Homomorphism between two difference operators

Yongjae Cha (Joint work with Mark van Hoeij)

RISC, Linz, Austria



Notations

- ▶ τ is a shift operator, $x \mapsto x + 1$
- ▶ $D := \mathbb{C}(x)[\tau]$ is a ring of difference operators.

$$\tau \cdot f(x) = f(x+1)\tau, \quad \tau \cdot \tau^i = \tau^{i+1}$$

► $L := \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i(x) \tau^i \in D$ corresponds to a recurrence equation

$$a_d(x)u(x+d)+\cdots+a_0(x)u(x)=0$$

we assume $a_d(x)a_0(x) \neq 0$ and say ord(L) = d

- Let V be the universal extension of D and $V(L) = \ker(L, V)$.
- ▶ $L^* := \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_{d-i}(x+i-1)\tau^i$ is called the adjoint of L.



$\operatorname{Hom}(L_1, L_2)$

We want to compute

$$\operatorname{Hom}(L_1,L_2):=\{G\in\mathbb{C}(x)[\tau]\mid\operatorname{ord}(G)<\operatorname{ord}(L_1),G(V(L_1))\subseteq V(L_2)\}.$$

$\operatorname{Hom}(L_1, L_2)$

We want to compute

$$\operatorname{Hom}(L_1,L_2):=\{G\in\mathbb{C}(x)[\tau]\mid\operatorname{ord}(G)<\operatorname{ord}(L_1),G(V(L_1))\subseteq V(L_2)\}.$$
 Idea:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hom}(L_1, L_2) &\subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V(L_1), V(L_2)) \\ &\cong V(L_1)^* \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V(L_2) \\ &\cong V(L_1^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V(L_2) \end{aligned}$$

Definition

The symmetric product, $N \otimes M$, of N and $M \in D$ is an order-minimal and monic operator such that $\nu \mu \in V(N \otimes M)$ for all $\nu \in V(N)$ and $\mu \in V(M)$.

Suppose
$$\operatorname{ord}(N) = d_1, \operatorname{ord}(M) = d_2,$$
 $V(N) := \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{d_1}\}$ and $V(M) := \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{d_2}\}.$

$$\begin{array}{l} \Psi: \textit{V}(\textit{N}) \otimes \textit{V}(\textit{M}) \rightarrow \textit{V}(\textit{N} \circledS \textit{M}) \\ \Psi(\sum \textit{a}_{i,j} \nu_i \otimes \mu_j) = \sum \textit{a}_{i,j} \nu_i \mu_j \text{ is an onto map.} \end{array}$$

$$V(N) \otimes V(M) \ncong V(N \otimes M)$$

For each ν_i and μ_i we define,

$$\mathcal{M}(\nu_{i}, \mu_{j}) = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{i}\mu_{j} & \nu_{i}\tau(\mu_{j}) & \cdots & \nu_{i}\tau^{d_{2}-1}(\mu_{j}) \\ \tau(\nu_{i})\mu_{j} & \tau(\nu_{i})\tau(\mu_{j}) & \cdots & \tau(\nu_{i})\tau^{d_{2}-1}(\mu_{j}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tau^{d_{1}-1}(\nu_{i})\mu_{j} & \tau^{d_{1}-1}(\nu_{i})\tau(\mu_{j}) & \cdots & \tau^{d_{1}-1}(\nu_{i})\tau^{d_{2}-1}(\mu_{j}) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Mat(N, M) := \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ \mathcal{M}_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i \leq d_1, 1 \leq j \leq d_2 \}.$$

Then

$$V(N) \otimes V(M) \cong Mat(N, M)$$

What is rational in $V(N) \otimes V(M)$?

For
$$W = \sum a_{i,j}\nu_i \otimes \mu_j \in V(N) \otimes V(M)$$
 define $\Phi(W) := \sum a_{i,j}\mathcal{M}(\nu_i,\mu_j) \in Mat(N,M)$.

Definition

 $W = \sum a_{i,j}\nu_i \otimes \mu_j \in V(N) \otimes V(M)$ is said to be rational, if all entries of $\Phi(W)$ are rational.

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hom}(L_1,L_2) &:= \{G \in \mathbb{C}(x)[\tau] \mid \operatorname{ord}(G) < \operatorname{ord}(L_1), G(V(L_1)) \subseteq V(L_2) \} \\ & \operatorname{Hom}(L_1,L_2) \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V(L_1),V(L_2)) \\ & \cong V(L_1)^* \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V(L_2) \end{aligned}$$

 $\cong V(L_1^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V(L_2)$

Theorem

Rational elements of $V(L_1^*) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V(L_2)$ correspond bijectively to elements of $\text{Hom}(L_1, L_2)$.



For
$$W = \sum a_{i,j}\nu_i \otimes \mu_j \in V(N) \otimes V(M)$$
 define $\Phi(W) := \sum a_{i,j}\mathcal{M}(\nu_i,\mu_j) \in Mat(N,M)$.

$$\Phi(W) = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & \cdots & * \\ * & * & \cdots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ * & * & \cdots & * \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Phi(W)_{k,l} = \sum a_{i,j} \tau^{k-1}(\nu_i) \tau^{l-1}(\mu_j)$$

For
$$W = \sum a_{i,j}\nu_i \otimes \mu_j \in V(N) \otimes V(M)$$
 define $\Phi(W) := \sum a_{i,j}\mathcal{M}(\nu_i,\mu_j) \in Mat(N,M)$.

$$\Phi(W)_{k,l} = \sum a_{i,j} \tau^{k-1}(\nu_i) \tau^{l-1}(\mu_j)$$

For
$$W = \sum a_{i,j}\nu_i \otimes \mu_j \in V(N) \otimes V(M)$$
 define $\Phi(W) := \sum a_{i,j}\mathcal{M}(\nu_i,\mu_j) \in Mat(N,M)$.

$$\Phi(W)_{k,l} = \sum a_{i,j} \tau^{k-1}(\nu_i) \tau^{l-1}(\mu_j)$$

$$\tau(\Phi(W)_{k,l}) = \Phi(W)_{k+1,l+1}$$

```
For W = \sum a_{i,j}\nu_i \otimes \mu_j \in V(N) \otimes V(M) define \Phi(W) := \sum a_{i,j}\mathcal{M}(\nu_i,\mu_j) \in Mat(N,M).
```

```
: :

··· * * ··· * ···

: : ·· : ; N

··· * * ··· * ···

: : :
```

$$\Phi(W)_{k,l} = \sum a_{i,j} \tau^{k-1}(\nu_i) \tau^{l-1}(\mu_j)$$

For
$$W = \sum a_{i,j}\nu_i \otimes \mu_j \in V(N) \otimes V(M)$$
 define $\Phi(W) := \sum a_{i,j}\mathcal{M}(\nu_i,\mu_j) \in Mat(N,M)$.

```
: : : *
... * * ... * ...
... * ... * ...
... : : : ∴ : ↑N
... * * ... * ...
... : : : *
```

$$\Phi(W)_{k,l} = \sum a_{i,j} \tau^{k-1}(\nu_i) \tau^{l-1}(\mu_j)$$

For
$$W = \sum a_{i,j}\nu_i \otimes \mu_j \in V(N) \otimes V(M)$$
 define $\Phi(W) := \sum a_{i,j}\mathcal{M}(\nu_i,\mu_j) \in Mat(N,M)$.

$$\Phi(W)_{k,l} = \sum a_{i,j} \tau^{k-1}(\nu_i) \tau^{l-1}(\mu_j)$$

For
$$W = \sum a_{i,j}\nu_i \otimes \mu_j \in V(N) \otimes V(M)$$
 define $\Phi(W) := \sum a_{i,j}\mathcal{M}(\nu_i,\mu_j) \in Mat(N,M)$.

```
⋮ ⋮ M↔ ⋮
* * * * ··· * *
··· * * ··· * ···
⋮ ⋮ ··. ⋮
··· * * ··· * ···
⋮ ⋮ ··. ⋮
```

$$\Phi(W)_{k,l} = \sum a_{i,j} \tau^{k-1}(\nu_i) \tau^{l-1}(\mu_j)$$

We need $\min\{\operatorname{ord}(N),\operatorname{ord}(M)\}$ consecutive elements of $\Phi(W)$ to get all elements in $\Phi(W)$. Suppose $\operatorname{ord}(N) = 3$ and $\operatorname{ord}(M) = 5$ then

* * *

We need $\min\{\operatorname{ord}(N),\operatorname{ord}(M)\}$ consecutive elements of $\Phi(W)$ to get all elements in $\Phi(W)$. Suppose $\operatorname{ord}(N) = 3$ and $\operatorname{ord}(M) = 5$ then

We need min $\{\operatorname{ord}(N),\operatorname{ord}(M)\}$ consecutive elements of $\Phi(W)$ to get all elements in $\Phi(W)$. Suppose $\operatorname{ord}(N) = 3$ and $\operatorname{ord}(M) = 5$ then

We need min $\{\operatorname{ord}(N),\operatorname{ord}(M)\}$ consecutive elements of $\Phi(W)$ to get all elements in $\Phi(W)$. Suppose $\operatorname{ord}(N) = 3$ and $\operatorname{ord}(M) = 5$ then

```
*
* * *
* * *
```

We need $\min\{\operatorname{ord}(N),\operatorname{ord}(M)\}$ consecutive elements of $\Phi(W)$ to get all elements in $\Phi(W)$. Suppose $\operatorname{ord}(N) = 3$ and $\operatorname{ord}(M) = 5$ then

```
* * * * *
* * * *
* * *
```

We need $\min\{\operatorname{ord}(N), \operatorname{ord}(M)\}$ consecutive elements of $\Phi(W)$ to get all elements in $\Phi(W)$. Suppose $\operatorname{ord}(N) = 3$ and $\operatorname{ord}(M) = 5$ then

We need $\min\{\operatorname{ord}(N), \operatorname{ord}(M)\}$ consecutive elements of $\Phi(W)$ to get all elements in $\Phi(W)$. Suppose $\operatorname{ord}(N) = 3$ and $\operatorname{ord}(M) = 5$ then

We need $\min\{\operatorname{ord}(N), \operatorname{ord}(M)\}$ consecutive elements of $\Phi(W)$ to get all elements in $\Phi(W)$. Suppose $\operatorname{ord}(N) = 3$ and $\operatorname{ord}(M) = 5$ then

- rational solution
 - ▶ $d(x) \in \mathbb{C}(x)$ is a denominator bound of $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}(x)$ if there exists $n(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ such that f(x) = d(x)n(x)
 - degree of n(x) is called the numerator bound of f(x).

- rational solution
 - ▶ $d(x) \in \mathbb{C}(x)$ is a denominator bound of $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}(x)$ if there exists $n(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ such that f(x) = d(x)n(x)
 - degree of n(x) is called the numerator bound of f(x).
 - \rightarrow d(x) can be computed from valuation growth
 - degree of n(x) can be computed from generalized exponents.

Let $m \in Mat(N, M)$ be a matrix with rational entries.

- characteristic data
 - a Compute valuation growth of N and M, then we get denominator bound $d_i(n)$ for each $m_{1,i}, i \in \{1, \dots, d_2 1\}$
 - b Compute generalized exponent of M, then we get numerator bound j for each $m_{1,i}, i \in \{1, \dots, d_2 1\}$.
 - c for each $m_{1,i}$ we get $d_i(x) \sum_i c_{i,j} x^j$.
 - d generate m_{1,d_2} with shift and N and apply M to find the coefficients.

Applications of $Hom(L_1, L_2)$

1. Gauge Transformation

2. Factoring

Applications of $Hom(L_1, L_2)$

- 1. Gauge Transformation $L_1, L_2 \in D, \operatorname{ord}(L_1) = \operatorname{ord}(L_2)$, are said to be gauge equivalent, $L_1 \sim_g L_2$, if there exist $G \in D$ that bijectively maps $V(L_1) \to V(L_2)$.
- 2. Factoring

Applications of Hom (L_1, L_2)

 Gauge Transformation $L_1, L_2 \in D, \operatorname{ord}(L_1) = \operatorname{ord}(L_2)$, are said to be gauge equivalent, $L_1 \sim_a L_2$, if there exist $G \in D$ that bijectively maps $V(L_1) \rightarrow V(L_2)$.

Factoring For $L \in D$, suppose we can compute \tilde{M} which is gauge equivalent to a right hand factor M of L. Then by applying

 $G \in \operatorname{Hom}(\tilde{M}, L)$ to \tilde{M} , we get M.