoeff(R, Sn) * subs(n = n + 3, k = k - 2, H) + tcoeff(R, Sn) * H; \\
 RH &:= \frac{78125 (5n + 12)^7 (5n + 9)^7 (5n + 6)^7 (5n + 3)^7 \binom{n+3}{k-2}^7}{2n + 3k} \\
 &- \frac{1338925209984 (n + 2)^7 (n + 1)^7 n^7 (2n + 3)^7 \binom{n}{k}^7}{2n + 3k}
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

In order to represent R(H) with a rational function, we divide RH by H0:

```

> RHd := normal(simplify(convert(subs(k = n - k, RH/H0), GAMMA))) :
# subs(k = n - k..) makes it easier to code the reduction:

for j from 4 to 0 by -1 do
  G := add(c[i] * k^i, i = 0..6) / (k + j)^`if`(j = 0, 0, 7);
  G := subs(k = k + 1, G) * ((n - k) / (k + 1))^7 - G;
  eq := {coeffs(rem(numer(normal((RHd - G) * (k + j + 1)^7)), (k + j + 1)^7, k),
k)};
  RHd := normal(RHd - subs(solve(eq, {seq(c[i], i = 0..6)}), G));
od:

```

Above we applied an ad-hoc reduction of R(H) modulo $\Delta_k(\Omega)$, to write R(H) as a $Q(n)$ -linear combination of $\{1, k, k^2, k^3, k^4, k^5, k^6\}$ (times H0).

We'll actually use a slightly different basis, the reason will be explained in the next section.

$$\begin{aligned}
 > BasisN := [1, u, u^2, u^3, v, v \cdot u, v \cdot u^2]; \\
 BasisN &:= [1, u, u^2, u^3, v, v u, v u^2]
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 > u &:= k * (n - k); \quad \# \text{Invariant under } \phi \text{ (more details in the next section)} \\
 v &:= k - (n - k); \quad \# \text{Anti-invariant under } \phi \\
 u &:= k (n - k) \\
 v &:= 2k - n
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 > \{coeffs(collect(RHd - add(c[i] * u^i, i = 0..3) + v * add(d[i] * u^i, i = 0..2), k), k)\} : \\
 Decomp &:= factor(solve(%, {seq(c[i], i = 0..3), seq(d[i], i = 0..2)})) :
 \end{aligned}$$

This computation wrote R(H) as a linear combination of BasisN (omitting the factor H0).

Using automorphisms to construct submodules.

The Zeilberger program in Maple takes 31.5 seconds to compute the telescoper L of H.

It has order 10. That is not surprising because R has order 3, and R(H) is in N, which is a module of dimension 7.

We computed this order-3 right-factor R of L in about 0.01 seconds, a **tiny** fraction of the time it takes to compute the full telescopers.

The idea was to compute in M/N instead of in M.

Lets try something similar for computing the telescoper of R(H), the left-factor of L that we still have to find.

Let

$\phi: N \rightarrow N$ send k to $n-k$.

This is an **automorphism** of N because $\phi(H_0) = H_0$.

It has order 2, so it has eigenvalues +1 and -1.

Let N^+ be the eigenspace for +1, and N^- be the eigenspace for -1.

If $u = k * (n-k)$ then $\phi(u) = u$. So a basis for N^+ is: 1, u, u^2 , ...

If $v = k - (n-k)$ then $\phi(v) = -v$. So a basis of N^- is: v, $v * u$, $v^2 * u$, ...

In a previous section, we wrote R(H) as a linear combination of the basis elements of N^+ and N^- . This gives us the projections of R(H) on N^+ and on N^- .

Let L^+ be the annihilator of the projection of R(H) on N^+ .

Let L^- be the annihilator for the projection of R(H) on N^- .

To compute L^+ we first compute the action of S_n on the basis of N^+ .

Then we get L^+ via a cyclic vector computation.

The action of S_n on a basis of N^+

Here we combine the basis elements in B^+ by taking a linear combination with variables $c[i]$ as weights.

This way we can apply S_n to all elements of B^+ at once.

$$\begin{aligned} > BP := add(c[i] * u^i, i = 0..3); \\ & \quad BP := c_0 + c_1 k (n - k) + c_2 k^2 (n - k)^2 + c_3 k^3 (n - k)^3 \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Apply S_n to "basis" BP:

$$\begin{aligned} > SnBP := subs(n = n + 1, BP) * ((n + 1) / (n - k + 1))^7 : \\ & \quad SnBP := subs(k = n - k, SnBP) : \end{aligned}$$

Take a generic element of $\Delta_k(\Omega)$ (with the factor H_0 removed)

$$> G := add(e[i] * k^i, i = 0..6) :$$

$$G := \text{subs}(k = k + 1, G) * ((n - k) / (k + 1))^{*7} - G :$$

Now reduce modulo G; compute the unknown coefficients in G.

$$\begin{aligned} > \text{sol} := \text{solve}(\{\text{coeffs}(\text{rem}(\text{normal}((\text{SnBP} - G) * (k + 1)^7), (k + 1)^7, k), k)\}, \text{indets}(G) \\ & \quad \text{minus} \{k, n\}) : \\ \text{SnBP} & := \text{normal}(\text{SnBP} - \text{subs}(\text{sol}, G)) : \end{aligned}$$

Rewrite in terms of the basis $1, u, u^2, \dots$ of N^+ instead of the basis $1, k, k^2, \dots$ of N .
Then we can read off the matrix M .

$$\begin{aligned} > \text{SnBP} & := \text{evala}(\text{subs}(k = \text{RootOf}(u - U, k), \text{SnBP})) : \# \text{ Write SnBP in terms of } u \text{ instead of } k. \\ M & := \text{Matrix}([\text{seq}([\text{seq}(\text{coeff}(\text{coeff}(\text{SnBP}, c[i]), U, j)), i = 0..3]), j = 0..3]); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M := & \left[\left[\frac{1717 n^6 + 1293 n^5 + 730 n^4 + 306 n^3 + 93 n^2 + 19 n + 2}{(n + 1)^6}, \right. \right. & (6.2) \\ & \frac{(462 n^5 + 330 n^4 + 165 n^3 + 55 n^2 + 11 n + 1) n}{(n + 1)^4}, \\ & \left. \frac{(126 n^4 + 84 n^3 + 36 n^2 + 9 n + 1) n^2}{(n + 1)^2}, (35 n^3 + 21 n^2 + 7 n + 1) n^3 \right], \\ & \left[-\frac{14 (643 n^4 + 355 n^3 + 138 n^2 + 34 n + 4)}{(n + 1)^6}, \right. \\ & -\frac{2441 n^4 + 1315 n^3 + 485 n^2 + 111 n + 12}{(n + 1)^4}, -\frac{672 n^4 + 348 n^3 + 117 n^2 + 23 n + 2}{(n + 1)^2}, \\ & \left. - (189 n^3 + 91 n^2 + 25 n + 3) n \right], \\ & \left[\frac{42 (263 n^2 + 75 n + 10)}{(n + 1)^6}, \frac{14 (215 n^2 + 61 n + 8)}{(n + 1)^4}, \frac{5 (167 n^2 + 47 n + 6)}{(n + 1)^2}, 238 n^2 \right. \\ & \left. + 66 n + 8 \right], \\ & \left[-\frac{1848}{(n + 1)^6}, -\frac{504}{(n + 1)^4}, -\frac{140}{(n + 1)^2}, -40 \right] \end{aligned}$$

M gives the action of S_n on the basis B^+

Computing L^+ with a cyclic vector computation using matrix M .

The projection of $R(H)$ on N^+ written in terms of basis B^+ is given by:

$$\left[> V[0] := [\text{seq}(\text{subs}(\text{Decomp}, c[i]), i = 0..3)] : \right.$$

Use matrix M (the action of Sn on B+) to apply Sn four times:

```
> for i to 4 do
  V[i] := map(factor, convert(M . Vector(subs(n = n + 1, V[i-1])), list))
od:
```

A linear relation between V[0] .. V[4] gives L_plus:

```
> L_plus := subs(solve({op(add(c[i]*~ V[i], i = 0..4))}, {seq(c[i], i = 0..4)}), add(c[i]*Sn
  ^i, i = 0..4)) :
L_plus := collect(primpart(L_plus, Sn), Sn) : # Large expression, use ; instead of : to view it
```

The same computation for L-

```
> BM := v * add(d[i] * u^i, i = 0..2) : # Basis for N-
# Applying Sn:
SnBM := subs(n = n + 1, BM) * ((n + 1) / (n - k + 1))^7 :
SnBM := subs(k = n - k, SnBM) :

sol := solve({coeffs(rem(normal((SnBM - G) * (k + 1)^7), (k + 1)^7, k), k)},
  indets(G) minus {k, n}) :
SnBM := normal(SnBM - subs(sol, G)) : # Reduction mod Delta_k(Omega).
SnBM := evala(subs(k = RootOf(u - U, k), -SnBM/v)) : # Write SnBM in terms of B-
M := Matrix([seq([seq(factor(coeff(coeff(SnBM, d[i]), U, j)), i = 0..2)], j = 0..2)]);
```

$$M := \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{131n^4 + 160n^3 + 100n^2 + 34n + 5}{(n+1)^4}, & -\frac{42n^4 + 48n^3 + 27n^2 + 8n + 1}{(n+1)^2}, & \\ - (14n^3 + 14n^2 + 6n + 1)n, & & \\ \left[\frac{14(17n^2 + 10n + 2)}{(n+1)^4}, \frac{79n^2 + 46n + 9}{(n+1)^2}, 28n^2 + 16n + 3 \right], & & \\ \left[-\frac{42}{(n+1)^4}, -\frac{14}{(n+1)^2}, -5 \right] \end{bmatrix}, \quad (8.1)$$

This matrix gives the action of Sn on the basis B-

Use it to compute L-, the annihilator of the projection of R(H) on N-

```
> V[0] := [seq(subs(Decomp, d[i]), i = 0..2)] :
# Projection of R(H) on N- written in terms of basis B-
for i to 3 do
  V[i] := map(factor, convert(M . Vector(subs(n = n + 1, V[i-1])), list))
od:
L_minus := subs(solve({op(add(d[i]*~ V[i], i = 0..3))}, {seq(d[i], i = 0..3)}), add(d[i]
  * Sn^i, i = 0..3)) :
L_minus := collect(primpart(L_minus, Sn), Sn) :
```

The complete telescoper for H.

We started by computing a right-factor R of the telescoper.
 This R was the minimal operator that can remove the $2n+3k$ denominator,
 i.e. the minimal operator for which $R(H)$ is in N .

The corresponding left-factor is the telescoper of $R(H)$.

Because we found an automorphism, we could decompose N as a direct sum of two submodules, N^+ and N^- .

Annihilating $R(H)$ is equivalent to annihilating both of its components.
 The annihilators of these components were L^+ and L^- .

Hence: The telescoper of $R(H)$ is $LCLM(L^+, L^-)$.

and: The telescoper of H is $LCLM(L^+, L^-)$ times R .

This telescoper is of the form: $L = LCLM(\text{order4}, \text{order3})$ times order3 .

We computed these factors R , L^+ , and L^- in this amount of time:

```
> time( ) - t0;
                                1.874                                (9.1)
```

Which is **many times faster** than Maple's Zeilberger algorithm takes to compute L .
 Moreover, the factored form is **more useful** since it is much smaller in size.

Elements of N^- are anti-symmetric and contribute 0 to the sequence $\text{sum}(H, k = 0..n)$ ($n=1,2,\dots$).

So L^- contributes 0 to the sequence.

Hence: L^+ times R will also annihilate the sequence.

It has order 7 and is the minimal recurrence.

Exercises

Let $H_0 = \text{binomial}(n, k)^s$.

Let $\Omega = Q(n,k) * H_0$.

Let $M = \Omega / \Delta_k(\Omega)$.

Let $N = \text{image of } Q(n)[S_n, S_n^{(-1)}] * H_0 \text{ in } M$.

Let $r = \text{floor}((s+1)/2)$.

(1) Show that $\Delta_k(\Omega)$ contains polynomials with k -degrees $2*r - 1, 2*r, 2*r + 1, \dots$

(2) Show that $\{ 1, k, k^2, \dots, k^{(2*r - 2)} \}$ (times H_0) is a basis of N ,
 so $\dim(N) = 2*r - 1$.

(3) Show that $\{ 1, u, u^2, \dots, u^{(r-1)} \}$ (times H_0) is a basis of N^+ ,

so $\dim(N^+) = r$.

- (4) Show that the telescoper of H_0 has order at most r .
 (Theorem 1.1 in [Straub, Zudilin] says that the order is at least r)
- (5) Show that $\{v, u^*v, \dots, u^{(r-2)*v}\}$ (times H_0) is a basis of N^- ,
 so $\dim(N^-) = r-1$.
- (6) Show that the telescoper of $v * H_0$ has order at most $r-1$,
 where $v = 2*k - n$.

Research questions

The characteristic polynomials of L^+ and L^- are:

$$\begin{aligned} > \text{factor}(\text{primpart}(\text{lcoeff}(L_{\text{plus}}, n))); \text{factor}(\text{primpart}(\text{lcoeff}(L_{\text{minus}}, n))); \\ & \quad (Sn - 128) (Sn^3 + 57 Sn^2 - 289 Sn - 1) \\ & \quad \quad \quad Sn^3 + 57 Sn^2 - 289 Sn - 1 \end{aligned} \tag{11.1}$$

The roots of the characteristic polynomial of $\text{Telescoper}(\text{binomial}(n, k)^s)$ are
 $\{(z + z^{(-1)})^s \mid z^s = 1, z \neq -1, z^2 \neq -1\}$, where the root 2^s appears only in L^+ but not in L^- .

- (1): If L is the telescoper of H , how to compute invariant data (like the characteristic polynomial, or the p -curvature) directly from H , without computing L ?
- (2): Apart from denominators or automorphisms, what other ways can we find submodules?
- (3): Let M_0 consist of those elements h in M for which $\text{sum}_k(h) = 0$ for all $n \gg 0$.
 In our example, N^- is a submodule of M_0 .
 But in general, how do we decide if M_0 is $\{0\}$ or not? How do we find elements?

Let $L_{\text{min}} := \text{MinimalRecurrence}(\text{sum}_k(h))$. If $L \neq L_{\text{min}}$ then we found a non-zero element $L_{\text{min}}(h)$ in M_0 , but found it too late to expedite the computation of L .

- (4): How to best implement submodules for hypergeometric/hyperexponential/D-finite telescoping?

>