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## SPHERICAL HARMONICS

A polynomial $P: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is harmonic if $\Delta P=0$, where $\Delta$ is a Laplacian.

The restriction of a harmonic homogeneous polynomial P of degree $k$ to $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is called a spherical harmonic of degree $k$.
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A polynomial $P: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is harmonic if $\Delta P=0$, where $\Delta$ is a Laplacian.

The restriction of a harmonic homogeneous polynomial P of degree $k$ to $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is called a spherical harmonic of degree $k$.

The vector space of spherical harmonics of degree $k$, $\operatorname{Harm}_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\right)$, has dimension

$$
h_{k}=\binom{d+k-2}{k}+\binom{d+k-3}{k-1}
$$

and a natural scalar product

$$
\langle\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Q}\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d}-1} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x}) \overline{\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{x})} \mathrm{d} \mu(\mathrm{x})
$$

where $\mu$ is the normalized Lebesgue measure of the sphere.

## DEFINING A MAPPING TO SPHERICAL HARMONICS

Let $\left\{e_{1}^{(k)}, \ldots, e_{h_{k}}^{(k)}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\operatorname{Harm}_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\right)$.
Define a map $\phi_{\mathrm{k}}: \mathbb{S}^{\mathrm{d}-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}}}$ by

$$
\phi_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{h_{k}}}\left(e_{1}^{(k)}(x), \ldots, e_{h_{k}}^{(k)}(x)\right) .
$$
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$$
\phi_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{h_{k}}}\left(e_{1}^{(k)}(x), \ldots, e_{h_{k}}^{(k)}(x)\right)
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It appears $\left\langle\phi_{k}(x), \phi_{k}(y)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{h_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{h_{k}} e_{i}^{(k)}(x) \overline{e_{i}^{(k)}(y)}$ depends only on $\langle x, y\rangle$ and is the same for any choice of the orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{i}^{(k)}\right\}$.

All scalar products $\left\langle\phi_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{x}), \phi_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{y})\right\rangle$ are real and $\left\langle\phi_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{x}), \phi_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{x})\right\rangle=1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. Therefore, $\phi_{\mathrm{k}}$ maps $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ to $\mathbb{S}^{h_{k}-1}$.
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$$
\left\langle\phi_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{x}), \phi_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{y})\right\rangle=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}}(\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\rangle)
$$

where $G_{k}$ are Gegenbauer polynomials, zonal spherical functions associated with $\operatorname{Harm}_{k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}\right)$.
$\mathrm{G}_{0}(\mathrm{t})=1$
$\mathrm{G}_{1}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{t}$
$G_{k}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\mathrm{d}+2 \mathrm{k}-4}{\mathrm{~d}+\mathrm{k}-3} \mathrm{t} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}-1}(\mathrm{t})-\frac{\mathrm{k}-1}{\mathrm{~d}+\mathrm{k}-3} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}-2}(\mathrm{t})$
For $d=2$, Gegenbauer polynomials are Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind. The map $\phi_{\mathrm{k}}$ corresponds to the k-cover of the circle.
$\phi_{\mathrm{k}}$ is similar to the tensor power map $\mathrm{x} \rightarrow \mathrm{x}^{\otimes \mathrm{k}}$.

## NON-NEGATIVE GEGENBAUER EXPANSIONS

$\phi_{\mathrm{k}}$ is a map from $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ to $\mathbb{S}^{h_{k}-1}$ such that for any x and y ,
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## NON-NEGATIVE GEGENBAUER EXPANSIONS

$\phi_{\mathrm{k}}$ is a map from $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ to $\mathbb{S}^{h_{k}-1}$ such that for any x and y ,

$$
\left\langle\phi_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{x}), \phi_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{y})\right\rangle=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}}(\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\rangle) .
$$

For $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\alpha_{1} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}(\mathrm{t})+\ldots+\alpha_{l} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{l}}(\mathrm{t})$, where $\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}>0$ and $\sum \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}=1$, define

$$
\phi_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{x})=\sqrt{\alpha_{1}} \phi_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}(\mathrm{x}) \oplus \ldots \oplus \sqrt{\alpha_{l}} \phi_{\mathrm{i}_{l}}(\mathrm{x}) .
$$

$\phi_{\mathrm{P}}$ maps $\mathbb{S}^{\mathrm{d}-1}$ to $\mathbb{S}^{H-1}$, where $H=h_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}+\ldots+h_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}$, and for any x and $y$,

$$
\left\langle\phi_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{x}), \phi_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{y})\right\rangle=\mathrm{P}(\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\rangle) .
$$
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When $\theta=\pi / 3$, the problem is known as a kissing number problem: what is the maximal number $\tau_{\mathrm{d}}$ of non-overlapping unit balls touching a given unit ball in dimension d?

The kissing number is known in dimensions $2,3,4,8,24$ :
$\tau_{2}=6, \tau_{3}=12$ [Schütte and van der Waerden, 1953], $\tau_{4}=24$
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## Problem

Given $\theta$, find the maximal number of points in $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ such that all pairwise geodesic distances are at least $\theta$.

When $\theta=\pi / 3$, the problem is known as a kissing number problem: what is the maximal number $\tau_{\mathrm{d}}$ of non-overlapping unit balls touching a given unit ball in dimension d?

The kissing number is known in dimensions $2,3,4,8,24$ :
$\tau_{2}=6, \tau_{3}=12$ [Schütte and van der Waerden, 1953], $\tau_{4}=24$
[Musin, 2003], $\tau_{8}=240$ and $\tau_{24}=196560$ [Odlyzko and Sloane, Levenshtein, 1979].

Asymptotic bound $\tau_{\mathrm{d}} \leq 2^{0.401 \mathrm{n}(1+\mathrm{o}(1))}$ [Kabatiansky and Levenshtein, 1978].
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For a set $I \subset[-1,1]$, denote by $M_{d}(I)$ the maximum size of a set in $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ with all pairwise scalar products in I.

The general sphere packing question asks for $M_{d}([-1, \cos \theta])$. In particular, $\tau_{d}=M_{d}([-1,1 / 2])$.
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Let $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\alpha_{1} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}(\mathrm{t})+\ldots+\alpha_{l} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{l}}(\mathrm{t})$, where $\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}>0$ and $\sum \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}=1$, and $H=h_{i_{1}}+\ldots+h_{i_{1}}$. Then $M_{d}(I) \leq M_{H}(P(I))$.
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Let $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ be a non-negative linear combination of Gegenbauer polynomials, $P(1)=1$, and $P(I) \subseteq[-1,-c]$ for $c>0$. Then $M_{d}(I) \leq 1 / c+1$.

## DELSARTE'S METHOD

## Lemma

For $c>0, M_{d}([-1,-c]) \leq 1 / c+1$.

## Proof.

The sum of elements in a Gram matrix is at least 0 .
Theorem (Delsarte's method)
Let $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ be a non-negative linear combination of Gegenbauer polynomials, $P(1)=1$, and $P(I) \subseteq[-1,-c]$ for $c>0$. Then $M_{d}(I) \leq 1 / c+1$.
$\tau_{8} \leq 240, \tau_{24} \leq 196560$, the asymptotic bound of Kabatiansky and Levenshtein are proven by using Delsarte's method with the right choice of $P$.
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$M_{d}([-1,0))=d+1$.
Equivalently, among $d+2$ unit vectors there are always two with a non-obtuse angle between them.

## Theorem (Radon)

Any $d+2$ points in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ can be split into two sets whose convex hulls intersect.

Proof of the Davenport-Hajós bound.
Let $v$ be a common point of two convex hulls from the Radon theorem. If all scalar products of unit vectors are negative then writing $v$ as a positive combination of both sets, $v \cdot v<0$.

## ORTHOPLEX BOUND

## Theorem

Let $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\alpha_{1} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}(\mathrm{t})+\ldots+\alpha_{l} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{l}}(\mathrm{t})$, where $\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}>0$ and $\sum \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}=1$, and $H=h_{i_{1}}+\ldots+h_{i_{1}}$. If $P(I) \subseteq[-1,0)$ then $M_{d}(I) \leq H+1$.

## ORTHOPLEX BOUND

## Theorem

Let $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\alpha_{1} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}(\mathrm{t})+\ldots+\alpha_{l} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{l}}(\mathrm{t})$, where $\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}>0$ and $\sum \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}=1$, and $H=h_{i_{1}}+\ldots+h_{i_{1}}$. If $P(I) \subseteq[-1,0)$ then $M_{d}(I) \leq H+1$.

Theorem (Orthoplex bound, Conway, Hardin, and Sloane, 1996)
$M_{d}\left(\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\right)\right) \leq\binom{ d+1}{2}$.

## ORTHOPLEX BOUND

## Theorem

Let $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\alpha_{1} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}(\mathrm{t})+\ldots+\alpha_{l} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{l}}(\mathrm{t})$, where $\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}>0$ and $\sum \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}=1$, and $H=h_{i_{1}}+\ldots+h_{i_{1}}$. If $P(I) \subseteq[-1,0)$ then $M_{d}(I) \leq H+1$.

Theorem (Orthoplex bound, Conway, Hardin, and Sloane, 1996)
$M_{d}\left(\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\right)\right) \leq\binom{ d+1}{2}$.

## Proof.

Let $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{G}_{2}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\mathrm{dt}^{2}-1}{\mathrm{~d}-1}$. Then $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{h}_{2}=\binom{\mathrm{d}+1}{2}-1$. The bound follows from the theorem above.

## ORTHOPLEX BOUND

## Theorem

Let $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\alpha_{1} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}(\mathrm{t})+\ldots+\alpha_{l} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{l}}(\mathrm{t})$, where $\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}>0$ and $\sum \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}=1$, and $H=h_{i_{1}}+\ldots+h_{i_{1}}$. If $P(I) \subseteq[-1,0)$ then $M_{d}(I) \leq H+1$.

Theorem (Orthoplex bound, Conway, Hardin, and Sloane, 1996)
$M_{d}\left(\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\right)\right) \leq\binom{ d+1}{2}$.

## Proof.

Let $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{G}_{2}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\mathrm{dt}^{2}-1}{\mathrm{~d}-1}$. Then $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{h}_{2}=\binom{\mathrm{d}+1}{2}-1$. The bound follows from the theorem above.

The bound is sharp in a sense that for several configurations with more than $\binom{d+1}{2}$ points, scalar products are in $\left[-\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\right]$.
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## TWO NEW BOUNDS

Theorem (G., 2020)
$M_{d}\left(\left[-1, \frac{1}{d}\right)\right) \leq \frac{d(d+3)}{2}$.

## Proof.

Take $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{G}_{1}(\mathrm{t})+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{G}_{2}(\mathrm{t})=$ const $\cdot(\mathrm{t}+1)(\mathrm{dt}-1)$ and perturb it. Then $H=h_{1}+h_{2}$ and $M_{d}\left(\left[-1, \frac{1}{d}\right)\right) \leq H+1=\frac{d(d+3)}{2}$.

Theorem (G., 2020)
$M_{d}\left(\left[-1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\right)\right) \leq \frac{d(d+1)(d+5)}{6}$.

## Proof.

Take $P(t)=\frac{2 \sqrt{d}}{(d+2)(\sqrt{d}+1)} G_{1}(t)+\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}+1} G_{2}(t)+\frac{d \sqrt{d}}{(d+2)(\sqrt{d}+1)} G_{3}(t)=$
const $\cdot\left(t+\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\right)^{2}\left(t-\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\right)$ and perturb it. Then $H=h_{1}+h_{2}+h_{3}$.
Therefore, $M_{d}\left(\left[-1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\right)\right) \leq H+1=\frac{d(d+1)(d+5)}{6}$.

## MINIMIZING ENERGY
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## Problem

For a given potential $F$ and size $N$, find the minimum energy $E(d, N, F)=\min _{x} E_{F}(X)$ for $E_{F}(X)=\sum_{x, y \in X} F(\langle x, y\rangle)$, over all sets of points $X \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1},|X|=N$.

## Theorem

Let $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\alpha_{1} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}(\mathrm{t})+\ldots+\alpha_{l} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{l}}(\mathrm{t})$, where $\alpha_{\mathrm{i}}>0$ and $\sum \alpha_{\mathrm{i}}=1$, and $H=h_{i_{1}}+\ldots+h_{i_{1}}$. For a continuous potential $F$ and for any $N>0, E(d, N, F(P)) \geq E(H, N, F)$.

## Proof.

Let $X$ be the minimizing set for $E(d, N, F(P))$. Then $E(H, N, F) \leq E_{F}\left(\phi_{P}(X)\right)=E(d, N, F(P))$.

## REPEATED ORTHONORMAL BASES AND THE p-FRAME ENERGY
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For $p \in\left[1,2 \log \frac{2 m+1}{2 m} / \log \frac{m+1}{m}\right], F(t)=|t|^{p}$, and $1 \leq m \leq d$, $E(d, d+m, F)=2 m$.

Minimizers are repeated orthonormal bases - the vectors of an arbitrary orthonormal basis with $m$ of them repeated twice.

Conjecture (Park, 2019)
Repeated orthonormal bases with N vectors are energy minimizers for $F(t)=|t|^{p}, p \in[1, p(N)]$, for any $N \geq d$ and $p(N) \rightarrow 2$ when $N \rightarrow \infty$.
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Theorem (G., 2020)
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## Proof.

Take $P(t)=\frac{t^{2}-\alpha^{2}}{1-\alpha^{2}}$. Use $\phi_{P}$ and repeated orthonormal bases.
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## CONSTRUCTING NEW CONFIGURATIONS

[Bondarenko, 2008] The image of the set of 120 non-opposite minimal vectors of $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ under $\phi_{2}$ is the set of 120 vectors in $\mathbb{S}^{34}$ with scalar products $\pm \frac{1}{7}$.
[Miezaki, 2019 and 2020] Images of sharp configurations under $\phi_{2}$ are nice sets.

## Question

How can we get nice configurations via mapping to the space of spherical harmonics?

## SPHERICAL DESIGNS

For a positive integer t , a finite set $\mathrm{X} \subset \mathbb{S}^{\mathrm{d}-1}$ is called a spherical t-design if

$$
\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d}-1} f(x) d \mu(x)=\frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{v \in X} f(v)
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holds for all polynomials $f$ of degree $\leq t$.

## SPHERICAL DESIGNS

For a positive integer t , a finite set $\mathrm{X} \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is called a spherical t-design if

$$
\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d}-1} f(x) d \mu(x)=\frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{v \in X} f(v)
$$

holds for all polynomials $f$ of degree $\leq t$.
A finite set $X \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is called a (unit norm) tight frame if the above condition holds for all homogeneous polynomials of degree 2.

Tight frames $\leftrightarrow$ Antipodal 3-designs $\leftrightarrow$ Projective 1-designs
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## TIGHT FRAMES IN THE SPACE OF SPHERICAL HARMONICS

Theorem (G., 2020)
If $X$ is a $2 k$-design in $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ then $\phi_{l}(X)$ is a tight frame in $\mathbb{S}^{h_{l}-1}$ for all $\mathrm{l} \leq \mathrm{k}$.

Lemma (Sidel'nikov; Venkov; Benedetto and Fickus)
$X$ is a tight frame in $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ if and only if $\frac{1}{|X|^{2}} \sum_{x, y \in X}\langle x, y\rangle^{2}=\frac{1}{d}$.
Proof of the theorem.

$$
\frac{1}{|X|^{2}} \sum_{x, y \in X} G_{l}(\langle x, y\rangle)^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} G_{l}(\langle x, y\rangle)^{2} d \mu(x) d \mu(y)=\frac{1}{h_{l}} .
$$

## TIGHT FRAMES IN THE SPACE OF SPHERICAL HARMONICS

Let X be a 2 k -design in $\mathbb{S}^{\mathrm{d}-1}$. Let $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\alpha_{1} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}(\mathrm{t})+\ldots+\alpha_{l} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{l}}(\mathrm{t})$, where $\alpha_{i}>0, \sum \alpha_{i}=1,\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $H=h_{i_{1}}+\ldots+h_{i_{1}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{|X|^{2}} \sum_{x, y \in X} P(\langle x, y\rangle)^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d}-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d}-1} P(\langle x, y\rangle)^{2} d \mu(x) d \mu(y)= \\
& \quad=\frac{\alpha_{1}^{2}}{h_{i_{1}}}+\ldots+\frac{\alpha_{l}^{2}}{h_{i_{1}}} \geq \frac{\left(\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{l}\right)^{2}}{h_{i_{1}}+\ldots+h_{i_{l}}}=\frac{1}{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the equality holds when $\alpha_{j}=\frac{h_{i_{j}}}{H}$.

## TIGHT FRAMES IN THE SPACE OF SPHERICAL HARMONICS

Let X be a 2 k -design in $\mathbb{S}^{\mathrm{d}-1}$. Let $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})=\alpha_{1} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}(\mathrm{t})+\ldots+\alpha_{l} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}(\mathrm{t})$, where $\alpha_{i}>0, \sum \alpha_{i}=1,\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{l}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}+\ldots+\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i},}$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{|X|^{2}} \sum_{x, y \in X} P(\langle x, y\rangle)^{2}=\iint_{\mathbb{S}^{d}-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d}-1} P(\langle x, y\rangle)^{2} d \mu(x) d \mu(y)= \\
\quad=\frac{\alpha_{1}^{2}}{h_{i_{1}}}+\ldots+\frac{\alpha_{1}^{2}}{h_{i_{1}}} \geq \frac{\left(\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{1}\right)^{2}}{h_{i_{1}}+\ldots+h_{i_{1}}}=\frac{1}{H}
\end{gathered}
$$

and the equality holds when $\alpha_{j}=\frac{h_{i j}}{H}$.
Theorem (G., 2020)
If $X$ is a $2 k$-design in $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ and $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{i}\right\}$ is a subset of $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ then $\phi_{P}(X)$ is a tight frame in $\mathbb{S}^{H-1}$, where $H=h_{i_{1}}+\ldots+h_{i_{1}}$ and $P(t)=\frac{h_{i_{1}}}{H} G_{i_{1}}(t)+\ldots \frac{h_{i_{1}}}{H} G_{i_{1}}(t)$.
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## Lemma

If $X$ is a set of points in $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ with all pairwise scalar products $\leq \frac{1}{2}$ then for any $x \in X, \sum_{y \in X} P(\langle x, y\rangle) \leq 1.23$.

Proof of the theorem.
For $|X|=N, \sum_{x, y \in X} P(\langle x, y\rangle) \leq 1.23 N$ and $\geq 0.09465869 N^{2}$ so
$N \leq 1.23 / 0.09465869 \approx 12.99405263$
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$P(t)$ is negative on $[-1 / \sqrt{2}, 1 / 2]$. A positive contribution to the sum can be made only by points in the open spherical cap $C$ with the center $-x$ and the angular radius $\pi / 4$. No more than 3 points with pairwise angular distances at least $\pi / 3$ can fit in C .

## PROOF OF THE LEMMA

## Lemma

If $X$ is a set of points in $\mathbb{S}^{2}$ with all pairwise scalar products $\leq \frac{1}{2}$ then for any $x \in X, \sum_{y \in X} P(\langle x, y\rangle) \leq 1.23$.
$P(t)$ is negative on $[-1 / \sqrt{2}, 1 / 2]$. A positive contribution to the sum can be made only by points in the open spherical cap $C$ with the center $-x$ and the angular radius $\pi / 4$. No more than 3 points with pairwise angular distances at least $\pi / 3$ can fit in C .

Case 1 . There is one point in C . Then

$$
\sum_{y \in X} P(\langle x, y\rangle) \leq P(1)+\max _{t \in[-1,-1 / \sqrt{2}]} P(t) \leq 1.23
$$

## PROOF OF THE LEMMA

Case 2. There are two points $y, z$ in $C$. To maximize the sum of values of $P,-x$ should lie on the geodesic between $y$ and $z$ and the angular distance between $y$ and $z$ should be $\pi / 3$. Denoting $\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\rangle=\mathrm{t}$, we find $\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}\rangle=\alpha(\mathrm{t})=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{t}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sqrt{1-\mathrm{t}^{2}}$ and $t \in I=[-\cos \pi / 12,-1 / \sqrt{2}]$. Then

$$
\sum_{y \in \mathrm{X}} \mathrm{P}(\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\rangle) \leq \mathrm{P}(1)+\max _{\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{P}(\alpha(\mathrm{t}))) \leq 1.23 .
$$

## PROOF OF THE LEMMA

Case 3. There are three points $y, z, w$ in $C$. To maximize the sum of values of $P$, the points $y, z, w$ should form a regular triangle with the sides of length $\pi / 3$. Rotating the triangle with respect to the point furthest from $x$, we can increase the sum. The rotation stops either when one of the points reaches the boundary of C , or there are two points that are in the same distance from $x$. In the former case, we are left with two points in C . In the latter case, if $\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\rangle=\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{z}\rangle=\mathrm{t}$ then
$\langle x, w\rangle=\beta(t)=\frac{2}{3} t-\frac{2}{3} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}-2 t^{2}}$ and $t \in J=\left[-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}-\frac{1}{2},-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\right]$.
Then

$$
\sum_{y \in X} \mathrm{P}(\langle\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\rangle) \leq \mathrm{P}(1)+\max _{\mathrm{t} \in \mathrm{~J}}(2 \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{P}(\beta(\mathrm{t}))) \leq 1.23
$$

THANK YOU!

