# MAPPING TO THE SPACE OF SPHERICAL HARMONICS

Alexey Glazyrin The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley November 11, 2020 Point Distributions Webinar

- 1. Mapping to spherical harmonics
- 2. Packing problems
- 3. Energy bounds
- 4. Constructing new configurations
- 5. Kissing number problem in dimension 3

A polynomial  $\mathsf{P}:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{C}$  is harmonic if  $\Delta\mathsf{P}=0,$  where  $\Delta$  is a Laplacian.

The restriction of a harmonic homogeneous polynomial P of degree k to  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  is called a spherical harmonic of degree k.

A polynomial  $\mathsf{P}:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{C}$  is harmonic if  $\Delta\mathsf{P}=0,$  where  $\Delta$  is a Laplacian.

The restriction of a harmonic homogeneous polynomial P of degree k to  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  is called a spherical harmonic of degree k.

The vector space of spherical harmonics of degree k,  $Harm_k(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ , has dimension

$$h_k = \binom{d+k-2}{k} + \binom{d+k-3}{k-1},$$

and a natural scalar product

$$\langle \mathsf{P},\mathsf{Q}\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\mathsf{P}(\mathsf{x})\overline{\mathsf{Q}(\mathsf{x})}\mathsf{d}\mu(\mathsf{x}),$$

where  $\mu$  is the normalized Lebesgue measure of the sphere.

#### DEFINING A MAPPING TO SPHERICAL HARMONICS

Let  $\{e_1^{(k)}, \ldots, e_{h_k}^{(k)}\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\operatorname{Harm}_k(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ . Define a map  $\phi_k : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{C}^{h_k}$  by

$$\phi_{k}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h_{k}}}(e_{1}^{(k)}(x), \dots, e_{h_{k}}^{(k)}(x)).$$

#### DEFINING A MAPPING TO SPHERICAL HARMONICS

Let  $\{e_1^{(k)}, \ldots, e_{h_k}^{(k)}\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\operatorname{Harm}_k(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ . Define a map  $\phi_k : \mathbb{S}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{C}^{h_k}$  by

$$\phi_k(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h_k}} (e_1^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}), \dots, e_{h_k}^{(k)}(\mathbf{x})).$$

It appears  $\langle \phi_k(\mathbf{x}), \phi_k(\mathbf{y}) \rangle = \frac{1}{h_k} \sum_{i=1}^{h_k} e_i^{(k)}(\mathbf{x}) e_i^{(k)}(\mathbf{y})$  depends only on  $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$  and is the same for any choice of the orthonormal basis  $\{e_i^{(k)}\}$ .

All scalar products  $\langle \phi_k(\mathbf{x}), \phi_k(\mathbf{y}) \rangle$  are real and  $\langle \phi_k(\mathbf{x}), \phi_k(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = 1$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ . Therefore,  $\phi_k$  maps  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  to  $\mathbb{S}^{h_k-1}$ .

# $\langle \phi_k(\mathbf{x}), \phi_k(\mathbf{y}) \rangle = G_k(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle),$

where  $G_k$  are Gegenbauer polynomials, zonal spherical functions associated with  $\text{Harm}_k(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ .

$$\langle \phi_{k}(\mathbf{x}), \phi_{k}(\mathbf{y}) \rangle = G_{k}(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle),$$

where  $G_k$  are Gegenbauer polynomials, zonal spherical functions associated with  $Harm_k(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ .

$$\begin{aligned} G_0(t) &= 1\\ G_1(t) &= t\\ G_k(t) &= \frac{d+2k-4}{d+k-3} t G_{k-1}(t) - \frac{k-1}{d+k-3} G_{k-2}(t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \phi_k(\mathbf{x}), \phi_k(\mathbf{y}) \rangle = G_k(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle),$$

where  $G_k$  are Gegenbauer polynomials, zonal spherical functions associated with  $Harm_k(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ .

$$\begin{split} G_0(t) &= 1 \\ G_1(t) &= t \\ G_k(t) &= \frac{d+2k-4}{d+k-3} t G_{k-1}(t) - \frac{k-1}{d+k-3} G_{k-2}(t) \\ \text{For } d &= 2, \text{ Gegenbauer polynomials are Chebyshev} \\ \text{polynomials of the first kind. The map } \phi_k \text{ corresponds to the} \\ \text{k-cover of the circle.} \end{split}$$

$$\langle \phi_k(\mathbf{x}), \phi_k(\mathbf{y}) \rangle = G_k(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle),$$

where  $G_k$  are Gegenbauer polynomials, zonal spherical functions associated with  $Harm_k(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$ .

$$\begin{split} G_0(t) &= 1\\ G_1(t) &= t\\ G_k(t) &= \frac{d+2k-4}{d+k-3}tG_{k-1}(t) - \frac{k-1}{d+k-3}G_{k-2}(t)\\ \text{For } d &= 2, \text{ Gegenbauer polynomials are Chebyshev}\\ \text{polynomials of the first kind. The map } \phi_k \text{ correspondent} \end{split}$$

polynomials of the first kind. The map  $\phi_k$  corresponds to the k-cover of the circle.

 $\phi_k$  is similar to the tensor power map  $x \to x^{\otimes k}$ .

 $\phi_k$  is a map from  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  to  $\mathbb{S}^{h_k-1}$  such that for any x and y,

 $\langle \phi_{k}(\mathbf{x}), \phi_{k}(\mathbf{y}) \rangle = G_{k}(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle).$ 

 $\phi_k$  is a map from  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  to  $\mathbb{S}^{h_k-1}$  such that for any x and y,

$$\langle \phi_{k}(\mathbf{x}), \phi_{k}(\mathbf{y}) \rangle = G_{k}(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle).$$

For P(t) =  $\alpha_1 G_{i_1}(t) + \ldots + \alpha_l G_{i_l}(t)$ , where  $\alpha_i > 0$  and  $\sum \alpha_i = 1$ , define

$$\phi_{\mathsf{P}}(\mathsf{X}) = \sqrt{\alpha_1}\phi_{\mathsf{i}_1}(\mathsf{X}) \oplus \ldots \oplus \sqrt{\alpha_l}\phi_{\mathsf{i}_l}(\mathsf{X}).$$

 $\phi_P$  maps  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  to  $\mathbb{S}^{H-1},$  where  $H=h_{i_1}+\ldots+h_{i_l},$  and for any x and y,

$$\langle \phi_{\mathsf{P}}(\mathsf{X}), \phi_{\mathsf{P}}(\mathsf{y}) \rangle = \mathsf{P}(\langle \mathsf{X}, \mathsf{y} \rangle).$$

Given  $\theta$ , find the maximal number of points in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  such that all pairwise geodesic distances are at least  $\theta$ .

Given  $\theta$ , find the maximal number of points in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  such that all pairwise geodesic distances are at least  $\theta$ .

When  $\theta = \pi/3$ , the problem is known as a kissing number problem: what is the maximal number  $\tau_d$  of non-overlapping unit balls touching a given unit ball in dimension d?

The kissing number is known in dimensions 2, 3, 4, 8, 24:  $\tau_2 = 6$ ,  $\tau_3 = 12$  [Schütte and van der Waerden, 1953],  $\tau_4 = 24$ [Musin, 2003],  $\tau_8 = 240$  and  $\tau_{24} = 196560$  [Odlyzko and Sloane, Levenshtein, 1979].

Given  $\theta$ , find the maximal number of points in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  such that all pairwise geodesic distances are at least  $\theta$ .

When  $\theta = \pi/3$ , the problem is known as a kissing number problem: what is the maximal number  $\tau_d$  of non-overlapping unit balls touching a given unit ball in dimension d?

The kissing number is known in dimensions 2, 3, 4, 8, 24:  $\tau_2 = 6$ ,  $\tau_3 = 12$  [Schütte and van der Waerden, 1953],  $\tau_4 = 24$ [Musin, 2003],  $\tau_8 = 240$  and  $\tau_{24} = 196560$  [Odlyzko and Sloane, Levenshtein, 1979].

Asymptotic bound  $\tau_{\rm d} \leq 2^{0.401n(1+o(1))}$  [Kabatiansky and Levenshtein, 1978].

For a set  $I \subset [-1,1]$ , denote by  $M_d(I)$  the maximum size of a set in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  with all pairwise scalar products in I.

For a set  $I \subset [-1, 1]$ , denote by  $M_d(I)$  the maximum size of a set in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  with all pairwise scalar products in I.

The general sphere packing question asks for  $M_d([-1, \cos \theta])$ . In particular,  $\tau_d = M_d([-1, 1/2])$ . For a set  $I \subset [-1, 1]$ , denote by  $M_d(I)$  the maximum size of a set in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  with all pairwise scalar products in I.

The general sphere packing question asks for  $M_d([-1, \cos \theta])$ .

In particular,  $\tau_d = M_d([-1, 1/2])$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $P(t) = \alpha_1 G_{i_1}(t) + \ldots + \alpha_l G_{i_l}(t)$ , where  $\alpha_i > 0$  and  $\sum \alpha_i = 1$ , and  $H = h_{i_1} + \ldots + h_{i_l}$ . Then  $M_d(I) \le M_H(P(I))$ .

## DELSARTE'S METHOD

#### Lemma

For c > 0,  $M_d([-1, -c]) \le 1/c + 1$ .

#### Proof.

The sum of elements in a Gram matrix is at least 0.

For c > 0,  $M_d([-1, -c]) \le 1/c + 1$ .

## Proof.

The sum of elements in a Gram matrix is at least 0.

# Theorem (Delsarte's method)

Let P(t) be a non-negative linear combination of Gegenbauer polynomials, P(1) = 1, and P(I)  $\subseteq$  [-1, -c] for c > 0. Then  $M_d(I) \leq 1/c + 1$ .

For c > 0,  $M_d([-1, -c]) \le 1/c + 1$ .

## Proof.

The sum of elements in a Gram matrix is at least 0.

# Theorem (Delsarte's method)

Let P(t) be a non-negative linear combination of Gegenbauer polynomials, P(1) = 1, and P(I)  $\subseteq$  [-1, -c] for c > 0. Then  $M_d(I) \leq 1/c + 1$ .

 $\tau_8 \leq$  240,  $\tau_{24} \leq$  196560, the asymptotic bound of Kabatiansky and Levenshtein are proven by using Delsarte's method with the right choice of P.

# d+2 points

Theorem (Davenport and Hajós, 1951)  $M_d([-1, 0)) = d + 1.$ 

Equivalently, among d + 2 unit vectors there are always two with a non-obtuse angle between them.

# d + 2 points

Theorem (Davenport and Hajós, 1951)  $M_d([-1, 0)) = d + 1.$ 

Equivalently, among d + 2 unit vectors there are always two with a non-obtuse angle between them.

## Theorem (Radon)

Any d+2 points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  can be split into two sets whose convex hulls intersect.

# d + 2 points

Theorem (Davenport and Hajós, 1951)  $M_d([-1, 0)) = d + 1.$ 

Equivalently, among d + 2 unit vectors there are always two with a non-obtuse angle between them.

## Theorem (Radon)

Any d+2 points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  can be split into two sets whose convex hulls intersect.

# Proof of the Davenport-Hajós bound.

Let v be a common point of two convex hulls from the Radon theorem. If all scalar products of unit vectors are negative then writing v as a positive combination of both sets,  $v \cdot v < 0$ .

Let  $P(t) = \alpha_1 G_{i_1}(t) + \ldots + \alpha_l G_{i_l}(t)$ , where  $\alpha_i > 0$  and  $\sum \alpha_i = 1$ , and  $H = h_{i_1} + \ldots + h_{i_l}$ . If  $P(I) \subseteq [-1, 0)$  then  $M_d(I) \leq H + 1$ .

Let  $P(t) = \alpha_1 G_{i_1}(t) + \ldots + \alpha_l G_{i_l}(t)$ , where  $\alpha_i > 0$  and  $\sum \alpha_i = 1$ , and  $H = h_{i_1} + \ldots + h_{i_l}$ . If  $P(I) \subseteq [-1, 0)$  then  $M_d(I) \leq H + 1$ .

Theorem (Orthoplex bound, Conway, Hardin, and Sloane, 1996)  $M_d((-\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}})) \leq {d+1 \choose 2}.$ 

Let  $P(t) = \alpha_1 G_{i_1}(t) + \ldots + \alpha_l G_{i_l}(t)$ , where  $\alpha_i > 0$  and  $\sum \alpha_i = 1$ , and  $H = h_{i_1} + \ldots + h_{i_l}$ . If  $P(I) \subseteq [-1, 0)$  then  $M_d(I) \leq H + 1$ .

Theorem (Orthoplex bound, Conway, Hardin, and Sloane, 1996)  $M_d((-\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}})) \leq {d+1 \choose 2}.$ 

#### Proof.

Let  $P(t) = G_2(t) = \frac{dt^2-1}{d-1}$ . Then  $H = h_2 = \binom{d+1}{2} - 1$ . The bound follows from the theorem above.

Let  $P(t) = \alpha_1 G_{i_1}(t) + \ldots + \alpha_l G_{i_l}(t)$ , where  $\alpha_i > 0$  and  $\sum \alpha_i = 1$ , and  $H = h_{i_1} + \ldots + h_{i_l}$ . If  $P(I) \subseteq [-1, 0)$  then  $M_d(I) \leq H + 1$ .

Theorem (Orthoplex bound, Conway, Hardin, and Sloane, 1996)  $M_d((-\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}})) \leq {d+1 \choose 2}.$ 

#### Proof.

Let  $P(t) = G_2(t) = \frac{dt^2-1}{d-1}$ . Then  $H = h_2 = \binom{d+1}{2} - 1$ . The bound follows from the theorem above.

The bound is sharp in a sense that for several configurations with more than  $\binom{d+1}{2}$  points, scalar products are in  $\left[-\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\right]$ .

#### TWO NEW BOUNDS

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Theorem (G., 2020)} \\ M_d([-1, \frac{1}{d})) \leq \frac{d(d+3)}{2}. \end{array}$ 

Theorem (G., 2020)  $M_d([-1, \frac{1}{d})) \le \frac{d(d+3)}{2}.$ 

## Proof.

Take  $P(t) = \frac{1}{2}G_1(t) + \frac{1}{2}G_2(t) = \text{const} \cdot (t+1)(dt-1)$  and perturb it. Then  $H = h_1 + h_2$  and  $M_d([-1, \frac{1}{d})) \le H + 1 = \frac{d(d+3)}{2}$ . Theorem (G., 2020)  $M_d([-1, \frac{1}{d})) \le \frac{d(d+3)}{2}.$ 

## Proof.

Take  $P(t) = \frac{1}{2}G_1(t) + \frac{1}{2}G_2(t) = \text{const} \cdot (t+1)(dt-1)$  and perturb it. Then  $H = h_1 + h_2$  and  $M_d([-1, \frac{1}{d})) \le H + 1 = \frac{d(d+3)}{2}$ .

# $\begin{array}{l} \text{Theorem (G., 2020)} \\ M_d([-1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}})) \leq \frac{d(d+1)(d+5)}{6}. \end{array}$

Theorem (G., 2020)  $M_d([-1, \frac{1}{d})) \le \frac{d(d+3)}{2}.$ 

#### Proof.

Take  $P(t) = \frac{1}{2}G_1(t) + \frac{1}{2}G_2(t) = \text{const} \cdot (t+1)(dt-1)$  and perturb it. Then  $H = h_1 + h_2$  and  $M_d([-1, \frac{1}{d})) \le H + 1 = \frac{d(d+3)}{2}$ .

# $\begin{array}{l} \text{Theorem (G., 2020)} \\ M_d([-1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}})) \leq \frac{d(d+1)(d+5)}{6}. \end{array}$

#### Proof.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Take P}(t) = \frac{2\sqrt{d}}{(d+2)(\sqrt{d}+1)}G_1(t) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}+1}G_2(t) + \frac{d\sqrt{d}}{(d+2)(\sqrt{d}+1)}G_3(t) = \\ \text{const} \cdot (t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}})^2(t - \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}) \text{ and perturb it. Then } H = h_1 + h_2 + h_3. \\ \text{Therefore, } M_d([-1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}})) \leq H + 1 = \frac{d(d+1)(d+5)}{6}. \end{array}$ 

For a given potential F and size N, find the minimum energy  $E(d, N, F) = \min_{X} E_F(X)$  for  $E_F(X) = \sum_{x,y \in X} F(\langle x, y \rangle)$ , over all sets of

points  $X \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , |X| = N.

For a given potential F and size N, find the minimum energy  $E(d, N, F) = \min_{X} E_F(X)$  for  $E_F(X) = \sum_{x,y \in X} F(\langle x, y \rangle)$ , over all sets of points  $X \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , |X| = N.

#### Theorem

Let  $P(t) = \alpha_1 G_{i_1}(t) + \ldots + \alpha_l G_{i_l}(t)$ , where  $\alpha_i > 0$  and  $\sum \alpha_i = 1$ , and  $H = h_{i_1} + \ldots + h_{i_l}$ . For a continuous potential F and for any N > 0,  $E(d, N, F(P)) \ge E(H, N, F)$ .

For a given potential F and size N, find the minimum energy  $E(d, N, F) = \min_{X} E_F(X)$  for  $E_F(X) = \sum_{x,y \in X} F(\langle x, y \rangle)$ , over all sets of points  $X \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ , |X| = N.

#### Theorem

Let  $P(t) = \alpha_1 G_{i_1}(t) + \ldots + \alpha_l G_{i_l}(t)$ , where  $\alpha_i > 0$  and  $\sum \alpha_i = 1$ , and  $H = h_{i_1} + \ldots + h_{i_l}$ . For a continuous potential F and for any N > 0,  $E(d, N, F(P)) \ge E(H, N, F)$ .

#### Proof.

Let X be the minimizing set for E(d, N, F(P)). Then E(H, N, F)  $\leq E_F(\phi_P(X)) = E(d, N, F(P)).$  Theorem (G.-Park, 2020) For  $p\in[1,2\log\frac{2m+1}{2m}/\log\frac{m+1}{m}],$   $F(t)=|t|^p,$  and  $1\leq m\leq d,$  E(d,d+m,F)=2m. Theorem (G.-Park, 2020) For  $p\in [1,2\log\frac{2m+1}{2m}/\log\frac{m+1}{m}],$   $F(t)=|t|^p,$  and  $1\leq m\leq d,$  E(d,d+m,F)=2m.

Minimizers are repeated orthonormal bases – the vectors of an arbitrary orthonormal basis with m of them repeated twice.

Theorem (G.-Park, 2020) For  $p\in [1,2\log\frac{2m+1}{2m}/\log\frac{m+1}{m}],$   $F(t)=|t|^p,$  and  $1\leq m\leq d,$  E(d,d+m,F)=2m.

Minimizers are repeated orthonormal bases – the vectors of an arbitrary orthonormal basis with m of them repeated twice.

# Conjecture (Park, 2019)

Repeated orthonormal bases with N vectors are energy minimizers for  $F(t) = |t|^p$ ,  $p \in [1, p(N)]$ , for any  $N \ge d$  and  $p(N) \rightarrow 2$  when  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

Theorem (G., 2020) For  $p \in [1, 2 \log \frac{2m+1}{2m} / \log \frac{m+1}{m}]$ ,  $F(t) = |t + \frac{1}{d}|^p$ , and  $1 \le m \le d + 1$ , E(d, d + 1 + m, F) = 2mF(1). Minimizers are repeated regular simplices. **Theorem (G., 2020)** For  $p \in [1, 2 \log \frac{2m+1}{2m} / \log \frac{m+1}{m}]$ ,  $F(t) = |t + \frac{1}{d}|^p$ , and  $1 \leq m \leq d + 1$ , E(d, d + 1 + m, F) = 2mF(1). Minimizers are repeated regular simplices.

## Proof.

Take  $P(t) = \frac{dt+1}{d+1}$ . Use  $\phi_P$  and repeated orthonormal bases.

Theorem (G., 2020) For  $p\in [1,2\log\frac{2m+1}{2m}/\log\frac{m+1}{m}]$ ,  $F(t)=|t+\frac{1}{d}|^p$ , and  $1\leq m\leq d+1$ , E(d,d+1+m,F)=2mF(1). Minimizers are repeated regular simplices.

## Proof.

Take  $P(t) = \frac{dt+1}{d+1}$ . Use  $\phi_P$  and repeated orthonormal bases.

## Theorem (G., 2020)

For  $p \in [1, 2\log \frac{2m+1}{2m} / \log \frac{m+1}{m}]$ ,  $F(t) = |t^2 - \alpha^2|^p$ ,  $\alpha^2 < \frac{1}{d}$ , and  $1 \le m \le {d+1 \choose 2}$ ,  $E(d, {d+1 \choose 2} + m, F) \ge 2mF(1)$ . The bound is sharp for repeated equiangular sets of size  ${d+1 \choose 2}$ .

Theorem (G., 2020) For  $p \in [1, 2 \log \frac{2m+1}{2m} / \log \frac{m+1}{m}]$ ,  $F(t) = |t + \frac{1}{d}|^p$ , and  $1 \le m \le d + 1$ , E(d, d + 1 + m, F) = 2mF(1). Minimizers are repeated regular simplices.

### Proof.

Take  $P(t) = \frac{dt+1}{d+1}$ . Use  $\phi_P$  and repeated orthonormal bases.

## Theorem (G., 2020)

For  $p \in [1, 2 \log \frac{2m+1}{2m} / \log \frac{m+1}{m}]$ ,  $F(t) = |t^2 - \alpha^2|^p$ ,  $\alpha^2 < \frac{1}{d}$ , and  $1 \le m \le {d+1 \choose 2}$ ,  $E(d, {d+1 \choose 2} + m, F) \ge 2mF(1)$ . The bound is sharp for repeated equiangular sets of size  ${d+1 \choose 2}$ .

#### Proof.

Take P(t) =  $\frac{t^2 - \alpha^2}{1 - \alpha^2}$ . Use  $\phi_P$  and repeated orthonormal bases.

[Bondarenko, 2008] The image of the set of 120 non-opposite minimal vectors of  $E_8$  under  $\phi_2$  is the set of 120 vectors in  $\mathbb{S}^{34}$  with scalar products  $\pm \frac{1}{7}$ .

[Bondarenko, 2008] The image of the set of 120 non-opposite minimal vectors of  $E_8$  under  $\phi_2$  is the set of 120 vectors in  $\mathbb{S}^{34}$  with scalar products  $\pm \frac{1}{7}$ .

[Miezaki, 2019 and 2020] Images of sharp configurations under  $\phi_2$  are nice sets.

[Bondarenko, 2008] The image of the set of 120 non-opposite minimal vectors of  $E_8$  under  $\phi_2$  is the set of 120 vectors in  $\mathbb{S}^{34}$  with scalar products  $\pm \frac{1}{7}$ .

[Miezaki, 2019 and 2020] Images of sharp configurations under  $\phi_2$  are nice sets.

## Question

How can we get nice configurations via mapping to the space of spherical harmonics?

For a positive integer t, a finite set  $X \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  is called a spherical t-design if

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f(x) d\mu(x) = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{v \in X} f(v)$$

holds for all polynomials f of degree  $\leq$  t.

For a positive integer t, a finite set  $X \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  is called a spherical t-design if

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} f(x) d\mu(x) = \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{v \in X} f(v)$$

holds for all polynomials f of degree  $\leq$  t.

A finite set  $X \subset S^{d-1}$  is called a (unit norm) tight frame if the above condition holds for all homogeneous polynomials of degree 2.

Tight frames  $\leftrightarrow$  Antipodal 3-designs  $\leftrightarrow$  Projective 1-designs

## Theorem (G., 2020)

If X is a 2k-design in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  then  $\phi_l(X)$  is a tight frame in  $\mathbb{S}^{h_l-1}$  for all  $l \leq k$ .

## Theorem (G., 2020)

If X is a 2k-design in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  then  $\phi_l(X)$  is a tight frame in  $\mathbb{S}^{h_l-1}$  for all  $l \leq k$ .

# **Lemma (Sidel'nikov; Venkov; Benedetto and Fickus)** X is a tight frame in $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ if and only if $\frac{1}{|X|^2} \sum_{x,y \in X} \langle x, y \rangle^2 = \frac{1}{d}$ .

## Theorem (G., 2020)

If X is a 2k-design in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  then  $\phi_l(X)$  is a tight frame in  $\mathbb{S}^{h_l-1}$  for all  $l \leq k$ .

**Lemma (Sidel'nikov; Venkov; Benedetto and Fickus)** X is a tight frame in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  if and only if  $\frac{1}{|X|^2} \sum_{x,y \in X} \langle x, y \rangle^2 = \frac{1}{d}$ .

Proof of the theorem.

$$\frac{1}{|X|^2}\sum_{x,y\in X}G_l(\langle x,y\rangle)^2=\int\limits_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}\int\limits_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}G_l(\langle x,y\rangle)^2d\mu(x)d\mu(y)=\frac{1}{h_l}.$$

#### TIGHT FRAMES IN THE SPACE OF SPHERICAL HARMONICS

Let X be a 2k-design in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ . Let  $P(t) = \alpha_1 G_{i_1}(t) + \ldots + \alpha_l G_{i_l}(t)$ , where  $\alpha_i > 0$ ,  $\sum \alpha_i = 1$ ,  $\{i_1, \ldots, i_l\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}$  and  $H = h_{i_1} + \ldots + h_{i_l}$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{|\mathsf{X}|^2} \sum_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathsf{X}} \mathsf{P}(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle)^2 = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathsf{P}(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle)^2 d\mu(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{y}) =$$
$$= \frac{\alpha_1^2}{h_{i_1}} + \dots + \frac{\alpha_l^2}{h_{i_l}} \ge \frac{(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_l)^2}{h_{i_1} + \dots + h_{i_l}} = \frac{1}{\mathsf{H}}$$

and the equality holds when  $\alpha_j = \frac{h_{i_j}}{H}$ .

#### TIGHT FRAMES IN THE SPACE OF SPHERICAL HARMONICS

Let X be a 2k-design in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ . Let  $P(t) = \alpha_1 G_{i_1}(t) + \ldots + \alpha_l G_{i_l}(t)$ , where  $\alpha_i > 0$ ,  $\sum \alpha_i = 1$ ,  $\{i_1, \ldots, i_l\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}$  and  $H = h_{i_1} + \ldots + h_{i_l}$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{|\mathsf{X}|^2} \sum_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathsf{X}} \mathsf{P}(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle)^2 = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mathsf{P}(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle)^2 d\mu(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{y}) =$$

$$=\frac{\alpha_1^2}{h_{i_1}}+\ldots+\frac{\alpha_l^2}{h_{i_l}}\geq\frac{(\alpha_1+\ldots+\alpha_l)^2}{h_{i_1}+\ldots+h_{i_l}}=\frac{1}{H}$$

and the equality holds when  $\alpha_j = \frac{h_{i_j}}{H}$ .

## Theorem (G., 2020)

If X is a 2k-design in  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  and  $\{i_1, \ldots, i_l\}$  is a subset of  $\{1, \ldots, k\}$  then  $\phi_P(X)$  is a tight frame in  $\mathbb{S}^{H-1}$ , where  $H = h_{i_1} + \ldots + h_{i_l}$  and  $P(t) = \frac{h_{i_1}}{H}G_{i_1}(t) + \ldots \frac{h_{i_l}}{H}G_{i_l}(t)$ .

 $au_3 \leq 12.$ 

 $\tau_3 \leq 12.$ 

$$\begin{split} \text{Let P}(t) &= 0.09465869 + 0.17273741\,\text{G}_1(t) + 0.33128438\,\text{G}_2(t) + \\ 0.17275228\,\text{G}_3(t) + 0.18905584\,\text{G}_4(t) + 0.00334265\,\text{G}_5(t) + \\ 0.03616728\,\text{G}_9(t). \end{split}$$

 $\tau_3 \leq 12.$ 

$$\begin{split} \text{Let P}(t) &= 0.09465869 + 0.17273741\,\text{G}_1(t) + 0.33128438\,\text{G}_2(t) + \\ 0.17275228\,\text{G}_3(t) + 0.18905584\,\text{G}_4(t) + 0.00334265\,\text{G}_5(t) + \\ 0.03616728\,\text{G}_9(t). \end{split}$$

## Lemma

If X is a set of points in  $\mathbb{S}^2$  with all pairwise scalar products  $\leq \frac{1}{2}$  then for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\sum_{y \in X} P(\langle x, y \rangle) \leq 1.23$ .

 $\tau_3 \leq 12.$ 

$$\begin{split} \text{Let P}(t) &= 0.09465869 + 0.17273741\,\text{G}_1(t) + 0.33128438\,\text{G}_2(t) + \\ 0.17275228\,\text{G}_3(t) + 0.18905584\,\text{G}_4(t) + 0.00334265\,\text{G}_5(t) + \\ 0.03616728\,\text{G}_9(t). \end{split}$$

### Lemma

If X is a set of points in  $\mathbb{S}^2$  with all pairwise scalar products  $\leq \frac{1}{2}$  then for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\sum_{y \in X} P(\langle x, y \rangle) \leq 1.23$ .

### Proof of the theorem.

For |X|=N,  $\sum_{x,y\in X} P(\langle x,y\rangle)\leq 1.23N$  and  $\geq 0.09465869N^2$  so  $N\leq 1.23/0.09465869\approx 12.99405263$ 

If X is a set of points in  $\mathbb{S}^2$  with all pairwise scalar products  $\leq \frac{1}{2}$  then for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\sum_{y \in X} P(\langle x, y \rangle) \leq 1.23$ .

If X is a set of points in  $\mathbb{S}^2$  with all pairwise scalar products  $\leq \frac{1}{2}$  then for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\sum_{y \in X} P(\langle x, y \rangle) \leq 1.23$ .

P(t) is negative on  $[-1/\sqrt{2}, 1/2]$ . A positive contribution to the sum can be made only by points in the open spherical cap C with the center -x and the angular radius  $\pi/4$ . No more than 3 points with pairwise angular distances at least  $\pi/3$  can fit in C.

If X is a set of points in  $\mathbb{S}^2$  with all pairwise scalar products  $\leq \frac{1}{2}$  then for any  $x \in X$ ,  $\sum_{y \in X} P(\langle x, y \rangle) \leq 1.23$ .

P(t) is negative on  $[-1/\sqrt{2}, 1/2]$ . A positive contribution to the sum can be made only by points in the open spherical cap C with the center -x and the angular radius  $\pi/4$ . No more than 3 points with pairwise angular distances at least  $\pi/3$  can fit in C.

Case 1. There is one point in C. Then

$$\sum_{y \in X} P(\langle x, y \rangle) \le P(1) + \max_{t \in [-1, -1/\sqrt{2}]} P(t) \le 1.23.$$

Case 2. There are two points y, z in C. To maximize the sum of values of P, -x should lie on the geodesic between y and z and the angular distance between y and z should be  $\pi/3$ . Denoting  $\langle x, y \rangle = t$ , we find  $\langle x, z \rangle = \alpha(t) = \frac{1}{2}t - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\sqrt{1-t^2}$  and  $t \in I = [-\cos \pi/12, -1/\sqrt{2}]$ . Then

$$\sum_{y \in X} P(\langle x, y \rangle) \le P(1) + \max_{t \in I} (P(t) + P(\alpha(t))) \le 1.23.$$

Case 3. There are three points y, z, w in C. To maximize the sum of values of P, the points y, z, w should form a regular triangle with the sides of length  $\pi/3$ . Rotating the triangle with respect to the point furthest from x, we can increase the sum. The rotation stops either when one of the points reaches the boundary of C, or there are two points that are in the same distance from x. In the former case, we are left with two points in C. In the latter case, if  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle = t$  then  $\langle x, w \rangle = \beta(t) = \frac{2}{3}t - \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2} - 2t^2}$  and  $t \in J = [-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} - \frac{1}{2}, -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}].$ Then

$$\sum_{y \in X} P(\langle x, y \rangle) \le P(1) + \max_{t \in J} (2P(t) + P(\beta(t))) \le 1.23.$$

# THANK YOU!