



Course: MAC2312-0001.sp22: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II




2 - The work required of m	e was appro	priate base	d on course	objectives.										
Response Option		Weigh	nt Frequer	icy Percent	Percer	t Re	spon	ses			Ме	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	6	85.71%					4.86		4.20	4.40		
Agree		(4)	1	14.29%										
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	1									
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1									
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1					ı				
		•	'	•	0 25		50	100	Question	ı	Department	University	у	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mea	ın	S.	TD	Median		University	Mean	STD	Median
7/16 (43.75%)	4.86	0.38	5.00	2615	4.2	0	0.	.99	4.00		83367	4.40	0.86	5.00


3 - The tests, project, etc. ac	ccurately me	asured wha	at I learned ir	this course.							
Response Option		Weight	t Frequency	Percent	Percent Re	esponses		Ме	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	4	57.14%			4.43	4.07	4.30		
Agree		(4)	2	28.57%							
Neutral		(3)	1	14.29%				_			
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1			_			
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1						
					0 25	50 100	Question	n Department	University	/	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
7/16 (43.75%)	4.43	0.79	5.00	2616	4.07	1.08	4.00	83291	4.30	0.96	5.00






Course: MAC2312-0001.sp22: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II






7 - Ignat Soroko communica	ated effecti	vely											
Response Option		Weig	ht Frequ	ency Percent	Р	ercent R	esp	onses		Ме	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	5	71.43%					4.43	4.19	4.40		
Agree		(4)	1	14.29%									
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Disagree		(2)	1	14.29%									
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1								
					0	25	50	100	Question	n Department	University	/	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean		STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
7/16 (43.75%)	4.43	1.13	5.00	2618		4.19		1.10	5.00	86173	4.40	0.95	5.00





Course: MAC2312-0001.sp22: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II




10 - Ignat Soroko demonstr	ated respect	for studen	ts											
Response Option		Weigh	t Frequen	cy Percent	Р	ercent R	lesp	onses			Me	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	6	85.71%					4.57		4.45	4.59	1	
Agree		(4)	0	0.00%	1									
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	1									
Disagree		(2)	1	14.29%										
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1									
		•			0	25	50	100	Question	า	Department	University	/	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	STD	Median
7/16 (43.75%)	4.57	1.13	5.00	2609		4.45		0.88	5.00		85871	4.59	0.76	5.00

11 - Ignat Soroko demonstr	ated maste	ry of the su	ıbject mat	ter												
Response Option		Weig	ht Frequ	iency	Percent	P	ercent R	esį	onses			Me	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	7	7	100.00%					5.00	П	4.39	4.60			
Agree		(4)	()	0.00%	1										
Neutral		(3)	()	0.00%	1										
Disagree		(2)	()	0.00%	1										
Strongly Disagree		(1)	()	0.00%	1										
						0	25	50	100	Questio	1	Department	University	y		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	- [Department		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	STD	Me	edian
7/16 (43.75%)	5.00	0.00	5.00		2615		4.39		0.98	5.00		85932	4.60	0.74	5	5.00

12 - Overall course conten	t rating.												
Response Option		Weig	ht Freque	ncy Percent	Perc	ent Re	spon	ses		Ме	ans		
Excellent		(5)	4	57.14%					4.14	3.88	4.19		
Above Satisfactory		(4)	2	28.57%						3.86			
Satisfactory		(3)	0	0.00%	1								
Below Satisfactory		(2)	0	0.00%	1								
Poor		(1)	1	14.29%									
				•	0	25	50	100	Question	n Department	University	у	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	M	lean	S1	ΓD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
7/16 (43.75%)	4.14	1.46	5.00	2601	3	3.88	1.1	13	4.00	82925	4.19	1.02	5.00



Course: MAC2312-0001.sp22: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II



14 - What is your year in school	l?						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Me	ans	
1st year	(1)	4	57.14%				
Sophomore	(2)	3	42.86%				
Junior	(3)	0	0.00%	1			
Senior	(4)	0	0.00%	1			
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%	1			
				0 25 50 100			
			Res	ponse Rate			
			7/1	6 (43.75%)			

15 - What is your cumulative GPA?								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Res	onses	Me	ans	
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%	I				
2.0-2.49	(2)	0	0.00%]				
2.5-2.99	(3)	1	14.29%					
3.0-3.49	(4)	2	28.57%					
3.5-4.0	(5)	4	57.14%					
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%	1				
				0 25 50	100			
			Res	oonse Rate				
			7/10	6 (43.75%)				

16 - What grade do you expect	to receive in this cou	rse?					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Me	ans	
A	(1)	1	14.29%				
В	(2)	4	57.14%				
С	(3)	2	28.57%				
D	(4)	0	0.00%	1			
F	(5)	0	0.00%	1			
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%	1			
	•			0 25 50 100			
			Res	ponse Rate			



Course: MAC2312-0001.sp22: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II

Instructor: Ignat Soroko *

Response Rate: 7/16 (43.75 %)

17 - Is this a required course fo	or you?									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Per	cent l	Respo	nses	Me	ans	
Yes	(1)	6	85.71%							
No	(2)	1	14.29%							
				0	25	50	100			
			Resp	onse	Rate					
			7/16	6 (43.7	5%)					

18 - What aspects of the course and/or Ignat Soroko's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate 5/16 (31.25%)

- He is very encouraging towards students who he sees are trying and respects his students.
- He taught in a way that allowed me to understand the subject matter more clearly than other teachers that I have had. Instead of just telling you what to do and saying to do it, he explained what to do, how it works, and how to apply it.
- · Honestly, he just taught the class straight-up but was very supportive for students that were confused and asked for help. Very compassionate and effective teacher.
- He is a really nice guy and you can tell he has passion for what he does
- The homeworks have been the most helpful, although they still do not relate to the exam questions very well at all.

19 - What aspects of the course and/or Ignat Soroko's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples. -

esponse Rate 5/16 (31.25%)

- Sometimes it seems like he's picking on students by calling them out which is embarrassing, but after a while you realize it's because he's trying to make you a stronger student.
- Honestly, the way he tught the class was perfect.
- N/A
- Maybe slow down when giving structions, sometimes he goes a bit too fast.
- The lectures are confusing and really overwhelms the subject. The practice problems online look nothing like the exams, so the exams kind of feel like a shot in the dark every time. Packing one month of material into each exam is so overbearing, it does not allow for knowledge of the material to be tested well enough because it is so overbearing.


20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.

Response Rate 4/16 (25%)

- 10/10 class
- He's really funny and amusing and made my 8AM class enjoyable.
- GOAT <
- I have spoken to many friends that are in other calc 2 courses currently and really wish I was with one of the teachers from there courses. They have frequent quizzes and examinations, every 2-3 weeks, and almost weekly quizzes. This would be so helpful to test ones understanding and break up the grading a little. Also, they are given review/study packets that look very similar to the exams so that they are given at least a chance on the exams to pass. The teachers also guide them in understanding which sections are more important than others. Soroko is just overbearing and has expectations that are unpracticle for his students, providing little to no guidance on actually passing the course.




Course: MAC2312-0007.sp22: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II




2 - The work required of me	e was appro	priate base	d on course	objectives.										
Response Option		Weigh	t Frequen	cy Percent	Per	rcent R	espo	onses			Me	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	7	58.33%					4.50		4.20	4.40		
Agree		(4)	4	33.33%										
Neutral		(3)	1	8.33%										
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1									
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1									
		•	•		0	25	50	100	Question	n	Department	University	/	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	STD	Median
12/16 (75.00%)	4.50	0.67	5.00	2615		4.20		0.99	4.00		83367	4.40	0.86	5.00


3 - The tests, project, etc. ac	ccurately me	asured wha	at I learned in	this course.							
Response Option		Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Re	esponses		Me	ans		
Strongly Agree		(5)	4	33.33%			4.17	4.07	4.30		
Agree		(4)	6	50.00%							
Neutral		(3)	2	16.67%				_			
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1			_			
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1						
					0 25	50 100	Question	n Department	University	У	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
12/16 (75.00%)	4.17	0.72	4.00	2616	4.07	1.08	4.00	83291	4.30	0.96	5.00






Course: MAC2312-0007.sp22: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II






7 - Ignat Soroko communicated effectively														
Response Option	Weig	ht Freque	ency Percent	Pe	rcent R	espo	onses			Me	ans			
Strongly Agree		(5)	7	58.33%					4.50		4.19	4.40	1	
Agree		(4)	4	33.33%										
Neutral		(3)	1	8.33%										
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1									
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1									
				•	0	25	50	100	Question	n	Department	University	/	
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	STD	Median
12/16 (75.00%)	4.50	0.67	5.00	2618		4.19		1.10	5.00		86173	4.40	0.95	5.00






Course: MAC2312-0007.sp22: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II




10 - Ignat Soroko demonstrated respect for students															
Response Option	Weigl	nt Freque	ency Percent	F	Percent R	esį	onses			Me	ans				
Strongly Agree		(5)	9	75.00%	П				4.67		4.45	4.59			
Agree		(4)	2	16.67%											
Neutral		(3)	1	8.33%		ı									
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1										
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1										
		•	'	•	0	25	50	100	Question	n	Department	University	y		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean		STD	Median		University	Mean	STE)	Median
12/16 (75.00%)	4.67	0.65	5.00	2609		4.45		0.88	5.00		85871	4.59	0.76	3	5.00

11 - Ignat Soroko demonstrated mastery of the subject matter												
Response Option	Weigh	t Frequen	cy Percent	Percent R	esponses		Ме	ans				
Strongly Agree		(5)	10	83.33%			4.83	4.39	4.60	1		
Agree		(4)	2	16.67%								
Neutral		(3)	0	0.00%	1							
Disagree		(2)	0	0.00%	1							
Strongly Disagree		(1)	0	0.00%	1							
					0 25	50 100	Question	n Department	University	/		
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
12/16 (75.00%)	4.83	0.39	5.00	2615	4.39	0.98	5.00	85932	4.60	0.74	5.00	





Course: MAC2312-0007.sp22: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II



14 - What is your year in school?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses								
1st year	(1)	8	66.67%									
Sophomore	(2)	2	16.67%									
Junior	(3)	1	8.33%									
Senior	(4)	1	8.33%									
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%	1								
	·			0 25 50 100								
			Res	ponse Rate								
	•		12/1	6 (75.00%)								

15 - What is your cumulative GPA?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent R	esponse	es	Means					
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%	1								
2.0-2.49	(2)	0	0.00%]								
2.5-2.99	(3)	1	8.33%									
3.0-3.49	(4)	4	33.33%									
3.5-4.0	(5)	6	50.00%									
Not Applicable	(6)	1	8.33%									
				0 25	50 ′	100						
			Res	ponse Rate								
			12/1	6 (75.00%)								

16 - What grade do you expect	16 - What grade do you expect to receive in this course?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent F	espor	nses	Means					
A	(1)	3	25.00%										
В	(2)	4	33.33%										
С	(3)	5	41.67%										
D	(4)	0	0.00%	1									
F	(5)	0	0.00%	1									
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%	1									
				0	25	50	100						
			Res	onse	Rate								
			12/1	6 (75.	00%)								



Course: MAC2312-0007.sp22: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II

Instructor: Ignat Soroko *

Response Rate: 13/16 (81.25 %)

17 - Is this a required course for you?												
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Pe	rcent l	Respoi	nses	Means				
Yes	(1)	12	100.00%									
No	(2)	0	0.00%]								
				0	25	50	100				1	
Response Rate												
			12/1	6 (75.	00%)							

18 - What aspects of the course and/or Ignat Soroko's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate 9/16 (56.25%)

- Dr. Soroko was Very patient and attentive, making sure that we understood fully the information. His teaching pace was not too slow nor too fast which was beneficial for me because I did not get overwhelmed.
- His notes are very good and they really show what we need to know for the tests.
- The most useful tool that I experienced in his class was the way he communicated effectively. Not only was the lectures clear and entertaining but he also showed an interest to help individual students, which helped me out tremendously.
- Dr. Soroko is the goat. Dr. Soroko gives very helpful examples. I really appreciate that he writes out what to do step by step (putting it into words) because it helps clarify exactly what to do in order to solve a specific type of problem. This also helps me review how to solve something later on when I am studying. Sometimes (on rare occasion) he gives fun facts related to what we are learning in the course. I always thought this was great. He is a great professor and a nice person. I 100% will encourage my friends to try to take a class with him if they ever need to take calc II.
- I enjoyed the number of times where Dr. Soroko would ask us to try some example problems within class, such that we're not just copying down lecture notes the entire time and then do the homework and that's it. And in doing these do-ourselves problems is also a way for Dr. Soroko to see where we are in understanding.
- Do it yourself examples in class are helpful, i like when you go around and check answers in class
- He makes calculus II quite interesting with his humor and various facts about it. He truly has a passion for the subject and it is apparent in his teaching methods.
- He gave us homework and extra practice questions that was more challenging then the midterms, so I felt very confident in my ability to succeed while understanding the course material.
- I can tell that he cares as he makes sure all the students understand his teachings.

19 - What aspects of the course and/or Ignat Soroko's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate 6/16 (37.5%)

- He goes too fast when we take notes. Any issues stem from this statement.
- n/a
- Perhaps some small quizzes on top of the exams. While this may increase workload for us and Dr. Soroko, this can be another effective method in understanding the material other than repeatedly doing problems that may not even be helpful for us.
- · Nothing, he did a perfect job.
- None.
- Sometimes when we learn new material, he doesn't include all the algebraic steps which confuses me sometimes as I accidentally think it is apart of the new material he is teaching so I don't recognize it.

20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.

Response Rate 4/16 (25%)

- Please go slower when note taking and maybe we should do some in class questions more often.
- n/a
- Dr. Soroko is the GOAT.
- He made my calc 2 experience great.