

Solutions to MLC Module 1 Section 5 Exercises

1. We have $q_{50} = .02$, $q_{51} = .025$, and $q_{52} = .03$.

(a) Working forward through the 2-year select period, we have:

$$l_{[50]+1} = l_{[50]} \cdot p_{[50]} \text{ and } l_{[50]+2} = l_{52} = l_{[50]+1} \cdot p_{[50]+1}$$

$$\text{So if we knew } l_{52} \text{ then } l_{[50]+1} = \frac{l_{52}}{1 - q_{[50]+1}} = \frac{l_{52}}{1 - .9q_{51}} = \frac{l_{52}}{.9775}$$

$$\text{Then } l_{[50]} = \frac{l_{[50]+1}}{1 - q_{[50]}} = \frac{l_{[50]+1}}{1 - .8q_{50}} = \frac{l_{[50]+1}}{.984}$$

We can use ultimate rates and $l_{50} = 1000$ to work forward to get l_{52} as follows:

$$l_{51} = l_{50} \cdot p_{50} = 1000(.98) = 980 \text{ and } l_{52} = l_{51} \cdot p_{51} = 980(.975) = 955.5.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } l_{[50]+1} = \frac{955.5}{.9775} \text{ and } l_{[50]} = \frac{955.5}{(.9775)(.984)} \approx 993.38781$$

(b) We use the same relationships as in part (a). Namely,

$$l_{[50]+1} = l_{[50]} \cdot p_{[50]} = 1000(1 - .8(.02)) = 1000(.984) = 984 \text{ and}$$

$$l_{[50]+2} = l_{52} = l_{[50]+1} \cdot p_{[50]+1} = 984(1 - .9(.025)) = 984(.9775) = 961.86$$

$$\text{Then } l_{51} = \frac{l_{52}}{p_{51}} = \frac{961.86}{.975} \text{ and } l_{50} = \frac{l_{51}}{p_{50}} = \frac{961.86}{(.975)(.98)} \approx 1006.65620$$

(c) If we start with $l_{[50]} = 1000$, then as we saw in part (b) we have $l_{52} = 961.86$. Then $l_{53} = l_{52} \cdot p_{52} = 961.86(.97) = 933.0042$. The probability we seek is

$${}_2|q_{[50]} = \frac{l_{52} - l_{53}}{l_{[50]}} = .0288558$$

(d) If we start with $l_{[50]} = 1000$, then as we saw in previous parts of this problem, we have $l_{[50]+1} = 984$, $l_{52} = 961.86$, and $l_{53} = 933.0042$. The probability we seek is

$${}_{1.4|1.3}q_{[50]} = \frac{l_{[50]+1.4} - l_{52.7}}{l_{[50]}}$$

$$l_{[50]+1.4} = l_{([50]+1)+.4} \stackrel{UDD}{=} .6 \cdot l_{[50]+1} + .4 \cdot l_{52} = .6(984) + .4(961.86) = 975.144 \text{ and}$$

$$l_{52.7} \stackrel{UDD}{=} .3(961.86) + .7(933.0042) = 941.66094, \text{ and so } {}_{1.4|1.3}q_{[50]} \stackrel{UDD}{\approx} .033483$$

(e) We use all the same integer age values as in part (d). We just have to adjust the fractional age values by using the CF assumption.

$$l_{[50]+1.4} = l_{([50]+1)+.4} \stackrel{CF}{=} (l_{[50]+1})^{.6} \cdot (l_{52})^{.4} = (984)^{.6} \cdot (961.86)^{.4} \text{ and}$$

$$l_{52.7} \stackrel{CF}{=} (961.86)^{.3} \cdot (933.0042)^{.7}, \text{ and so } {}_{1.4|1.3}q_{[50]} = \frac{l_{[50]+1.4} - l_{52.7}}{l_{[50]}} \stackrel{CF}{\approx} .033515$$

(f) Since there's a 2-year select period, recall the 2-year recursion for e_{50} ; namely,

$$e_{50} = p_{50} + p_{51}(1 + e_{52}) \text{ Applied in this context to a selected 50-year old, we have}$$

$$e_{[50]} = p_{[50]} + p_{[50]+1}(1 + e_{52}) \text{ Since } p_{[50]} = .984 \text{ and } p_{[50]+1} = .9775, \text{ then}$$

$$e_{[50]} = .984 + .9775(1 + 9) = 10.759. \text{ Finally, recall that } e_x^{\circ} \stackrel{UDD}{=} e_x + .5. \text{ This formula is valid in the context of select and ultimate rates too, so } e_{[50]}^{\circ} = 11.259.$$

2. Since in all 3 parts we seek a probability associated to a person selected at age 60, let's start with $l_{[60]} = 100,000$. Then

$$l_{[60]+1} = l_{[60]} \cdot p_{[60]} = 100,000(.91) = 91,000,$$

$$l_{[60]+2} = l_{[60]+1} \cdot p_{[60]+1} = 91,000(.89) = 80,990,$$

$$l_{[60]+3} = l_{63} = l_{[60]+2} \cdot p_{[60]+2} = 80,990(.87) = 70,461.3, \text{ and}$$

$$l_{64} = l_{63} \cdot p_{63} = 70,461.3(.85) = 59,892.105$$

$$(a) {}_2|q_{[60]} = \frac{l_{[60]+2} - l_{63}}{l_{[60]}} = .105287$$

$$(b) {}_3|q_{[60]} = \frac{l_{63} - l_{64}}{l_{[60]}} \approx .105692$$

$$(c) {}_{1|2}q_{[60]+1} = \frac{l_{[60]+2} - l_{64}}{l_{[60]+1}} = .231845$$

3. With a 1-year select period, we have the 1-year recursions $e_{[80]} = p_{[80]}(1 + e_{81})$ and $e_{[81]} = p_{[81]}(1 + e_{82})$. From the first recursion we get $e_{81} = \frac{e_{[80]}}{p_{[80]}} - 1 = \frac{800}{91} - 1 = \frac{709}{91}$.

Knowing e_{81} , we can get e_{82} from the (ultimate rates) 1 year recursion

$$e_{81} = p_{81}(1 + e_{82}); \text{ namely, } (1 + e_{82}) = \frac{e_{81}}{p_{81}} = \frac{709/91}{83/91} = \frac{709}{83}. \text{ We could subtract 1 from}$$

both sides of the last equation to get e_{82} , but notice that what we really need in the second recursion formula in the first sentence is $1 + e_{82}$. From that recursion

$$\text{formula we get } e_{[81]} = p_{[81]}(1 + e_{82}) = \frac{83}{92} \left(\frac{709}{83} \right) = \frac{709}{92}.$$